

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

Comment Id	Section Title	Question	Response
1655	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	How will the PMO keep up with the number of re-representations, annually, of business set-asides (i.e. smalls graduating to large) by each NAICS. And how will those recertifications be integrated into the SEWP VI customer portal? Will the companies that are small business under a specific NAICS be visible to the customer via the portal?	Checking of size standard on SAM.gov routinely as well at the time of contract modifications will be the primary method of the PMO keeping up with the number of re-representations by each NAICS. Additionally, notifications by Ordering COs of updates will aid in keeping the size standards per NAICS up to date. The portal will have it visible under specific NAICS for customers to see the size standards of a business.
1654	(b) Mandatory Experience	Is the requirement 4 REPs for each of the 4 out of 10 areas (for a total of 16)?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1653	(b) Mandatory Experience	Do all small business requirements apply to JVs that is considered small?	Yes, any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1652	(b) Mandatory Experience	Could the Government consider revising the mandatory experience criteria for small businesses to a range of \$1M - \$2M? The current \$5M threshold may still be limiting for many small businesses. For instance, the SBA 8(a) sole source contracts are capped at \$4M, and many 8(a) small businesses gain valuable experience through these sole source opportunities. Lowering the requirement could make the solicitation more inclusive for small businesses.	Thank you for the suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1651	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	For Category C, is an SBA approved Mentor-Protege JV HUBZone business dealt with the same way for evaluation as a HUBZone small business?	This question is similar to question #914. Please see the answer to question #914.
1650	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	Is there a limit to the number of awards made on SEWP VI or is it similar to OASIS and if you pass all the gates you will get an award?	No, there is no limit to the number of awards. The Government intends to award to each and all qualifying offerors IAW FAR 15.304(c)(1)(ii)(A)(3).
1649	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Can you please clarify the need and status for CMMI?	CMMI Certification requirements will be clarified in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1648	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will a FCL be required?	The need for an FCL/ Facility Security Clearance will be acknowledged at the order level.
1647	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	If a JV and one of the parties of the JV submit similar proposals, will they be disqualified?	Yes, any proposal found to be a duplication or replica of another offeror (company) or have a section that is duplication or replica of another offeror (company) will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award and will not be evaluated by the Government.
1646	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For Category B and C does the offeror need to have/provide products or product relationships?	This question is similar to question #684. Please see the answer to question #684.
1645	(b) Mandatory Experience	To meet the mandatory requirement under category B for large business, 4 REPs are required. If more than 4 REPs are submitted, would the Offeror be scored higher or are Offerors only permitted to submit 4 REPs total?	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect a TOTAL number of REP required per category based on a business size standard.
1644	(b) Mandatory Experience	Is the Government planning any changes to the Category A minimum requirements like the changes we just saw for the other categories?	The Final RFP will reflect any changes.
1643	(b) Mandatory Experience	Is proposing to categories a, b, and c considered duplication?	This question is similar to question #1343. Please see the answer to question #1343.
1642	II. FAR 52.212-5 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT STATUTES OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS—COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (JUN 2023)	Given the application of NMR to SINS listed in the RFP, together with SINS suggested by industry (and should NAICS code 514519 be added, the application of NMR to it) what is NASA's interpretation of rule and its impact on SEWP VI? Would NASA issue guidance and educated mission customers that the procurement of large business software under set aside contracts would violate NMR? There is significant confusion in various agencies procurement on this matter and clear guidance from SEWP would be welcome.	This question is a duplicate of question #1448. Please see the answer to question #1448.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1641	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	Please confirm that you won't cancel contract during evaluation period as USAF did with EC2 IDIQ.	That is not the intentions of SEWP.
1640	V. FAR 52.212-3 OFFEROR REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS—COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022)- ALTERNATE I (OCT 2014)	Will there be REPs needed for Group A	No, the Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1639	V. FAR 52.212-3 OFFEROR REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS—COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022)- ALTERNATE I (OCT 2014)	If a company receives a set-aside certification, such as HUBZone, post-award, will SEWP update their status to include the certification?	Yes, SEWP will update their status in the tool to reflect a new set-aside certification if it is received post award. Proof will need to be submitted to SEWP PMO for the change to occur.
1638	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Is the \$2M/\$5M minimum for each relevant experience, or cumulative?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarification.
1637	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Why ISO? That narrows SMB pool	This question is similar to question #30. Please see the answer to question #30.
1636	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Regarding the value minimum of SB REPs for category C being 2mil is that annual value or total value?	This question is similar to question #100. Please see the answer to question #100.
1635	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Will CAT B and CAT C services have priced CLINS incorporated into contract holders' prime contracts at contract award? If yes, does offeror bid both fixed price and labor hour type service CLINS?	No, for Cat B and C, priced CLINS will not be incorporated into the master contract. They are established at the order level.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1634	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	What is the specified look-back period for qualifying projects? Would a contract awarded as recently as two months ago be eligible to be considered as a qualifying project?	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that Offerors shall furnish relevant experience projects and past performance references that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent.
1633	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Do you want One management plan for the entire submission or one management plan for each category bid?	One management plan for each category bid. The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that all elements of a proposal volume are necessary to be deemed as a complete proposal submission per a category.
1632	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can a company be a prime contract holder and an OEM?	Yes.
1631	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Can you confirm that the DEI Plan is only a post-award deliverable and not also part of proposal submittals?	The DEI Plan is a post award deliverable and to be submitted within 30 days of the contract effective date.
1630	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	If you are a large business under the SEWP RFP NAICS code but qualify as a small on other NAICS codes such as 541519 can you qualify as a small at the task order level?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1629	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	GSA recently received pre-award protests on Polaris that resulted in the requirement that they must request and consider price for reasonableness. How will SEWP avoid a similar issue	GSA did not have FAR authority to not conduct price evaluations, whereas NASA has FAR authority 15.304 (c)(1)(ii)(A) to justify its strategy for not conducting price evaluations.
1628	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	During execution can the Government Customer select a specific socioeconomic category to set aside the requirement to?	Yes, an Ordering CO has the responsibility to determine which predominant NAICS code applies to a task order solicitation, whether the task order is unrestricted or set-aside, including the type of socio-economic set-aside if applicable, and whether the solicitation is sole-source or competitive.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1627	A.1.42 ON-RAMP ACTIVITY	If a Small Business (SB) receives an award but later graduates to becoming a large business, what happens to the award? Is there a provision for the business to be grandfathered into the large business category while retaining the award?	This question is similar to question #1324. Please see the answer to question #1324.
1626	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will there be a period of performance requirement for REPs? If you have a contract that was recompeted and won twice can it be used as 2 REPs?	This question is similar to question #1073. Please see the answer to question #1073.
1625	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Is there any prohibition on an entity submitting an offer as a prime contractor while simultaneously participating as a proposed subcontractor to another prime contractor?	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that there are no restrictions on an entity proposing as part of two different proposals, regardless of size standard.
1624	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can our SEWP V contract be used as a single REP?	This question is similar to question #1101. Please see the answer to question #1101.
1623	A.1.8 PROCEDURES FOR ORDERS	Will the SEWP PMO help federal buyers with NAICS code selection for task orders post award?	If a customer has any issues or questions in using the SEWP contract holders, the SEWP Program Office will assist.
1622	(b) Mandatory Experience	Is the minimum dollar threshold for each REP if using a GWAC/IDIQ/BPA, at the base contract level or at the task order level?	This question is similar to question #1188. Please see the answer to question #1188.
1621	(b) Mandatory Experience	If basing in 541512 that size standard is \$34M. Is that correct?	Yes
1620	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Accommodations for SAP/Classified Past Performance / exp? I.e. often no CPARs and restrictions at the unclass level on size/scope	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that in the cases where an order or contract was classified, an Offeror shall ensure a past performance questionnaire is completed by the appropriate representative from the customer which does not contain classified information.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1619	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can a BPA contract be used as a single REP. Thereby adding all the task orders under the BPA together for the Total Contract Value?	This question is similar to question #115. Please see the answer to question #115.
1618	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Will the program office be updating the CHUM to provide guidance surrounding Categories B & C?	Yes, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1617	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	Can COARS be used in place or a PPQ from the customer to directly to SEWP contracting.	This question is similar to question #452. Please see the answer to question #452.
1616	A.1.14.3 Strategic Catalog:	Will CORs and OCOs for Strategic/Agency Catalogs still be able to determine the number of awardees they want for strategic catalogs?	All eligible SEWP Contract Holders that meets the criteria of a strategic sourcing applications will be permitted to participate. Any changes will be reflected with the Final RFP.
1615	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Can you expand on the “no duplication” warning - is this focused on narrative-based content and not a concern around product/service offerings? Any additional details / examples would be much appreciated.	This question is similar to question #404. Please see the answer to question #404.
1614	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Do the required 3 past performances need to cover all 10 of representative areas overall?	This question is similar to question #472. Please see the answer to question #472.
1613	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	How many representative areas do past performances need to meet to qualify as an offeror?	This question is similar to question #440. Please see the answer to question #440.
1612	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	How does the PMO plan to audit / enforce limitation on subcontracting to ensure Small Business Group A awardees do not become a pass through shops for large business OEM products.	SEWP will work with Issuing Agencies and NASA Small Business and SBA to ensure all required policies are being followed.
1611	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	Will there be a threshold on how many total Awardees? Large and Small?	This question is similar to question #99. Please see the answer to question #99.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1610	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	In CAT C Services, after a SB is moved to reserve due to their size, how will they compete within CAT C i.e as a large or?	The company will compete based on the group classification.
1609	A.1.8 PROCEDURES FOR ORDERS	Does NASA have a process in place to handle classified delivery orders?	Yes, SEWP does have a process in place to handle classified delivery orders. There are currently classified orders placed under SEWP V.
1608	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	For Category A, so all naics codes under 541512 are in scope as they fit the scope of ITC/AV?	And any changes to NAICS codes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1607	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	Will you consider reducing page count for tech approach and management approach? That's a lot of reading for the evaluation panel	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1606	(b) Mandatory Experience	Having to list ALL providers seems a bit much. Also now requiring Letters of Authorization is new after telling providers they didn't need to provide them in SEWP V	This question is similar to question #981. Please see the answer to question #981.
1605	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Will each category be awarded as its own separate contract?	Yes, each category be awarded as its own separate contract.
1604	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Would you consider the ISO and CMMI certifications for a large business to be valid at the subsidiary or affiliate company level? Though a parent company may not have the certifications, a subsidiary that does business with the government likely will.	This question is similar to question #1350 & #519. Please see the answer to question #1350 & #519.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1603	A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 REPORTS OF WORK (IDIQ/BPA) (MAR 2022)	Will the Reports of work requirement mirror the current order update tool in SEWP V CHOP of will these be submitted in a different way?	This question is similar to question #1107 & #426. Please see the answer to question #1107 & #426.
1602	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Are you suggesting in the size standard comments that NASA has ability to make their own determination of dollar vs. employee count. The questions today are being brought up because 541512 is a \$34M revenue size standard which would make the majority of current SEWP V Small Business holders, large businesses. So much of SB achievement in SEWP V is based on resale of COTS technology through small business resellers.	This question is similar to question #1418. Please see the answer to question #1418.
1601	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Any updates on the software attestation currently and also how it may apply and be handled in SEWP VI?	Currently, there are no updates. Any changes will be reflected on the Final RFP.
1600	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Will you move a small business form A1 to A2 if they no longer qualify as a small on the contract level NAICS code?	Businesses will be reflected in the appropriate group within their category depending on the size standard associated with a given in-scope NAICS code.
1599	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment A: Statement of Work: We recommend the government to move Technical Area 1b - Enterprise-wide Network Services, Technical Area 6c - Digital Multimedia and Technical Communication Services, Technical Area 8c - Network Services, & Technical Area 10b - Enterprise-wide Digital Multimedia and Technical Communications Services to A.3.1 Category A as these would be better aligned.	This question is a duplicate to question #199. Please see the answer to question #199.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1598	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment A: Statement of Work: A.3.2, pg 11 & A.3.3, pg 4: Will the government consider removing Digital Multimedia task areas from Category B and Category C as the specific services required as these do not align with the scope of the other services that are needed that are required in those categories?	This question is a duplicate of question #198. Please see the answer to question #198.
1597	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment C - SEWP User Manual - Page 31 - NAICS Codes. Can the government clarify the contract level NAICS 334111 for Group A and 541519 for Group B & C. This is different from SOW NAICS 541512.	This question is a duplicate of question #197. Please see the answer to question #197.
1596	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Can the Government please confirm that offerors only need to provide a technical approach for the categories in which they are offering, not all of the categories?	This question is a duplicate of #196. Please see the answer to question #196.
1595	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can the government consider a minimum of 2REPs for Category B similar to Category C for Hubzone, SVOSB, EDWOSB firms?	This question is similar to question #347. Please see the answer to question #347.
1594	(b) Mandatory Experience	Mandatory Experience, Category B & C, Page 87/88: For Small Business and Socioeconomic offerors, will the government consider making the past performance value requirements similar to those listed on page 89 (average annual value of \$500,000/\$1,000,000)? The current total value size of contracts at \$30M will be extremely constraining amongst small business and socioeconomic offerors.	This question is similar to question #585 & #542. Please see the answer to question #585 & #542.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1593	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can LBs participate in CAT 3?	At the time of solicitation and award, Category C is a total small business set-aside.
1592	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can businesses compete as both Prime and as a Sub in the 3 Categories?	This question is similar to question #1066. Please see the answer to question #1066.
1591	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Must all members of a CTA possess the same certifications required in Phase 1?	This question is similar to question #1473. Please see the answer to question #1473.
1590	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Why was the NAICS code changed from SEWP 5 to SEWP 6?	The NAICS code changed from SEWP V to SEWP VI IAW FAR 19.102 (b) which states, the contracting officer shall determine the appropriate NAICS code by classifying the product or service being acquired in the one industry that best describes the principal purpose of the supply or service being acquired.
1589	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	How many awards do you anticipate making on Category C, the Professional Services category?	All qualifying offers will receive an award.
1588	(b) Mandatory Experience	Regarding the mandatory experience for Categories B & C, are you asking for four (4) REPs for each mandatory sub-area which would be a total of 44 for Category B and 44 for Category C? Or are we only to provide four (4) REPs for the specific technical areas for which we are pursuing under each Category?	This question is similar to question #724. Please see the answer to question #724.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1587	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	Category C lists 2 groups that are eligible solution providers designations, Small Business Set Aside and Reserved. What company size and designation qualifies under the Reserved group?	This question is similar to question #533. Please see the answer to question #533.
1586	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Can a company do a JV and submit a solitary bid too?	Yes, as long as no information within the proposal is duplicated.
1585	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Is it mandatory to bid Category A?	This question is similar to question #421. Please see the answer to question #421.
1584	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	The SEWP VI draft RFP is unclear on teaming options that Offerors will have. Please clarify anticipated teaming options for SBs, LBs, and if applicable CTAs, JVs, and MP JVs	This question is similar to question #836 & #557. Please see the answer to question #836 & #557.
1583	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	There was a mention this morning that the RFQ timeline would be drastically different in SEWP VI. Does that mean much faster, much slower, or something else?	SEWP VI is establishing a more streamlined approach with the intention of it being faster.
1582	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Is the CMMI certification required at award, or can it be in progress?	This question is similar to question #17. Please see the answer to question #17.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1581	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Category B bidders are to provide their reseller vendor list as part of Mission Capabilities. Most Cat B type vendors dont have reseller lists. What level of reseller capability is required for Cat B? Can a Cat B bid be successful without one?	This question is similar to question #308 & #307. Please see the answer to question #308 & #307.
1580	A.1.39 CONTRACT PROGRAM PERFORMANCE	Small businesses that were solid performers on SEWP V, are now graduated and in the mid-tier unrestricted space. How will the SEWP VI acquisition strategy be structured to all these good performing SEWP V companies to prime on SEWP VI?	This question is similar to question #574. Please see the answer to question #574.
1579	A.1.39 CONTRACT PROGRAM PERFORMANCE	Is the mandatory performance requirement for SBs the same across all types? I.e. 8a, EDWOSB, HubZone, SDVOSB, etc	This question is similar to question #170. Please see the answer to question #170.
1578	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	Can you elaborate on the AbilityOne requirement? Does every NAICS 541519 order need to be subcontracted to an Ability One organization? Is there a dollar amount threshold per order? Is there a monthly or annual target number of orders a CH needs to sub to an AO org? Will this be an area that contract holders receive a performance rating?	This question is similar to question #1284, #957, and #489. Please see the answer to question #1284, #957, and #489.
1577	A.1.14 STRATEGIC SOURCING INITIATIVE AND APPLICATIONS	Can you provide an estimated value breakdown of contracts by agency?	An estimated value breakdown of orders on SEWP V by agency can be requested by sending an email to help@sewp.nasa.gov .
1576	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	If we have current government clients who wished to utilize the SEWP contracting vehicle for services how who from SEWP is the proper point of contact.	Please submit all SEWP V inquiries to Help@SEWP.NASA.gov

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1575	A.1.26 CONTRACTOR COLLECTION OF AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING FEE	Will the SEWP service fee for providing services and products to other agencies change from SEWP-V to SEWP-VI?	Please see the answer to question #205.
1574	A.1.8 PROCEDURES FOR ORDERS	What is required for a government agency or contracting officer to use NASA SEWP VI? Same for services? Is there a Delegation of Procurement Authority?	A federal government agency or Contracting Officer is able to utilize NASA SEWP VI just as they will utilize any GWAC. No Delegation of Procurement Authority is needed to use SEWP VI. An ordering CO is only required to use the SEWP VI RFQ tool when soliciting an order among SEWP Contract Holders.
1573	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	What's the proposed mixture of services vs product on VI?	SEWP VI will be more service oriented than SEWP V and will allow for both Information technology Services and products to be provided.
1572	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Are subscriptions covered as "products"	As stated in A.1.20 WARRANTY Product and software maintenance, warranty, and licensing shall be treated as a commercial product unless such product is priced on a usage basis; e.g. maintenance on a printer based on usage must be invoiced monthly.
1571	(b) Mandatory Experience	How many REPs can you use per each tier 1 contractor	This question is similar to question #179. Please see the answer to question #179.
1570	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	How is Tracking and Communication facilitated? Is that through a secure portal that both Government and Industry can access?	The SEWP VI RFP Application tool is a secure portal that both Government and Industry can access once register for access.
1569	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	With the addition of services, so you anticipate a larger award base?	This question is similar to question #1215. Please see the answer to question #1215.
1568	A.1.22 UNSPSC CODES	Is the intent of UNSPSC to supersede NAICS code because of its limitations?	No, UNSPSC are another way for buyers to identify the products and or services of interest for a requirement.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1567	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	What is the best avenue for mid-sized businesses? Are JV/CTAs encouraged?	This question is similar to question #447 & #132. Please see the answer to question #447 & #132.
1566	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	Can you provide examples is professional services that will be solicited	The technical areas eligible to be solicited are reflected in Section A.1.2.
1565	(b) Mandatory Experience	Do services on Category C need to relate to a ITC/AC program? As an example a non-IT policy office at US Army can't procure policy or office services through SEWP VI?	SEWP VI is a commercial Information Technology service and supply contract, and therefore the services offered are Information Technology oriented.
1564	(b) Mandatory Experience	Are there specific qualifications and/or attributes that make a small business qualified to provide enterprise-wide services (Category B) versus only qualified to provide program-wide services (Category C)?	The instructions for competing for an SEWP VI Category B and C award are identified in section III.
1563	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	The draft RFP references ISO9001 and CMMI certifications as a prerequisite. Are all small businesses required to hold these certifications to be included in SEWP VI? What about sub-contractors versus primes?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarification.
1562	(b) Mandatory Experience	How are the boundaries between each category defined?	SEWP will not be defining the categories.
1561	(b) Mandatory Experience	Are Primes allowed to use REPs of their subcontractors?	This question is similar to question #564. Please see the answer to question #564.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1560	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Relevant Exp. projects - is that 4 for each mandatory category = 16 total or 1 REP for each mandatory category = 4 total?	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1559	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Please provide detailed instructions on “proof” that a certification is in process for small business. What would be sufficient to be compliant.	This question is similar to question #586. Please see the answer to question #586.
1558	(b) Mandatory Experience	For relevant experience projects (REP): large business requirement is 4 REPs: \$30M. Is that \$30M each of \$30M combined?	This question is similar to question #633 & #585. Please see the answer to question #633 & #585.
1557	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	With each gate/phase, are evaluation notices provided to offerors? If so, how do those mechanics work from a platform notification perspective?	Please see the answer to question #934.
1556	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	What are the minimal months/years of past performance required to be considered a great candidate for SEWP?	Please refer to RFP sections A.3.7.2 and A.4.3.
1555	(b) Mandatory Experience	How do SEWP and the VI iteration correlate to small businesses providing cybersecurity subscription services? Are there any requirements that would not necessarily be applicable in contrast those companies that offer products (i.e., devices, direct software, etc.)?	Cyber security subscription services including all offerings related to such services are in scope for SEWP VI and may be requested from Government customers and then quoted and fulfilled by the Contract Holders. The process for Government and industry is the same as for all SEWP offerings.
1554	A.3.4 OFFER ACCEPTANCE PERIOD	Can you confirm contract start date if award is May 1, 2025?	The target effective date for SEWP VI is May 1, 2025.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1553	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Are drones that carry sensors and/or cameras in scope? Are drone services as an ODC in scope? Are drone operator labor categories and services in scope?	This question is similar to question #583. Please see the answer to question #583.
1552	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Will OTA - based CEPs be considered?	OTA is not utilized in SEWP.
1551	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Will a contractor have freedom of movement after award between restricted and unrestricted within a category?	A contractor will be reflected in the appropriate group within a category based on the company's size standard for a given NAICS code.
1550	II. FAR 52.212-5 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT STATUTES OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS—COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (JUN 2023)	Can you confirm if the non-manufacturer rule applies to small businesses? The answer to this affects offerings and subsequent category groups we respond to.	This question is similar to question #562. Please see the answer to question #562.
1549	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Can a company that is awarded SEWP VI add additional products/services at any point after award during the PoP?	Yes, this is described as part of the technology refreshment process in Sections A.1.23.
1548	(b) Mandatory Experience	The REP of \$5mm for SB is fairly high. Is that three of \$5mm each or is that a total of \$5mm for the three REPs	This question is similar to question #663. Please see the answer to question #663.
1547	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Will a SB awardee be able to see and bid on unrestricted RFQs?	Yes, the unrestricted category is full and open.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1546	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For category A - for small business how the minimum sales are reviewed? Per contract value? Or by annual sales?	This question is similar to question #31. Please see the answer to question #31.
1545	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can subs provide REPs?	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1544	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can we use commercial/ State and Local REPs	This question is similar to question #271. Please see the answer to question #271.
1543	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can one REP cover more than one mandatory requirement or can one be used for more than one mandatory category?	This question is similar to question #171. Please see the answer to question #171.
1542	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Is the requirement for ISO AND CMMI certification for category A changed to either / or instead of both?	This question is similar to question #493. Please see the answer to question #493.
1541	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Regarding duplicate sections of proposal - two members of a JV each decide to prime this. The JV has contract ABCDEFT and each member worked on that contract. Can they both use REP or past performance of contract ABCDEFT, or is that considered "repetitive?"	This question is similar to question #786. Please see the answer to question #786.
1540	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will you consider reducing the 2000 CLINs for some of the sub categories ?	This question is similar to question #129. Please see the answer to question #129.
1539	A.1.30 SUPPLY CHAIN RISK	Are there any specifics around certifications with SCRM?	All requirements are spelled out in the RFP including those concerning SCRM.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1538	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	SEWP V was more product oriented which is easier to evaluate in 5 days i.e. meet the specs, make, model and lowest price wins. How will you evaluate service which typically have a technical response, management, past performance, price, and sometimes key personnel. This is typically a 30 day turn on other vehicles. Do you expect to be shorter than 30 days on services?	The evaluation process is provided in the solicitation. The time period for review will be dependent on the number of offers submitted.
1537	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	The draft language for JVs seemed to be limited to 8(a) JVs, will the final address other potential JV types?	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1536	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	I heard it said that identically written proposals will be disqualified. Does that apply to sections of proposals from the same company proposing on two different Groups, i.e., A & C?	Disqualification will only occur if the duplication is found between two or more offerors, not 1 offeror submitting a proposal to multiple categories.
1535	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Can you define what is considered a product?	The question is not clear. If it concerns warranty, licensing, etc., see section A.1.20.1. Software Maintenance / Product Extended Warranty as a Product.
1534	A.1.14 STRATEGIC SOURCING INITIATIVE AND APPLICATIONS	My small business is a Reseller Is there a tool to introduce my company to offer my service	No.
1533	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Are provisioning, installing, and servicing of EV charging stations that are connected to a network in scope?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1532	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	How are NAICS being categorized? (Small business by revenue, etc)	This question is similar to question #414. Please see the answer to question #414.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1531	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	What is the evaluation criteria for neutral, moderate and high level of confidence?	This question is similar to question #457. Please see the answer to question #457.
1530	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Can you please clarify and scope the definition of what is considered repetitive content in proposals? Does this refer to contract numbers of REPs/PPR? A similar paragraph? etc?	Any content that is repetitive and found within more than contractor's proposal that clearly reflects it is duplicated information.
1529	(a) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	If company is SDVOSB and SB, and going to submit for Category C, is it 2 proposals or one proposal for submission or one can cover multiple socio economic categories?	Only one proposal is required per category. It is the company prerogative to submit a proposal that best meets the requirements of the category.
1528	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	Will there be another Q&A period after the final solicitation is released?	Yes.
1527	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	How long will the rfp be open? 60 days for response would be appreciated if no further drafts are anticipated	Thank you for the suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1526	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	Would NASA consider releasing an updated Draft RFP prior to release of the Final?	This question is similar to question #628. Please see the answer to question #628.
1525	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Why is ISO 9001:2008 mentioned, it is obsolete?	This question is similar to question #590. Please see the answer to question #590.
1524	(b) Mandatory Experience	What is the main difference between REP and past performance?	This question is similar to question #728 & #945. Please see the answer to question #728 & #945.
1523	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Category A, will awarded contract holders be required to maintain the minimum 2000 CLINS per mandatory category throughout the life of the contract?	This question is similar to question #129. Please see the answer to question #129.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1522	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Is ISO 20243 - O-TTPS considered at all	This question is similar to question #1491 & #869. Please see the answer to question #1491 & #869.
1521	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	With all the changes coming to the draft, we can't really start on our proposal. Will NASA release a 2nd draft so that we can start working ahead of the final?	This question is similar to question #1422. Please see the answer to question #1422.
1520	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	A) Gov customers achieve much of their SB set aside goals via SEWP V. With 541512 NAICS for Category A, few resellers will qualify as small under that NAICS. Do you still want SEWP VI to be used to support agency SB set asides? B) if a company is awarded as large under 541512 for Category A, aware you still eligible for future task orders under Category A small biz set asides under another NAICS such as 541519?	This question is similar to question #1419. Please see the answer to question #1419.
1519	A.4.1.1 Firm Down-Select Process	Will participating dealers be allowed to participate in SEWP VI?	This question is similar to question #1433. Please see the answer to question #1433.
1518	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	What is the anticipated due date for the first phase/gate of the proposal response?	This question is similar to question #476. Please see the answer to question #476.
1517	(b) Mandatory Experience	If a company isn't awarded a contract, what is the process to become a SEWP VI provider?	Providers work with one or more SEWP Contract Holders. The Contract Holders have a tool available to submit information about the requested provide. If the provider provides in scope products or services and full information as to the company such as address and website are provided, they will be added to the list of available providers. The SEWP Industry Team can assist in the process.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1516	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	As unpopulated JVs can not be CMMI appraised and the certification non transferable, how can a JV compete on the categories?	Please see the answer to question #230.
1515	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Can an issuing agency select any group or a combination of groups based on actual requirement	An Ordering CO can make the determination of which category to use as necessary for their requirement.
1514	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	Is there any advanced notice provided to industry of upcoming opportunities to allow for time to team for services RFPs?	The SEWP tool notifies eligible contract holders as soon as requirements are solicited by ordering agencies. Ordering COs can release RFIs on the tool as a means to provide advance notice to Contract Holders.
1513	(b) Mandatory Experience	Please clarify the scope limits of category B "improvement and enhancement". Does this include operations? If not, why is coming required? ISO quality delivers the quality desired for large businesses.	The question is unclear. Improvement and enhancement could include operations.
1512	A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers	Will SEWP VI allow local sales or transaction privilege taxes to be passed on to the Government as separate line?	See section A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers
1511	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	When product acquisitions are involved in a Category B Enterprise wide Task Order, is it correct that such products would be ordered from Category A? Such as for example : An Enterprise wide Network infrastructure upgrade that includes switches & routers, monitoring SW, etc. with installation services and some ongoing support Categories A & B would be utilized?	No. Categories are self-contained and requirement will be submitted to one category for quoting and fulfillment. The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1510	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Please see the answer to question #226.	Yes, Enterprise-wide is defined as a large-scale requirement involving multiple departments, locations, and customers.
1509	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will you consider adding WOSB to the subcategory in Category C?	Category C is a total Small Business set-aside that allows for WOSB to compete.
1508	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	For teaming purposes, will the attendance list for the industry day on 10/18 be provided with the slides?	The List of attendees to Industry Day is published on the SEWP VI Home page.
1507	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Will you consider adding 8a setaside	No, there is a small business set aside in all categories which allows for 8as to compete.
1506	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Which category would hardware leasing fall under?	Leasing can be utilized for any order within SEWP.
1505	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will NASA consider adding a mid-size business category similar to recent CIO-SP4 BIC IDIQ contract. HERE, mid-size business we're defined as >\$35M and < \$500M. If so, could different REP TCV minimums be defined as \$15M for mid-size businesses.	This question is similar to question #447. Please see the answer to question #447.
1504	(b) Mandatory Experience	Are REP dollar size requirements based on executed dollars on a project or total contract value?	This question is similar to question #698. Please see the answer to question #698.
1503	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	To Encourage vendors with state and local and/or commercial past performance as well as their federal, how will SEWP6 equally evaluate these different types of past performances as they will not have CPARs?	This question is similar to question #125. Please see the answer to question #125.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1502	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	Federal, state and local and/or commercial past performance be evaluated equally for this NASA SEWP6 contract if they satisfy the minimum requirements?	Yes, Federal, state and local and/or commercial past performance will be evaluated equally for this NASA SEWP6 contract if they satisfy the minimum requirements.
1501	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	Will you consider Minority Owned businesses as a category in group C?	This question is similar to question #880. Please see the answer to question #880.
1500	(b) Mandatory Experience	Do REPs in phase 1 and past performance in phase 2 need to match? Or can they be different contracts?	They can be different contracts.
1499	A.1.42 ON-RAMP ACTIVITY	For open season onboarding, how often will it open up	On ramps are determined by SEWP PMO data analytics demonstrating a low volume of Contract Holders being re-certified as a Small Business or advancement of industry or technology.
1498	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Could you kindly confirm whether the past performance reference period is 3-year or a 5-year period? Additionally, we would like to understand the relevant start date from which this performance period is calculated.	This question is similar to question #1085. Please see the answer to question #1085.
1497	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Could you kindly clarify if past performance references should originate exclusively from the prime contractor, or if it is permissible to include references from both the prime and our team members?	This question is similar to question #1006. Please see the answer to question #1006.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1496	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Could you please advise if it is permissible for a large company to collaborate with a small business prime contractor and receive past performance credit for this collaboration?	This question is similar to question #1005 & #1006. Please see the answer to question #1005 & #1006.
1495	A.1.8 PROCEDURES FOR ORDERS	We have an IDIQ with a customer that every year creates a new task order for the ongoing work we do with them. Can we total these task orders as one past performance since all of them were for the same work stream and customer?	This question is similar to question #1406. Please see the answer to question #1406.
1494	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	During the Industry day, we were convinced that the ISO and CMMI requirement had been modified to read ISO or CMMI. We spoke to someone today who was convinced that was NOT the case. Would the government please clarify that requirement for us? Obviously we can't wait for the RFP to be published to see that CMMI is actually required.	In Section A.3.7.1 (a) it states the ISO and CMMI certification requirements. ISO 9001 certification is required for all categories and CMMI certification is required for only categories B. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1493	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Even after being at the Industry day, someone at both sessions, we are not clear on how questions will be answered over the next few months between now and the release of the RFP. Would the government please share with us how you plan on releasing answers to questions in a way we in the contractor community can see the answers?	NASA will be working to respond to all submitted questions by the end of Jan 2024, all answers will be published via the SEWP QA tool.
1492	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	For the required "Letters of Authorization", is there a required date range (how current)? Perhaps letters should not be dated prior to the date of the draft RFP or the final RFP?	The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1491	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	We are surprised that the O-TTPS, SCRM Certification (ISO 20243) has been removed especially for any VAR / hardware procurement task / support category. Does the government have a replacement requirement planned?	The RFP will provide details as to the requirements and evaluations around Supply Chain Risk Management. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1490	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Regarding the NAICS code of 541512, there is currently a requirement for \$30,000,000 contract value experiences. We don't see how a small business where the size standard is \$34M could have any \$30M contracts much less a series of them as called for in the Draft RFP.	Please see the answer to question #9.
1489	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	For ONLY Category A, for both large and small business bidders, what are the certification requirements? Looking at A.3.7.1(a) it appears that while ISO 9001 is a requirement for all Categories and all business sizes, that CMMI Maturity Level 2 is only required for Categories B & C. Please confirm that bidders for Category A are not required to have the CMMI certification?	Refer to the answer to question #1494.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1488</p>	<p>Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512</p>	<p>Requested Category A NAICS Code change to 334111. According to FAR Clause 19.102(b), https://www.acquisition.gov/far/19.102, “The contracting officer shall determine the appropriate NAICS code by classifying the product or service being acquired in the one industry that best describes the principal purpose of the supply or service being acquired.”. Based on the industry day briefing it was summarized that while product related professional services may be included the primary scope of Category A is most consistent with SEWP-V as a product acquisition based contract.</p> <p>Looking at SBA’s latest direction regarding NAICS Codes and size standards, SBA no longer allows the use of Wholesale Trade NAICS codes (see Section 42, Page 18) for the acquisition of supplies and the SBA further directs both Government acquisitions organizations and prime contractors to use “the applicable manufacturing NAICS code”. The SBA provided additional guidance that when the business concern submitting an offer or quote is categorized as a nonmanufactured they be deemed small if fewer than 500 employees.</p> <p>Additionally, going to the SBA’s Current Class Waiver List, last updated May 15, 2023, https://www.sba.gov/document/support-non-manufacturers-class-waiver-list, the following</p>	<p>Refer to question 1494.</p>
-------------	---	--	--------------------------------

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1487	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Please consider stipulating that the all offerors in the WOSB category are SBA-certified as such.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
1486	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	EXHIBIT 2: Relevant Experience Project Table, Part II: Project Description, states "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT services provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents. You may also highlight or "tag" the location in the supporting documents." Please provide instructions regarding the supporting documents that should be provided in addition to the project description.	No supporting documentation is required for REP. The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1485	A.5.6 FAR 52.219-1 SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAM REPRESENTATIONS. (MAR 2023)	A.5.6 states: (b)(1) The North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code for this acquisition is __ [insert NAICS code]. (2) The small business size standard is __ [insert size standard] . What are the NAICS and size standard that belong in these blanks?	The Final RFP will be revised to clarify that a contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section V 52.213 Offeror Representation and certification is to be completed by the offeror referencing the NAICS code and size standard they are using for competition.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1484	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>A.1.34 states: The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Listed below are the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI.</p> <p>How do these changing NAICS impact the size standards of who can compete under a small business set aside? Is the size standard from the master contract, 541512, still determinative as to who is eligible even if a different NAICS is assigned to a task order?</p>	The Final RFP will be revised to clearly reflect that a contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services.
1483	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>A.1.34 states: The Ordering CO has the responsibility to determine which predominant NAICS code applies to a task order solicitation, whether the task order is unrestricted or set-aside, including the type of socio-economic set-aside if applicable, and whether the solicitation is sole-source or competitive.</p> <p>Does this mean that the master contracts will only be at the unrestricted or small business, and there will be no socio-economic (WOSB, SDVOSB, HUBZone) master contracts?</p> <p>Will Socio-economic set asides only occur at the task order level? IF so, will socio-economic set asides take priority over small business set asides IAW FAR 19.203(c)?</p>	Socio-economic (set-aside): This question is similar to questions #52, 415, 354, 578. Please see the answers of questions #52, #415, #354, #578.
1482	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Groups A2, B2, and C1 are listed as Small Business Set Asides. However, no size standard is listed. Is the size standard \$32.5M according to the assigned NAICS of 541512?	The Size standard is dependent on the NAICS code being used by the contractor for competition. The Final RFP will be revised to provide further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1481	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Can the Government confirm that Category C will also be opened to Unrestricted?	A.1.2 GSFC: Category C is for ITC. AV Professional Service (ITC) and (AV) Services NAICS 541512 Small Business Set Aside. Unrestricted is for Category A and B
1480	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>In Phase 1, Category B, Mandatory Experience REP suggests \$30M. This qualification almost immediately knocks out many small businesses especially with a NAICS ceiling of \$34M. Many small businesses have a large number of contracts often at \$500k per year. We ask that SEWP VI recognize this elimination of most small businesses by reducing this amount to \$500k per year.</p> <p>In Phase 2, Category B, Past Performance. Ditto except the ceiling is \$1m annual average. Many small businesses have a large number of contracts in the \$500k-\$999k range. This requirement eliminates many small businesses. We ask that SEWP VI recognize this challenge.</p> <p>Similar to Comment # 1471</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p> <p>The Final RFP will be revised to clarify that for small businesses- Each Project must have had a minimum of \$5M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p>
1479	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Would the Government consider utilizing the NAICS Code 334112 for the Category A? Seems it is a better fit for the requirements listed in this scope.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1478	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Regarding Page 88, Category C: Extending this criteria to Categories A and B will further increase value amongst socioeconomic offerors and provide the Government with additional flexibility to qualify mature SBs and onboard a diverse coalition of awardees.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
1477	FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)	For a Small Business applying for Category C, how many past performance references are needed in each of the three content representative sectors?	The final RFP is being updated for clarity.
1476	FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)	If a collection of task orders is allowed, can they be a collection of task orders that are subcontracts?	Thank you for your comment however, the Government will not consider allowing bidders to cite a collection of task orders for the mandatory experience requirements.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1475	FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)	If the small business minimum is now \$2 million as stated at Industry Day, how does that change the AAV requirement?	This question is unclear and therefore, will not be answered.
1474	FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)	How many EDWOSBs have participated in SEWP V?	Currently, on SEWP V there are 8 Contract holders that are EDWOSB.
1473	FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)	If we propose a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) where one party holds the ISO 9001 and the other party holds the CMMI Certifications, are we still eligible to bid? Or do both parties in the CTA need these certifications?	Please see the answer to question #230.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1472	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Does the government intend to issue a single contract for all the subsets of Catalog A to an offeror, or does it intend to issue separate contracts?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
1471	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will the Government please consider utilizing a different NAICS code for Category A than Categories B and C? Since Category A is product-related, using a service NAICS code will eliminate many businesses that are still considered small under employee-based NAICS codes from competing as small under NAICS 541512 (\$30m rev SB size standard).	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
1470	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Throughout A.3.7, the Government outlines different requirements for HUBZone, SDVOSB, and EDWOSB than all other small business socioeconomic categories. Would the Government please consider making all small business requirements the same, regardless of socioeconomic category? The requirement favors certain small businesses over others that would have similar hardships in responding in the same manner as is expected for large businesses.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1469	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>The DRFP requests that offerors submit multiple REPs for each of the Mandatory Experience Sub-areas. Especially as it relates to Categories B and C, many companies—small businesses in particular—specialize in their service offerings and will be unable to provide relevant past performance across 10 different service areas. Would the government consider amending the requirement for offerors to provide REPs for a minimum of 2 experience sub-areas? The requirement as stated is unduly restrictive to small businesses and would also limit the Government's access to providers that specialize in a particular area or service offering.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>
1468	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	<p>Under Past Performance for Categories B and C, the requirement for EDWOSB, SDVOSB, and HUBZone small businesses is to provide Past Performance References showing 2 relevant areas of content, but for other small businesses, you must show 3. We would ask the government to reduce the requirements to 2 areas of relevant reference for all small businesses. Socioeconomic status is not relevant to how many areas of performance offerors will have, and other small businesses will struggle to meet the requirements of 3 areas with the high dollar value required for the Past Performance.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>
1467	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>If an offeror submits over 2,000 products, will the offeror be graded based on how many per each subset category in Category A? Will an offeror who does not include products from 1 or more subset areas be non-compliant?</p>	<p>Thank you for your question. The Final RFP will be updated for clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1466	(b) Mandatory Experience	The DRFP is requesting a minimum of 2,000 unique products covering all of the subset areas for Category A. Can the government clarify that this is a total of 2,000 unique products across all subset areas? Are the offerors to assign the products to the subset they apply to, or will the government assign those? How can we be sure they are accurately assigned?	This question is similar to question #1467. Please see the answer to question #1467. Offerors are required to assign the products to the designated subsets.
1465	A.1.26 CONTRACTOR COLLECTION OF AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING FEE	The DRFP states: "An Agency Administrative Handling Fee, not to exceed 3/4 % of the total price of the delivery order, shall be applied to all orders under the SEWP V contracts. The SEWP V website will post the Agency Administrative Handling Fee percentage, and the Contractor shall be notified via email by the SEWP PMO. The handling fee collection shall be done in accordance with the procedures outlined below." Is 3/4% a typo and intended to be .34%, the current fee percentage, or does the government intend to increase the SEWP fee percentage from the current .34%? Additionally, SEWP V is referenced rather than SEWP VI.	This information is unchanged from SEWP V, the fee remains .34 percent, and the handling fee is not to exceed 75% of the total price of the order.
1464	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Can the Government please explain its intent for Categories B and C? Under NAICS code 541512, and with the required Past Performance size, it appears no small business will be able to compete under these catalogs since the requirement for Past Performances of \$500,000 (Category C) or \$1M (Category B) annual revenue for services for a company that is small under 541512 would be preclusive.	As stated in the Draft RFP Section A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE, the scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services along with the other various NAICS codes that can be used.
1463	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Phase 3 – Volume 3 – Mission Suitability Volume does not have any proposal requirements for Category C.	Thank you for your comment. The final RFP will be updated.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1462	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract. This requirement will make it difficult for small business to qualify for SEWP VI. Also the minimum number of REPs to be provided, four (4) in Category B, three (3) in Category C, and two (2) in Category C for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB will make it even more difficult to qualify. Will the government consider changing the dollar thresholds to lower amounts.</p>	Please see the answer to question #9.
1461	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Multiple sections state that “Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration.” This requirement will make it difficult for small business to qualify for SEWP VI. Will the government consider changing the requirements to include information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies.</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
1460	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>Will the government consider accepting either ISO or CMMI certifications instead of both or one plus demonstrated experience? Retaining both certifications is extremely costly for small businesses.</p>	Please see the answer to question #1494.
1459	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Will bidders be allowed to bid as a prime and a sub? E.g., bid as prime in the small business pools and a sub in the large business pools?</p>	<p>There are no restrictions on an entity proposing as part of two different proposals, regardless of size standard, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1458	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION-COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	Will the government evaluate teaming partners performance equal or less than prime performance?	Please see the answer to questions #3 and #7.
1457	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION-COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	Considering ISO and CMMI are costly for small businesses and both demonstrate an organizations commitment to quality, will the government all for either ISO OR CMMI instead of requiring both?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Please see answer to question #1494.
1456	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Document - SEWP_VI_DRFP; Section A.1.3; Page 36: Is SEWP considering the use of a reporting module in the future SEWP VI CHOP, similar to that of the current SEWP V "CHOP Order Details" portal, to help contract holders meet the A.1.3 "Reports of Work" requirement?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
1455	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Document - SEWP_VI_DRFP; Section A.1.3; Page 36: How will A.1.3 "Reports of Work" apply to Category A, especially if the subject orders are product/software-only? Will this requirement conflict or be duplicated efforts with the requirement to report on orders?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1454</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Document - SEWP_VI_DRFP; Section A.1.34; Page 57: NASA has chosen to divide the solicitation into discrete categories (Category A, Category B, and Category C), and has assigned each discrete category a single NAICS code and corresponding size standard. Small Business Size Regulations 13 CFR 121.402 requires the contracting officer to select the single NAICS code and corresponding size standard that best describes the principal purpose of the goods or services to be acquired under that category. The government appears to have ignored these instructions in the selection of the NAICS code for Category A.</p> <p>The Scope of Work for Category A reads “this category represents a broad suite of IT solutions, i.e. technology in which there is an intertwining of products, such as a laptop, and services, such as maintenance and installation, requirements.” Additionally, at the SEWP Industry Day, the government stated that historically SEWP has been 70% product and 30% services.</p> <p>Included in CFR 121 is Note 18 describing NAICS code 541519 with Note 18 exception this way “an Information Technology Value Added Reseller (ITVAR) provides a total solution to information technology acquisitions by providing multi-vendor hardware and</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>
<p>1453</p>	<p>A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)</p>	<p>Can NASA SEWP add to the RFP that software that does not contain at least 50% of manufacture from the small business is prohibited from being added to Group A2/B2/C?</p>	<p>The percentages referenced in Sections 5 and 6 that state a proposal from a small business must include technology covering 25%, and a proposal from a large business must include technology covering 50%, are reflective of the aggregate across the sub-areas in a given technical area.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1452	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>We understand that SEWP VI will utilize NAICS 541512 which has a size standard of \$34M. While it's the Contracting Officer's determination to make, it would be helpful for industry to understand the rationale behind the decision to use this NAICS. As a Federal VAR that has been supporting the Federal market for nearly 25 years, we believe 541519 IT VAR or a 334 NAICS more accurately represents the preponderance of the requirement/contract. This decision will come with other consequences to include what appears to be a greatly reduced number of Small Business awards as most sub-\$34M companies lack the product competency, secure supply chain, and the financial strength to support much of the traditional SEWP activity. Not to mention, they don't routinely "design" systems. The OEM community depend on their trained and trusted partner ecosystem to help service the end user customers and provide pre-sales and post-sales support. We respectfully request that NASA SEWP Contracting Officer reconsider the decision to utilize NAICS 541512</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
1451	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>If it's apparent on its face that the product is manufactured by a large business, will NASA SEWP reject offerors who add large business content to Group A2/B2/C?</p>	This question is unclear and therefore cannot be answered.
1450	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>Given the stated application of NMR, will NASA SEWP allow the addition of large business software to Group A2/B2/C?</p>	No

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1449	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	While the NASA SEWP surcharge of 0.34% is an excellent value for the average (\$150K) SEWP V order, the value doesn't scale competitively for enterprise solutions task orders that exceed \$100M. Will NASA consider establishing a surcharge cap at \$170K per year per order for Category B orders in excess of \$50M/year similar to other GWACs supporting enterprise solutions?	This question is a similar of question #1048. Please see the answer to question #1048.
1448	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Given the application of NMR to SINS listed in the RFP, together with SINS suggested by industry (and should NAICS code 514519 be added, the application of NMR to it) what is NASA's interpretation of rule and its impact on SEWP VI? Would NASA issue guidance and educated mission customers that the procurement of large business software under set aside contracts would violate NMR? There is significant confusion in various agencies procurement on this matter and clear guidance from SEWP would be welcome.	This question is a duplicate of question #1445. Please see the answer to question #1445.
1447	A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 REPORTS OF WORK (IDIQ/BPA) (MAR 2022)	Is it your intent to have monthly reports for every task order sent to the SEWP CO? This seems like something better addressed by the issuing CO at the TO level.	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1446	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	Given recent GAO/COFC decisions, how will SEWP evaluate pricing at the master contract level?	The Source Evaluation Board will conduct evaluations in accordance with FAR 15.304 (c)(1)(ii)(A), and not evaluate price.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1445	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Given the application of NMR to SINS listed in the RFP, together with SINS suggested by industry (and should NAICS code 514519 be added, the application of NMR to it) what is NASA's interpretation of the rule and its impact on SEWP VI? Would NASA issue guidance and educated mission customers that the procurement of large business software under set aside contracts would violate NMR? There is significant confusion in various agencies procurement on this matter and clear guidance from SEWP would be welcome.	This Question is a duplicate of question #1448. Please see the answer to question #1448.
1444	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	How do you determine small business? Volume? Employees? Size & Scope of the current contract Companies are working on? Companies can have 500 employees but still be considered as a small business. As per the government standard small size definition what is the smallest size and revenue would be considered towards the response and acceptance of this RFQ?	The Small Business Administration (SBA) assigns a business size standard to each NAICS code, which is usually stated in number of employees or average annual receipts, to represent the largest size that a business (including its subsidiaries and affiliates) may be to remain classified as a small business by the SBA to qualify for small business socio-economic programs. Each NAICS code assigned to SEWP has its own associated size standard.
1443	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will there be an opportunity to have provider/supplier pages with information maintained by industry with 508 VPATs, warranty, end user commercial computer software and commercial license agreements, etc.?	The SEWP Program will discuss improvements and additions to the SEWP Website after Contract Award.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1442	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Category B and C refers to Enterprise ICT and AV solutions and Program ICT/AV solutions. However, the sub areas does not identify any specific AV sub tasks that should be met under those categories. Please clarify how AV will fall within the scope of these categories and their sub areas.	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1441	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	During industry day it was stated that if a Small business does not qualify for NAICS 541512 and utilizes another acceptable NAICS code for the proposal, then if awarded it would be in Category A1 and considered a Large business. Will SEWP VI A1 awardees be able to access and submit against the Small Business opportunities on SEWP VI Category A2, or will the RFQs be segregated similar to how it currently works with Large vs Small on SEWP V?	Offerors will only be able to respond to solicitations that correspond to the size standard reflected by the size standard of the offerors associated NAICS Code. The Final RFP will be revised accordingly.
1440	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Referencing p. 88 under Section (b) Mandatory Experience, Category C, it states "A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." We recommend that Small Businesses have a lower project value minimum requirement than \$30M as most Small Businesses do not have contracts with a Total Value over \$30M. We recommend the REP minimum value be reduced to \$10M OR align more closely with the Past Performance minimum Annual Value requirement of \$500,000 (Category C).	Please see the answer to question #9.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1439	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Referencing p. 87 under Section (b) Mandatory Experience, Category B, it states "A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." We recommend that Small Businesses have a lower project value minimum requirement than \$30M as most Small Businesses do not have contracts with a Total Value over \$30M. We recommend the REP minimum value be reduced to \$10M OR align more closely with the Past Performance minimum Annual Value requirement of \$1M (Category B).	Please see the answer to question #9.
1438	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Referencing p. 87 under Section (b) Mandatory Experience, For Category B and C, it states "Relevant experience from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration." We recommend that Small Businesses be allowed to leverage NASA SEWP VI teaming partner (subcontractor) projects as REPs as most Small Businesses do not have the volume of projects to cover all the Category B and C Sub Areas as a solo prime offeror.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1437	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	The SEWP Program Office has always been a proponent of Small Business utilization within Federal procurement. SEWP VI Category A is comprised of NAICS that are specified for manufacturing, information and service solutions and not specified for resellers. It was stated at the Industry Day on Oct 18 that SEWP VI Category A is technically the same scope as SEWP V. We respectfully suggest a change to NAICS code 541519 for SEWP VI Category A. (As a current SEWP contract holder, we have no issue with the annual certification we do to comply with the Non-Manufacturing rule.)	This question is similar to question #1436. Please see the answer to question #1436.
1436	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Current SEWP VI Draft-RFP is utilizing NAICS code 541512, which has an operating standard of \$34 Million and no exception. Businesses that qualify under the \$34M can possibly have issues around creditworthiness, cash flow, staffing, and SCRM and ISO 9001 certs necessary to process larger orders. This could drastically impact the small business awards and attainment of goals for the Federal Government. We respectfully suggest a change to NAICS code 541519 for SEWP VI Category A.	Similar to question 1437

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1435	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Will a Small Business Audiovisual and VTC systems integrator be allowed to participate in competition if it does not provide IT-based products and services. For example, Category A (instructions) requires all contractors to provide 2,000 CLINs/pricing for each sub-area. Due the nature of our business as a specialized AV/VTC engineering design firm, we do not offer the following 4 categories:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. IT Computer Systems/ Storage Devices/ Compute Facilities 2. Networking Technology/ Mobility & Communications 3. Software and Cloud Technology 4. Supporting Technology/ Security Technology <p>Can we still participate if we only design, sell, and integrate A/V Equipment and Product Based services. We can certainly meet the 2,000 CLINs with just AV/VTC related products and all Past Performance, REP, and other requisite information for compliance.</p>	This question is similar to question #131. Please see the answer to question #131.
1434	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	Would companies that are sub-contractors or have a Contract Teaming Agreement need to hold the same ISO 9001 and CMMI Certifications as the Prime Contractor to perform on the specific awarded category (A, B, or C) contract?	Please see the answer to questions #230, #488, #445.
1433	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	Will SEWP PMO allow for the use of Participating Dealers on contracts held by prime contractors who are recognized distributors or companies who act as aggregators? If so, would the participating dealers be able to billed the government directly or would that have to be done through the prime contractor?	The Prime Contract Holder is responsible for all activities related to orders placed against their contract including order fulfillment, billing and payment.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1432</p>	<p>(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR</p>	<p>Regarding Section A.3.7.2. PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR The draft states that “no information is requested for proposed subcontractors. Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated.” PSC recognizes this aligns with the Attachment A SEWP Statement of Work, which states its objective to “embrace and facilitate innovative procurement transactions and processes that will place a minimal administrative burden on the customer, contractor, and the Government while minimizing incompatibilities and maximizing strategic decision-making across the IT infrastructure.” PSC members seek clarification from the Government that if an Offeror has a subcontracting partner for the solicitation response for any category, the Offeror can only use their own experience aligned to the Mandatory Sub-Areas and for the Past Performance Volume. PSC recommends modifying the language of page 89 to read: “Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated for any category.”</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question number #1427. Please see question #1427 for the answer.</p>
-------------	---	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1431	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>Regarding Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR, the Government states, "If applicable, Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror where the Offeror's proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror. The Offeror shall demonstrate that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor company (its workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) shall be provided or relied upon for contract performance such that the parent, affiliate, or predecessor will have meaningful involvement in contract performance." PSC requests additional clarity on whether "shall offer...meaningful involvement in contract performance" means requiring a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter from the Offeror, or if the Government will accept reasonable documentation that describes the relationship between entities fulfill the requirement.</p> <p>As mentioned in a separate comment, PSC notes that companies regularly implement programs with staff and resources from across their structure, to include affiliates. Therefore, to fully harness industry capabilities in providing solutions to government missions, and to "embrace and facilitate innovative management practices and processes" and to</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #1428. Please see the answer to question #1428.
------	----------------------------------	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1430</p>	<p>A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance</p>	<p>Regarding Section A.4.3 Phase-two Past Performance, on page 99, the draft states, “The Government may review and consider past performance information on other contracts that it is aware of or that are made available from other sources and inquiries with previous customers. The Government may consider past periods of performance in evaluating overall relevance. These contracts (if any) must meet above the “recent” and minimum average annual cost/fee expenditures criteria to be evaluated.” PSC and its member companies appreciate the Government benefit from indicators of good performance in other projects. However, to ensure fair consideration of contractor performance from other contracts of which the Government is aware, or made available from other sources, such contracts evaluated should met similarity in scope. Companies may have a range of business lines and may operate differently based on each business line capability. For example: a company competing in Category C which offers expanded capabilities in Network Services (Category C) may have a less experienced segment providing IT Computer Systems (Category A) whose performance on an IT Computer Systems contract outside of the scope of their competition may unfairly exempt them from award when they are able to provide exemplary service within their competitive category. PSC recommends the Government only evaluate</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration.</p>
-------------	---	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1429	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Regarding Section A.3.7.2. PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR The draft states that “no information is requested for proposed subcontractors. Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated.” PSC recognizes this aligns with the Attachment A SEWP Statement of Work, which states its objective to “embrace and facilitate innovative procurement transactions and processes that will place a minimal administrative burden on the customer, contractor, and the Government while minimizing incompatibilities and maximizing strategic decision-making across the IT infrastructure.” PSC members seek clarification from the Government that if an Offeror has a subcontracting partner for the solicitation response for any category, the Offeror can only use their own experience aligned to the Mandatory Sub-Areas and for the Past Performance Volume. PSC recommends modifying the language of page 89 to read: “Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated for any category.”	This question is a duplicate of question #1427. Please see the answer to question #1427.
------	---------------------------------	--	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1428</p>	<p>A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME</p>	<p>Regarding Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR, the Government states, “If applicable, Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror where the Offeror's proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror. The Offeror shall demonstrate that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor company (its workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) shall be provided or relied upon for contract performance such that the parent, affiliate, or predecessor will have meaningful involvement in contract performance.” PSC requests additional clarity on whether “shall offer...meaningful involvement in contract performance” means requiring a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter from the Offeror, or if the Government will accept reasonable documentation that describes the relationship between entities fulfill the requirement.</p> <p>As mentioned in a separate comment, PSC notes that companies regularly implement programs with staff and resources from across their structure, to include affiliates. Therefore, to fully harness industry capabilities in providing solutions to government missions, and to “embrace and facilitate innovative management practices and processes” and to</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.</p>
-------------	--	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1427	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Regarding Section A.3.7.2. PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR The draft states that “no information is requested for proposed subcontractors. Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated.” PSC recognizes this aligns with the Attachment A SEWP Statement of Work, which states its objective to “embrace and facilitate innovative procurement transactions and processes that will place a minimal administrative burden on the customer, contractor, and the Government while minimizing incompatibilities and maximizing strategic decision-making across the IT infrastructure.” PSC members seek clarification from the Government that if an Offeror has a subcontracting partner for the solicitation response for any category, the Offeror can only use their own experience aligned to the Mandatory Sub-Areas and for the Past Performance Volume. PSC recommends modifying the language of page 89 to read: “Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated for any category.”</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
------	----------------------	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1426	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Regarding Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience, and A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR, page 87 indicates for Category A, that “Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration. For Categories B and C “relevant experience from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration.” However, for Past Performance Volume, Information from the Offeror, page 89 states “If applicable, Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror where the Offeror's proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror. The Offeror shall demonstrate that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor company (its workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) shall be provided or relied upon for contract performance such that the parent, affiliate, or predecessor will have meaningful involvement in contract performance.”</p> <p>Companies regularly implement programs with staff and resources from across their structures, to include affiliates. This broadens their capabilities in support of government hardware, software and services</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, The government will take it into consideration.</p>
------	----------------------	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1425	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>Regarding Section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK: Unlike Categories A and B, which allow for Unrestricted and a Small Business Set Aside competition, Category C only allows for Group C1 - Small Business Set Aside and Group C2 - "RESERVED". PSC members note that not allowing an Unrestricted track in Category C may limit the government's opportunities to access full industry capabilities defined in their SEWP Statement of Work, "to provide a suite of IT services to assist the Government in maximizing the IT infrastructure by leveraging the latest service offerings including cloud services, managed and shared services, consulting, training and support, and operational and engineering services."</p> <p>PSC questions the value in potentially restricting Category C to small business set asides only, if that is indeed the government's intent by reserving Group C2. Additionally, the term RESERVED for this group lacks definition and presents confusion for those who would seek to allocate resources in bidding for this Category C, and do not have clear understanding of where opportunities may exist. PSC therefore recommends Group C2 replaces RESERVED with Unrestricted, matching Categories A and B, to enable industry preparation for providing the best possible options for customer solutions. At a minimum, in the next draft, the government should clearly define the term RESERVED so industry may understand NASA's</p>	Thank you for your comment, The government will take it into consideration.
------	---	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1424</p>	<p>A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)</p>	<p>PSC members have concerns that the broadened scope in SEWP VI services within Category C overlaps with other GWACS and may create undue contract selection competition from government customers who may, in turn, be unable to access broader vendor selection. Proposals require Offerors to conduct years-long, planning and approvals processes that, especially for small businesses, may allow them to pick one GWAC contract on which to bid. GSA's Alliant 3 and Polaris offer the same competitive categories of the entirety of the SEWP VI Draft Category C - IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) Services – NAICS 541512. Therefore, the small businesses seeking to provide services on this contract in Category C's Small Business Set Aside may be materially excluded from bidding on other available GWACS before SEWP VI's RFP in 2024, and award anticipated May 1, 2025. This does not serve the intent of a set-aside and limits government customer opportunities to harness such small business capabilities in the interim. In effect, this causes a pick-and-choose environment for government customers and industry partners, inevitably limiting opportunities for both. PSC recognizes that SEWP VI provides a more qualitative assessment of vendor capabilities than the scorecard methodology of other GWACS, which may offer opportunities to win under this contract for these small businesses unable to</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, The government will take it into consideration.</p>
-------------	--	--	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1423	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>The ISO 9001 and CMMI certifications are very challenging for small businesses with less than 100 employees to obtain. Would the government consider allowing companies with considerable federal past performance to demonstrate those capabilities instead?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, The government will take it into consideration.</p>
1422	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>PSC appreciates NASA's recent industry outreach, including but not limited to the Draft RFP published on September 8, 2023, and the industry day held on October 18, 2023. Given the complexity of this RFP, and from experience working with the government to develop similar GWAC and IDIQ competitions (e.g., GSA's Polaris, OASIS+, Alliant 3; VA's T4NG2), PSC believes NASA would benefit from releasing at least one more SEWP VI Draft RFP with a full comment period before publishing the final RFP. PSC member companies frequently advise that a final RFP be as close as possible to the final draft to allow industry to prepare their offered solutions accurately and effectively and to mitigate the protest risk based upon unexpected changes to final requirements or contradictory clauses that could be resolved with draft review. PSC strongly recommends NASA release drafts RFP with reasonable comment periods or, at minimum, one more draft with a reasonable comment period before publishing its final RFP.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, The government will take it into consideration.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1421	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	For a small business priming in category B and C, is the certification requirements for BOTH the ISO 9001:2015 and CMMI Maturity Level 2? At the industry day, we observed slides that said SB must have either/or and then later in the presentation, a slide said both are needed. Please clarify.	Please see the answer to question #1494. CMMI Certification question: In Section A.3.7.1 (a) it states the ISO and CMMI certification requirements. ISO 9001 certification is required for all categories and CMMI certification is required for categories B and C.
1420	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 and A3.7.2 For a large business Priming in Category B, do you need at least 4 \$30M Projects that each cover at least 4 of the technical areas in Category B? Do you need to cover all of the technical areas in Category B with at least one of the referenced Projects?	Please see the answer to question #165.
1419	A.1.32 GSFC 52.219-90 SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN AND REPORTS. (NOV 2021)- APPLICABLE TO LARGE BUSINESSES	Will the Government please provide a recommended approach on how those businesses that may be classified as 'Other than Small' under NAICS 541512 as a prime contractor but would be considered Small under other NAICS (such as 541519, 33411, etc.) for task orders, are to report subcontracting plan requirements under DRFP A.1.32 and A.4.5(b)? Can an "Other than Small" awardee, also be a Small Business on the subcontracting plan reporting?	The RFP will be revised for further clarity to reflect only submission of commercial subcontracting plans by other than small businesses.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1418</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Category A NAICS Code: On SEWP V, Category C included the VAR Exception NAICS 541519 with a size standard of 150 employees for small businesses. The SEWP VI Draft RFP uses NAICS 541512 for all three Categories with a size standard of \$34M. While 541512 is an appropriate NAICS code for Categories B and C that are both primarily services, Category A is primarily reselling IT COTS products with wrap-around, value-added services required by SEWP VI's customers. ITVARs complying with FAR 52.215-23 "Limitations on Pass-Through Charges" limits the amount of revenue and fee ITVARs actually receive from processing an order. Large orders are primarily the cost of the product and shipping, which makes revenue comparison and size comparison with professional services contractors impossible. Changing the small business size standard from 150 employees to \$34M annual revenue will significantly limit the small business vendor pool in Category A who have experience processing medium and large volume orders for SEWP VI customers. While having one NAICS code will simplify acquisitions, applying the size standard of \$34M to Category A will eliminate a significant portion of small business ITVARs currently fulfilling orders on SEWP V and negatively impact customers making medium to large purchases, set-aside for small business, moving forward on SEWP VI because the vendors will not have much experience</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.</p>
-------------	---	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1417	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	<p>Per Section A.3.7.2.a, please consider revising the requirement from "...within the three (3) years of the solicitation due date.." to "...within three (3) year(s) of the solicitation release date..." This eliminates the arduous task to reevaluate and rework past performance citations with every extension of the due date.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.</p>
1416	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>SEWP VI DRFP 80TECH23R0001.pdf. Section/Page A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification For Category B and C Page 87 of 133. Offeror Comment/Concern: The Category B and C CMMI appraisal requirement is new to the SEWP contract. We anticipate an increased number of applicants which may delay the response time from the CMMI Institute to process each application. Due to the scope and activities required to complete an application process (generally 6-12 months) and anticipated delays outside of bidders' control, Offeror recommends NASA amend the requirement for Categories B and C to consider that Offerors are in the process of CMMI appraisal at the time of proposal submission with appraisal at 12 months following award of contract.</p> <p>This request stems from the CMMI Institute's prescribed certification process and timeline, as well as the institute's exclusive role as the ultimate certification authority.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1415	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	A.3.7.1 Offer Volume, (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification: Will the Government consider demonstrative equivalent capabilities in lieu of a CMMI Certification?	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.
1414	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	A.3.7.1 Offer Volume, (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification: Would the Government consider allowing credit for affiliate certification if meaningful commitment can be provided?	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.

1413	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	<p>A.3.7.1 Offer Volume, (b) Mandatory Experience: The Government identifies that “Relevant experience from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration”. It is our belief that exclusion of relevant experience from affiliates, specifically, may unduly restrict competition. We encourage the Government to consider companies to leverage affiliate information for Mandatory Experience across all categories as long as the Offeror can demonstrate that the resources of the parent or affiliate will affect and contribute to the performance of the Offeror.</p> <p>According to A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance: “Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror where the Offeror’s proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror. The Offeror shall demonstrate that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor company (its workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) shall be provided or relied upon for contract performance such that the parent or affiliate or predecessor will have meaningful involvement in contract performance.” We encourage the Government to apply these same instructions to the Mandatory Experience requirement.</p>	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.
------	---	--	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1412</p>	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>SEWP Draft Document: SEWP VI DRFP 80TECH23R0001.pdf. Section/Page A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification For Category B and C, Page 87 of 133. Offeror Comment/Concern: According to discussions held during the recent Industry Day pertaining to CMMI certification, small businesses were afforded a deadline of CMMI certification 12 months after award of contract. This information is not currently in the Draft RFP, but is to be provided in the government's release of bidder Q&A from the Industry Day.</p> <p>Based on the current SEWP timeline, the government is asking large businesses to be appraised at time of proposal submission (approximately 6 months draft RFP release), but allowing small businesses potentially more than 18-24 months to obtain an appraisal after contract award. To maintain a fair and equitable evaluation, Offeror recommends the government extend the mandatory final certification deadline for all offerors (large and small) to 12 months following contract award.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.</p>
-------------	---	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1411</p>	<p>A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE</p>	<p>Reference: A.1.34 NAICS Codes At Industry Day on October 18, 2023, NAICS code 541519 without the footnote was discussed for SEWP VI. We believe the exclusion of the footnote for the employee size count standard would eliminate numerous qualified small businesses from consideration.</p> <p>Small businesses, under the employee size standard, have delivered managed services solutions to the federal government for decades. The XaaS and managed services fundamentally require a blending of professional services with hardware, software, and maintenance. The value of the embedded hardware, software and maintenance, when delivered at a scale appropriate to NASA/SEWP, will quickly exceed the revenue size standard associated with NAICS code 541512.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.</p>
<p>1410</p>	<p>A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 REPORTS OF WORK (IDIQ/BPA) (MAR 2022)</p>	<p>The solicitation describes requirements for Services reports & Progress reports; what type of task order, and which contract categories, does this requirement apply to? This seems to be applicable to service engagements, and would be a significant administrative burden if applied to Category A and/or product tasks orders.</p>	<p>The Final RFP is being revised for clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1409	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Can you confirm that if a small business becomes other-than-small in accordance with NAICS 541512, the contractor would be able to participate in full & open competitions?	If a small business becomes Large the small business must then follow these steps: The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that a lateral/ vertical on-ramp does not occur automatically for a contract, and that a capability determination must be met by the contractor before the transition. If a Contractor is found incapable of meeting the necessary qualifications the vertical/ lateral on-ramp will not occur and procedures for dormant status and/or Off ramping will proceed.
1408	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	From the discussion at SEWP Industry Day, we understood that customers would not be able to solicit only to the “Unrestricted” categories; their options are either full & open or small (including available socio-economic set-aside options)?	Yes, each category has Group 1 – Unrestricted, and Group 2 - Small Business Set Aside. The set-aside status of orders is determined at the order level and depends upon the requirements.
1407	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Will customers be able to solicit for product-only requirements in Categories B & C, or will the SEWP PMO direct those customers to Category A?	Categories B and C are ITC/AV Services contracts. Product-only requirements are therefore not in scope for those Categories. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1406	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 We have an IDIQ with a customer that every year creates a new task order for the ongoing work we do with them. Can we total these task orders as one past performance since all of them were for the same work stream and customer?	The RFP is being updated for clarification.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1405	A.1.7 AUTHORIZE CONTRACT TYPES	Will each contract type (Fixed Price Award Fee, Fixed Price Incentive Fee, Fixed Price Economic Price Adjustment) be permitted in Category A? A Fixed Price Award Fee is atypical for the sale of COTS products and would require contractors to implement approved accounting and purchase systems (a very costly and arduous task for small businesses).	The SEWP GWAC allows for Firm Fixed Price, Time and Material, Labor Hour, Fixed Price Award Fee, Fixed Price Incentive Fee, and Fixed Price Economic Price Adjustment orders.
1404	(b) Mandatory Experience	The solicitation requires “item price” for Category A proposed CLINs. In the final RFP and/or the pricing template, please define “item price” (i.e. proposed SEWP price, MSRP, etc.)?	This question is similar to question #395.
1403	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Category B & Category C, can the same REP count for multiple sub-areas?	This question is similar to question #5. Please see the answer to question #5.
1402	(b) Mandatory Experience	Many Providers offer products that span across multiple sub-areas, however the DRFP suggests that a provider may only be used for one Technical Mandatory Sub-Area. That restriction should apply to a CLIN or product, not the Provider.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration.
1401	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	The solicitation mentions a Letter of Authorization (A.3.7.3 - A); and at the SEWP Industry Day it was announced that letters from Distributors will not be accepted. Many if not most IT manufacturers leverage the services of Value Added Distributors (VADs) to support this request for its authorized partners. Will the SEWP Program reconsider allowing these letters to come from manufacturer-authorized distributors?	Please see the answer to questions #857 and #511.
1400	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	In Exhibit 1-Relevant Experience Project Table, the project description mentions that an offeror can highlight or 'tag' supporting documents. Would the Government please confirm if there will be a specific 'tag' format provided in the final RFP?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1399	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	In the Draft RFP, A.33 Proposal Submission, A.3.7.1, Offeror Volume page 88, Category C - Mandatory Experience would the Government please consider lowering the minimum requirement of \$30M per REP as many small businesses have relevant contracts that are not at that ceiling.	Please see the answer to question #9.
1398	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	In the Draft RFP, A.33 Proposal Submission, A.3.7.1, Offeror Volume page 88, Category C - Mandatory Experience would the Government please confirm that it would permit the use of a BPA that has active awards totaling \$30M or more in regard to the single order or contract requirement?	Please see the answer to question #9.
1397	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	In the Draft RFP, A.33 Proposal Submission, A.3.7.1, Offeror Volume, page 88, Category C - Mandatory Experience, would the Government confirm if an offeror can use one contract multiple times if it applies to several mandatory experience sub-areas?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1396	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For Joint Venture mentor/protégé agreements, we recommend that Past Performance Projects can be provided from either company in the JV. Mentor/Protégé relationships are designed for the purpose to help small business compete.	This question is a duplicate to question #1394. Please see the answer to question #1394.
1395	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	We recommend that NASA accept CPARS in lieu of PPQs. This reduces the burden on government employees, prevents delays in receiving relevant information, and still provides a clear picture of performance.	This question is similar to questions #48, and #161. Please see the answer to questions #48 and #161.
1394	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Joint Venture mentor/protégé agreements, we recommend that Relevant Experience Projects can be provided from either company in the JV. Mentor/Protégé relationships are designed for the purpose to help small business compete.	Thank you for your recommendation, the government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1393	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	The NAICS codes listed in A.1.34 that allow for an employee-based size standard are for manufacturing. For a true ITVAR, the only small business codes available are based on revenue. NAICS 541519 'exception' for ITVAR, is the only NAICS code that allows for small business based on employee count but is eliminated from the NAICS list. Will this be added for the final RFP?	Thank you for your recommendation, the government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
------	---	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1392</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Regarding Relevant Experience Projects (REP) (Volume I Offer Volume) and Past Performance Projects (Volume II Past Performance Volume), there is a gap in our understanding of what the Government is requesting. Each of these have vastly different requirements both in their Volume Instructions and in the Evaluation Criteria (pages 89-99). This leads us to two questions:</p> <p>1. A.4.2/A.4.3: The government is evaluating relevant experience per the REP template as specified in Section A.3.7.1(b) and the government is evaluating past performance using the criteria in Section A.3.7.2 meeting recency and min average annual cost. Further, A.4.3 describes two parts: a size rate relevant (similar scope with an average value x, p.98) and a content rated relevant (references showcasing x content representative areas). It is unclear if the same past performances can be used for A.4.2 and A.4.3. Please clarify the number of REP and past performance examples needed for A.3.7.1(b) and A.3.7.2 to meet A.4.2 and A.4.3 evaluation criteria, respectively. If both are separate and distinct with no overlap or common use, the number of REP and two-part performances far exceed any reasonable number for a SB; thereby, limiting competition and disadvantaging SBs regardless of category.</p> <p>2. If the Government is directing offerors to provide</p>	<p>Past performance and Relevant Experience are two distinct and separate sections that do not overlap. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
-------------	---	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1391	(b) Mandatory Experience	We recommend that large programs encompassing multiple Mandatory Experience sub-areas be allowed to be referenced as an REP in multiple sub-areas, assuming the SOW is sufficiently similar to support this.	Thank you for your recommendation, the government will take it into consideration.
1390	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	If the final RFP requires mandatory quoting, how will those items be selected?	The question is unclear and therefore will not be answered.
1389	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	In SEWP V, a mandatory list of products was included to be quoted regardless if the quoter was authorized and those items have remained on the SEWP contracts catalog regardless of authorization. Will this practice be repeated for SEWP VI?	No, SEWP VI is different from SEWP V.
1388	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Will NASA consider adding clarifying scope to categories? Specifically, could NASA add ordering 'scenarios' to distinguish between how NASA and SEWP Users might be prohibited from using a certain category given service / solution requirements?	Thank you for your recommendation, the government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1387	A.1.7 AUTHORIZE CONTRACT TYPES	Will NASA consider adding FAR 16.3 Cost-Reimbursement Contracts for task order contract types permitted under NASA SEWP VI for the Category B: Enterprise-wide IT Solutions GWAC? Our experience is a significant percentage of the enterprise-wide market with a strong services element are awarded on a cost-reimbursement basis under the other federal GWACs and is an important option for end customers.	Please see the answer to question #288. A.1.7 AUTHORIZE CONTRACT TYPES The SEWP GWAC allows for Firm Fixed Price, Time and Material, Labor Hour, Fixed Price Award Fee, Fixed Price Incentive Fee, and Fixed Price Economic Price Adjustment orders. Ordering Contracting Officers are required to include the appropriate FAR and agency clauses when using a respective contract type.
1386	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	It is recommended that the SEWP Program Office consider releasing all SEWP VI solicitation notices publicly, via SAM.gov, versus restricting direct visibility of solicitation releases to the prime contract holders through the SEWP portal. This expanded access will increase the visibility and awareness for the full ecosystem of companies who can then work with their primes to make timely bid decisions.	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.
1385	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	There are 3 categories. Can we bid on any category? Or response needs to be for all 3 categories? Categories are A. B. C?	A business prime can bid on any 1 or 3 categories. Only one bid is allowed per category.
1384	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	dRFP states: The proposal must include a suite of technology that covers at least 50% of the additional non-mandatory Technical sub-areas. Please clarify if this is 50% of each additional sub-area within each of the six Mandatory Technical Areas or if its 50% of all additional sub-areas combined.	The percentages referenced in Sections 5 and 6 that state a proposal from a small business must include technology covering 25%, and a proposal from a large business must include technology covering 50%, are reflective of the aggregate across the sub-areas in a given technical area.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1383	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Requesting to please base the minimum annual revenue for a small business participation to \$500 Thousand with an excellent record of past performance. This will help small business with experience and a good reput to participate. This will help small business to grow.	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.
1382	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	The Proposal Component table on page 84 lists a Phase-In Plan. What are the requirements of the Phase-In Plan?	Submits a proposal that conforms to the scope category requirements of the solicitation in which they are proposing.
1381	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Will the Government explain if the Excel document requested in the Technical Approach is the same document requested for the Volume I Offer Volume for Mandatory Experience? If not, what are the parameters for the Excel document requested in the Technical Approach?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1380	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	For categories B and C when will items need to be added to their catalog? Would that all happen post award in response to a requirement or will there comes a time when companies need to have a baseline offering?	Any contractor that opts-in to a strategic catalog or storefront shall provide a quarterly reconciliation to the cognizant catalog CO to inform the customer of changes to the part number, SKU, or CLINs affecting the catalog. Catalog holders shall provide this information in the form of a “before/after” document that crosswalks the previous product identifier to the new one.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1379	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	On page 84, Letters of Authorization are listed before the Category-specific Solutions. On page 93, Letters of Authorization are listed again to be included in the Technical Approach summary. Will the Government please confirm the Letters of Authorization are to be included before the Technical Approach summary?	The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1378	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Will the Government confirm they want the Cover Page and Indices for Volume III before III-A Technical Approach?	As described in Section A.3.6(b) Proposal Content and Page limit a cover page and indices are only required for the III-A Technical Approach and III-B Management Approach. No cover page and indices are required for Volume III before the respective subfactors.
1377	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Will the Government clarify that they are looking for summaries of points 1-9 for each Past Performance example, and points 10-12 for the offeror as a whole?	Yes.
1376	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Will SEWP allow offeror past performance contracts in which the offeror is a subcontractor on the contract?	Yes.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1375	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Draft Exhibit 1 is the Relevant Experience Project Table. However, the actual template is labeled "Exhibit 2" instead of "Exhibit 1."	Thank you for your comment, The government will make the necessary updates on the final RFP.
1374	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Please define how SCRM is relevant to Category C (Services only)?	FOR CATEGORY B and C - The Offeror shall describe ancillary products required for performance of specific tasks and corporate risks associated with SCRM (e.g., availability and security of corporate resources).
1373	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	When bidding on Category C, can Prime refer to their (or their Subs) GSA MAS labor categories and prices for the service offerings (under the Technical and Professional Services and Cloud SINS)?	This question is unclear if it is referencing relevant experience, past performance, or mission suitability and therefore cannot be answered.
1372	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	If a Prime is submitting their proposal just for Category A, can their Sub turnaround and submit (neither duplicated nor replicated) Category C proposal (with Prime as their Sub)?	A business prime can bid on any 1 or 3 categories. Only one bid is allowed per category.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1371	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Draft Exhibit 1 is the Relevant Experience Project Table. However, the actual template is labeled “Exhibit 2” instead of “Exhibit 1.”	Thank you for your comment.
1370	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Draft Attachment B, Category 4 has a table with the Report Schedule. The third column, Reference, lists many references that are titled, “Section A...” The DRFP contains sections that are labeled “A...” but they do not correspond to the references in Draft Attachment B. Can the Government provide the references that are called out in this table?	Attachment B will be updated with the Final RFP.
1369	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Just to confirm, although the proposals can be different (no duplication or a replica), can a Prime and Sub (interchange) and submit 2 proposals?	A business prime can bid on any 1 or 3 categories. Only one bid is allowed per category.
1368	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Just to confirm, to bid on any Category (A, B, or C) either the ISO 9001:2015 or CMMI cert the Prime MUST have or it needs to be in the process after the award for 12 months max?	All categories must provide a ISO 9001 certification and categories B and C must provide a CMMI certification in addition to the ISO 9001. Certification will have to obtain a certification within 12 months of contract award; After 12 months if certification is not obtained, the contractor is subject to being Off ramped and ineligible to compete for new work.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1367	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	What will be the transaction fee for NASA SEWP VI for Every PO / Task Order? Any other fee Prime needs to factor in besides the transaction fee?	There is only a .34% agency administrative handling fee of the total price of the delivery order, that shall be applied to all orders under the SEWP VI contracts.
1366	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Is CMMI Level Authorization a requirement for this RFQ? Currently, we are not CMMI Level approved, and it will take months to get an approval. If it is a requirement will a Sita Distributor / Dealer CMMI Level approval be acceptable?	All categories must provide a ISO 9001 certification and categories B and C must provide a CMMI certification in addition to the ISO 9001. Certification will have to obtain a certification within 12 months of contract award; After 12 months if certification is not obtained, the contractor is subject to being Off ramped and ineligible to compete for new work.
1365	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	6. Will a database of buyers be provided to all Primes from previous SEWP V Contract for marketing purposes?	No, a database of buyers will not be provided for all Primes from previous SEWP V Contract for marketing purposes.
1364	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	4. Will the Prime Contractor be allowed to appoint Dealers / Distributors? Sita Business Systems, Inc. as a prime will be responsible for ensuring Compliance, Execution, Customer Satisfaction, Billing and Invoicing. Prime being the responsible party. This process is currently being applied for NASA SEWP V.	The prime contract holder is responsible for all aspects of the contract including Compliance, Execution, Customer Satisfaction, Billing and Invoicing.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1363	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	3.We are an authorized distributor for AbilityOne. Please let us know the percentage of AbilityOne personnel we need to hire for technical projects?	This question is similar to question #489. Please look at the answer for question #489.
1362	(a) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	<p>Could examples please be provided of names for the zip files if a submission contains offers for multiple categories? For example, would one of these be named "GetItDone_80TECH23R0001_CategoryAB"?</p> <p>Currently, this section implies that proposals for each category must be submitted separately, which does not align with the combined approach outlined in section A.3.6(b) - Proposal Content and Page Limitations.</p>	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.
1361	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	<p>The SOW references in several places “Attachment D – Communications Requirements.” However, Draft Attachment D is “In-Scope SEWP VI UNSPSC Codes.” Will the Government provide Communications Requirements as a separate attachment and correct the references in Attachment A?</p>	The SOW will be revised for further clarity with the Final RFP.
1360	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>2.Can we link our POS System via EDI to SEWP Database for receiving orders Electronically?</p> <p>Currently, our system has a EDI link with GSA System since we are GSA FSSI OS4 Contract holder.</p>	Communication paths can and will be discussed and developed after contract award.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1359	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	During the Industry Day held on October 18th, the Government only referenced NASA SEWP VI as having three (3) distinct groups as follows: Group A1 & A2, Group B1 & B2, Group C1 & C3. However, the Draft RFP references it as Categories A, B, and C. Would the government please clarify the correct nomenclature for industry and make the corrective changes?	<p>Category A- ITC/AV Solutions IT (Information Technology, Communication and Audio Visual) – NAICS 541512</p> <p>Group A1 – Unrestricted</p> <p>Group A2- Small Business Set Aside</p> <p>Category B- Enterprise-wide ITC/AV Service Solutions – NAICS 541512</p> <p>Group B1 – Unrestricted</p> <p>Group B2 - Small Business Set Aside</p> <p>Category C- ITC/AV Professional Services (Information Technology Communication (ITC) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512</p> <p>Group C1- Small Business Set Aside</p> <p>Group C2- RESERVED</p>
1358	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	For A1 and A2 and B1 and B2 it is unclear how the solicitation release process will work. Will the small business categories receive all solicitations released under the category or will agencies choose A1 or A2 (or B1 or B2) and only those contractors in that subcategory may bid?	Note: Instructions for how to submit proposals will be provided at the time of the final solicitation release.
1357	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	With regard to the draft solicitation as a whole, but sections A.3.7, A.4.3, and A.4.4 in particular, would the Government please clarify if there are minimum proposal requirements from a Protégé company in a qualifying MP-JV? (e.g., must a certain number of the Relevant Experience or Past Performance projects be in the name of the MP-JV or the Protégé)	A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror’s (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors’ past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1356	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Task Area 3B Enterprise-Wide Innovation Services description is fairly general and does not provide many examples. If offerors are required to provide a Mandatory Experience project then additional details may be helpful in determining applicable projects. The description is effective in providing a lot of latitude for future agency use and that is a quality that is excellent to maintain post award.	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.
1355	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Please don't use the Symphony tool that GSA has been using. I saw it was suggested in the Questions/Comments, and that tool has proven security issues, and it requires considerable training for new users.	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.
1354	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	What level of granularity is the government expecting with respect to “each of the SOW- Scope Category Descriptions Mandatory Technical Sub-areas and the proposed Additional Technical Sub-areas.” For example, an ITVAR’s offerings related to the ancillary IT commodities listed in the SOW subareas would be the same for many of the listed items. Can we assume the government would accept offering descriptions that consolidate items with identical or virtually identical solutions?	The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1353	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>Draft RFP text: The offeror must provide a summary description of their offerings that address each of the SOW- Scope Category Descriptions Mandatory Technical Sub-areas and the proposed Additional Technical Sub-areas.</p> <p>Question: What exactly does NASA mean in this sentence by the term “offering” as it applies to Category A? Is the government requesting another extensive list of products – similar to the CLIN list provided in the Offer Volume? If not, please provide an acceptable offering summary of, for example, Technical Area 4a, subarea “Computer Accessories (includes Mouse, Keyboard, Monitors, Docking Stations, Speakers, Computer Screens, and Monitor Stands)”</p>	<p>The government will not be providing definitions for the word offering. The government is interested in what IT solutions a company is capable of providing on contract.</p>
1352	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>According to the standard definition, “Extensibility is a software engineering and systems design principle that provides for future growth.” Please provide a definition of “extensibility” that applies to Category A, which usually lacks software engineering and system design? Alternatively, please eliminate this requirement for Category A.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, however, we will not be providing definitions for these adjectives.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1351	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>The RFP requires summaries of bidders' offerings related to every area and sub-area of the SOW but also says that summaries should detail "The scalability and extensibility of the offeror's capabilities that demonstrates the offeror's ability to deliver the full range of IT Solutions for the proposed category." For Category A in particular, the "scalability and extensibility of the offeror's capabilities" is very different from the summary of the offerings themselves, making it extremely awkward to make "scalability and extensibility" integral components of nearly 100 solution summaries. Please specify that a single "scalability and extensibility" discussion should be separate from, but apply to, all of the solution summaries.</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1350	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>The RFP prohibits the use of subcontractor mandatory experience and past performance, but there is no explicit prohibition on the use of teammate capabilities in other areas of the proposal. Can we use teammates to meet requirements related to: 1) ISO and CMMI certifications, 2) the entire Mission Suitability Volume? If not, please specify exactly what teammate capability is permissible. Is there any evaluation preference accorded to the prime versus teammates?</p>	The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1349	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>For Category B and C: Experience with enterprise-wide solutions would, by necessity, also be experience with the specific disciplines that comprise those solutions. Therefore, experience with, for example, enterprise-wide cloud services (category B) would also be experience with cloud services (category C), though the reverse would not be true. Therefore, can we assume that experience that meets the requirements for category B can be reused to also meet the requirements for category C?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #5. Please see the answer to question #5.</p>
1348	<p>IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)</p>	<p>May an offeror use a CMMI certification of a CTA member to qualify for Category B and C?</p>	<p>The final RFP will be revised for clarity.</p>
1347	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>The full suites of products from potentially hundreds of OEMs will run into many millions of products. Does NASA want, in effect, the full catalogs from all OEMs to be submitted with our bids. Please note: to meet this requirement, OEMs and distributors will create standard lists that NASA will receive over and over.</p> <p>Alternatively, would NASA accept summaries of information, including a list of OEMs and the number of products in the various categories? This small change will save both industry and NASA hundreds of hours of duplicative work.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation. The government will take it into consideration, any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1346	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>Is item 4 asking for something in addition to “the full suite of products and services from each identified provider” and the information included in a standard letter of authorization? If so, we recommend excluding it from the 30-page limit, as this material (for potentially hundreds of OEMs) will be quite extensive and will largely duplicate the LOAs and suite of products. A better alternative would be to eliminate item #4 altogether and allow the suites of products and LOAs to stand on their own.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.</p>
1345	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION-COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	<p>Since the number of required REPs and associated total contract values has changed from what is in the DRFP document, will the number of past performances that will be required, and their associated size standard also change?</p>	<p>Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
1344	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	<p>Draft RFP text: “...task order administration to produce timely and quality ICT/AV solutions and to continuously identify, mitigate, manage, and control risks.”</p> <p>Comment: Since there is already an entire section devoted to supply chain risk, we highly recommend explicitly excluding that particular risk factor from the discussion in this section.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1343	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>As discussed during the SEWP VI Industry Day in the Solicitation Highlights slides, duplication of content across multiple proposals will result in a disqualification.</p> <p>If a single offeror is proposing to both Category B and C, and has content, for example a Transition Methodology, that they use in their Category B and C proposals, will this be considered a duplication of content resulting in a disqualification? Or is it the government's intent that no experience from one offeror shows up in another offeror's proposal?</p>	Thank you for your questions. The Final RFP is being revised for further clarity.
1342	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	A.3.7.3(b)(3) In the recent OASIS+ MA-IDIQ opportunity, the sustainability requirement in the Draft RFP proved to be too burdensome and resulted in negligible carbon emissions output for small companies. As a result, GSA decided to remove that requirement for smalls and only required companies in the unrestricted lane to adhere to the sustainability standards outlined in the GHG Protocol. We recommend that NASA considers following GSA's example and only requires large companies with an industrial infrastructure to meet this requirement.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1341	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	A.3.7.3(b)(3)The environmental regulations governing a large industrial enterprise (manufacturer or distributor) are vastly different to those governing a small ITVAR. Except for item 5, " Has your company established sustainability purchasing guidelines..." we recommend applying this requirement to only those bidders that have a genuine "industrial infrastructure" and measurable "carbon footprint."	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1340	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Will the government consider accepting a commercial invoice for a recent sale in lieu of a GSA schedule listing, publicly available commercial price list, or federal government contract if none are available?	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1339	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>NASA has developed a RFP that gives a great opportunity to qualified small businesses with the evaluation methodology described. Would NASA consider removing the ability to meet the bare minimum requirements of this proposal with a CTA for a large subcontractor under a small business prime? The requirements around REP, Past Performance and Certifications should be easily attainable by any small business that will be a viable and successful contributor as a prime contractor on SEWP. Permitting a small business to leverage these minimum requirements from a large business devalues what SEWP IV and V customers have come to expect from responsible high performing small businesses. This should be permissible at the task order level, but if done at the master contract level, it would put an unnecessary administrative burden on the SEWP PMO with dormant, off-ramp and on-ramp activities. This comment is not related to Joint Ventures, but a CTA from a Small with a Large subcontractor.</p>	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1338	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	To encourage greater participation from Small Businesses, is it possible for the Government to amend the Technical Areas' (TA) in Category A of the draft by requiring a minimum of two areas from each TA on their respective mandatory/additional lists, instead of the currently listed mandatory sub-areas?	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1337	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Will the government consider adjusting the Past Performance requirements for small businesses for Category A to be greater than or equal to \$250,000 average annual contract value, and across two different technology areas? This will allow more competition and participation by small businesses.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1336	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Each of the 5 elements (i-v) in A.3.7.3(b)(2) and A.4.4(b)(2) references the SCRM plan in a slightly different way, and collectively defines a single narrative. Therefore, can NASA confirm our assumption that this SCRM discussion is meant to be one comprehensive narrative that addresses all the points, rather than several slightly different discussions of the same basic concept? For example, item i, "The Offeror shall describe both the supply of products to the Government and corporate risks associated with Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM)" and item v, "The offeror shall describe in sufficient detail how they will reduce and mitigate Supply Chain Risk through application of their defined program appropriate security controls..." are asking for basically the same thing and can be addressed with a single discussion.	A.3.7.3(b)(2) are the Offerors instructions for the narrative addressing the Commitment to Supply Chain Management within the Management Approach. A.4.4(b)(2) addresses how the Government will evaluate the submission for its respective category.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1335	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	If NASA changes the evaluation criteria from “effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency” to “breadth and depth” please clearly state the metrics of breadth and depth, e.g., number of OEMs, number of products, etc.	This question is similar to question #1334. Please see the answer to question #1334.
1334	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	“Effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency” are applicable to Subfactor B, but are not appropriate criteria for evaluating the extensive lists of OEMs and solutions requested in Subfactor A. “Breadth and depth” would make more sense. It is the difference between “how many” and “how.” Subfactor A addresses how many and Subfactor B addresses how, but the evaluation criteria are the same for both. If it is NASA’s intention to compare the number of OEMs submitted by bidders in Subfactor A, it would eliminate a great deal of confusion to simply say so.	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1333	A.4.2- Phase One- Certifications and Mandatory Experience	For phase 1 mandatory experience evaluation for sub areas (category B, 4 of 10 sub areas, and category C, 3 of 10 sub areas) are all sub areas going to be evaluated the same or will any sub areas be more heavily weighted than others?	The final RFP will be revised to reflect the instructions in Section A.3.7.3 (b) 1-4 are not weighted or listed by importance.
1332	(b) Mandatory Experience	How will NASA address the recent GSA Polaris court decision that requires all members of a JV to be evaluated? The court decision specifically referenced 13 C.F.R. Section 125.8(e) and 15 U.S.C. Section 644.	Any updates will be reflected in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1331	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will the protégé member of the MP-JV be required to demonstrate certain capabilities independent of the JV? If so, what capabilities?	Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. The Final RFP will be revised accordingly.
1330	A.1.12 GSFC 52.216-92 MINIMUM/MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUPPLIES OR SERVICES (FIXED PRICE) (MAR 2022)	Will NASA consider increasing the ceiling for the SEWP VI from \$20 Billion to \$50 Billion or more? The introduction of broader services/ total solutions scope to SEWP VI will quickly burn through the ceiling. Note the \$12 Billion in awards in FY23 under SEWP V supports the need to raise the ceiling on SEWP VI.	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1329	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Draft RFP text: "Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration."</p> <p>Question: Given the extremely restrictive guidelines for both mandatory experience and past performance, bidders will need to know, as soon as possible, the precise terms for acceptable team-building. Based on the current requirements, it appears that mentor-protégé JVs will be among the few viable options that can simultaneously remain under the \$34M size standard while also providing the comprehensive services requested by NASA.</p> <p>However, it takes more than 60 days (NASA's anticipated proposal turnaround time) to get an MP-JV built and approved. It is not sufficient to respond that NASA will do whatever the SBA requires, as there is considerable latitude for creativity within the SBA regulations. Bidders will need to know the terms and conditions for MP-JVs long before the final RFP is released. To give NASA and its customers the best service, bidders will need these answers far in advance of the final RFP.</p>	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.
1328	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	In the Proposal Content and Page Limitation Table, there is a requirement in Management Approach Volume III-B which includes a Phase-In Plan, however there are no other instructions or evaluation criteria details for this. Will the Govt please provide clarification for this requirement?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1327	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume: "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation due date to be considered recent." While NASA SEWP has historically done a much better job keeping to the procurement schedule than other major multiple-award solicitations, we suggest considering revising the recency timeline to be based on the day the solicitation is released versus the date it is due. This will reduce impacts on offerors needing to change which projects they select if the proposal due date extends 30 days or more from the original due date.	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1326	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	When considering "other contracts that it is aware of or that are made available from other sources and inquiries with previous customers" will the average of all such sources be used, or will this be a subjective, qualitative judgement? In other words, if an average is used, will the government consider ALL CPARS entries for a bidder in order to create an accurate picture? For a company with dozens of CPARS, one or two marginal ratings is a trivial fraction of their overall performance, whereas one or two marginal ratings from a small company with only a few CPARS could be very significant. Will this be taken into account? Please describe the evaluation methodology.	The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1325	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	What will the relative evaluative significance be of submitted past performance references vs. CPARS and other sources? For example, could a single adverse past performance rating, among thousands, in the CPAR database override everything else? Or will a more balanced approach be employed? Please describe the evaluation methodology and relative weight of different past performance information.	The final RFP will be revised for clarity.
1324	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Almost all ITVARs capable of meeting the requirements of SEWP VI are large businesses under NAICS 541512. Moreover, any ITVAR that is small under 541512 will quickly graduate out of small status under the \$34M revenue size standard. Question: will NASA rapidly on-ramp new small ITVARs and off-ramp existing ones to ensure compliance with the \$34M size standard? Or will there be a grandfather clause for companies that become large during contract performance? If so, what are the terms and conditions of the grandfather clause?	If a small business becomes Large the small business must then follow these steps: The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that a lateral/ vertical on-ramp does not occur automatically for a contract and that a capability determination must be met by the contractor before the transition. If a Contractor is found incapable of meeting the necessary qualifications the vertical/ lateral on-ramp will not occur and procedures for dormant status and/or Off ramping will proceed.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1323	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>Comment: Many of the NAICS codes listed in A.1.34 are manufacturing codes and have employee-based size standards. However, most manufacturers that are small under employee-based size standards are large under 541512, which has a \$34M revenue size standard. Therefore, most small manufacturers will have to compete on the unrestricted lane at the IDIQ level.</p> <p>Question: If a contracting officer releases a TO request on SEWP VI under, for example, NAICS 334112 Computer Storage Device Manufacturing, size standard 1,250, will a contract holder that won as large under 541512 at the IDIQ level be allowed to compete as small on a 334112 TO? Note that, if the answer is No, then there will be virtually zero small manufacturers available at the TO level to satisfy government socio-economic goals.</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1322	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	How does the government intend to evaluate equally across all socio economic categories given the reduced threshold for HUBZone, EDWOSB and SDVOSB categories?	The Source Evaluation Board will conduct evaluations in accordance with the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1321	<p>Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512</p>	<p>Technical Area 8c: NETWORK SERVICES. Please confirm that managed services is within scope, including transitioning the customer from Government Owned Equipment (GFE) to Contractor-Owned Contractor Operated (COCO) model (i.e. managed services for LAN, WAN, Firewall, WiFi, other devices/hardware and related software, including NOC, etc.).</p>	<p>Category B- Technical Area 2b: IT MANAGED SERVICES Provide, manage, secure, and maintain IT services across the Agency/Enterprise including but not limited to: End-User Compute Device Management, End-User Software Management, Mobile Device Management, Print Device Management, Messaging/Collaboration, Infrastructure Services, Enterprise Service Desk (ESD), Local Service Desks, End-User Standards, and IT Security. The types of support needed for the End User Services Program could include (but are not limited to) desktop engineering, project management support, transformation and operation support, continual service improvement and communications, and other program support functions.</p>
1320	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>What is the government’s rationale for reducing the required REPs from 3 to 2 for these stated socio-economic categories? Is it the government’s intent to award separate small business contracts for each of the socio-economic categories (HUBZone, EDWOSB, and SDVOSB) since they have different evaluation criteria? How will the government evaluate multiple different socioeconomic categories with different evaluation criteria on a level playing field ensuring fair competition?</p>	<p>Thank you for the suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1319	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>In FAR 52.219-28 Post-Award Small Business Program Rerepresentation, Section (b) states that, "If the Contractor represented that it was any of the small business concerns identified in 19.000(a)(3) prior to award of this contract, the Contractor shall rerepresent its size and socioeconomic status according to paragraph (f) of this clause or, if applicable, paragraph (h) of this clause, upon occurrence of any of the following: (1) Within 30 days after execution of a novation agreement or within 30 days after modification of the contract to include this clause, if the novation agreement was executed prior to inclusion of this clause in the contract. (2) Within 30 days after a merger or acquisition that does not require a novation or within 30 days after modification of the contract to include this clause, if the merger or acquisition occurred prior to inclusion of this clause in the contract.; and (3) For long-term contracts-(i) Within 60 to 120 days prior to the end of the fifth year of the contract; and (ii) Within 60 to 120 days prior to the date specified in the contract for exercising any option thereafter."</p> <p>Please confirm that the Government will require Small Businesses awarded contracts on SEWP VI to represent their size standard at the time of contract award and then according to the criteria identified above in FAR 52.219-28?</p>	<p>A contract holder is required to re-represent their size standard IAW 52.219-28. Any other changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
------	---	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1318	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	<p>Technical Area 9b: ENTERPRISE-WIDE CLOUD SERVICES.</p> <p>Please confirm that the following cloud services are also included, which are part of a customer’s cloud adoption journey:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Cloud and Platform Architecture <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Cloud Migration · Managed Services · Identity and Access Management (IAM/User Management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Change Management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Training · Operations and Maintenance (O&M) 	Cloud Services is inclusive of all offerings and services associated with cloud services.
1317	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>For Category C, SB Group the government stated in the SEWP VI Industry Day the offeror should select 3 category sub areas from the 10 and provide 1 REP per sub area, each REP should have a total value of \$3M. For HUBZone, EDWOSB, and SDVOSB, only 2 REPs out of the 10 sub-areas are required. Each of these REPs should have a minimum of \$2M total value.</p> <p>For evaluation phases 1 and 2 for Category C, would the government consider adding the Small Disadvantage Business (SDB) and or 8(a) socio economic category such as Alaska Native Corporation (ANC) and Tribal-owned entity to the list alongside HUBZone, EDWOSB, and SDVOSB categories?</p> <p>Executive Order 13985 requires agencies to increase their SDB award goal to 15% by 2025.</p>	Thank you for your comment. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1316	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	<p>Technical Area 2b: IT MANAGED SERVICES.</p> <p>Please confirm that managed services is within scope, including transitioning the customer from Government Owned Equipment (GFE) to Contractor-Owned Contractor Operated (COCO) model.</p>	<p>Technical Area 2b: IT MANAGED SERVICES</p> <p>Provide, manage, secure, and maintain IT services across the Agency/Enterprise including but not limited to: End-User Compute Device Management, End-User Software Management, Mobile Device Management, Print Device Management, Messaging/Collaboration, Infrastructure Services, Enterprise Service Desk (ESD), Local Service Desks, End-User Standards, and IT Security. The types of support needed for the End User Services Program could include (but are not limited to) desktop engineering, project management support, transformation and operation support, continual service improvement and communications, and other program support functions.</p>
1315	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	<p>According to the solicitation, a Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Accessibility (DEIA) plan is applicable for contracts awarded in Categories B and C and demonstrates the contractor’s commitment to fairness regarding diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility. Why has the Government excluded Category A from this requirement? Will the government consider including a DEIA plan requirement for Category A?</p>	<p>please see question #765 for additional clarity, due to the scope makeup of Category A it is currently not required. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
1314	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Will the Government determine adequate financial resources to perform the contract, or the ability to obtain them, as referenced in FAR 9.104-1(a)? If so, how will the government evaluate?</p>	<p>The government will determine adequate financial resources to perform the contract or the ability to obtain them, as referenced in FAR 9.104-3 in accordance with federal regulations.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1313	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	<p>In Section A.4.1 Source Selection and Phased Evaluation, the solicitation includes three different phases of evaluation. Will Offerors be required to submit all required proposal materials for all three phases at the initial Phase 1 due date or will the proposal materials for Phase 2 and Phase 3 be required to submit following a down select notification. If proposal materials will be submitted at three different times in accordance with the three Evaluation Phases, will the government provide the expected length of time between the notification of down select and the subsequent proposal due date for the following phase?</p>	<p>The down-select evaluation phases will function as identified in Section A.4.1.1 Firm Down-Select Process. A single proposal submission will be used to evaluate each phase.</p>
1312	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>Upon SEWP VI Contract award, how will contract awardees represent their size standard on SEWP VI for all of the applicable NAICS Codes within each SEWP VI Category that they are awarded? For example, in SEWP VI Category A, there are 39 NAICS Codes that Contracting Officers may leverage when issuing an RFQ. These 39 NAICS Codes represent 19 different small business size standards. Will awardees represent their size against each of these NAICS codes? Will the size of an awardee on the day of SEWP VI contract award apply throughout the entire period of performance of the SEWP VI contract?</p>	<p>The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1311	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Category A represents a broad suite of IT solutions, i.e. technology in which there is an intertwining of products, such as a laptop, services, maintenance and installation, requirements. Each technology area includes specific mandatory technology that must be supplied; however offerings should not be limited to those specific mandatory areas. The listed sub-areas are not exclusive but define a broad range of technology under each technical area and all similar and related technologies are within scope of each area. This description of the category is consistent with NAICS Code 541519 "exception" (ITVAR), but that NAICS Code is not listed in A.1.34 as available for ordering. Will the Government consider changing the applicable NAICS Code for Category A at the contract level to 541519 "exception" or adding that NAICS Code to the list of available NAICS Codes that Contracting Officers can leverage for individual orders?	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1310	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	The List of Attachments table lists Attachment E-Technology Refreshments as To Be Proposed, but also shows it as RESERVED. Please clarify what this item is and if it is to be included in the proposal.	A technology Refreshment as specified in A.1.23 can only occur after contract award, which is why it is listed as Reserved and to Be Proposed.
1309	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	The List of Attachments table and other instructions indicate that the Small Business Subcontracting Plan is to be proposed. Please clarify which Volume it is to be part of, any applicable Agency goals, and if it is page limited.	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect the commercial subcontracting plan is to be submitted with the Offer Volume I.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1308	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	If a SEWP customer chooses a NAICS code for a prospective procurement that is other than 541512, and has a small business/socioeconomic preference, how will the SEWP RFQ tools route an RFQ to the eligible companies? For example, if the VA requires Computer Training Services and wishes to issue an RFQ using NAICS 611420 under SEWP VI Category C to Service Disabled Veteran Owned Small Business (SDVOSB) contract holders, how will the SEWP PMO know which SEWP Contract Holders are certified as an SDVOSB under that NAICS Code when the size standard (\$16M) is different than the contract level NAICS code (541512 - \$34M revenue size standard) that vendors certified against for a contract award?	The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1307	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	The instructions say in part, "The Offeror shall complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30 and the indicated Offeror required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments." Can the Government please specify the referenced fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments?	Thank you for your comment. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1306	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	NAICS Code 541519 is listed as an applicable NAICS Code for orders under Category B and Category C, but not under Category A. NAICS Code 541519 is one of the most commonly used NAICS codes across the Government for IT solutions as described in Category A for ITC/AV procurements. Will the Government add this NAICS Code to Category A? If so, will the Government leverage NAICS Code 541519 (Other Computer Related Services) with the "exception" 541519 (ITVAR, 150 employees) to enable buying agencies to achieve their small business targets for product-based orders under SEWP VI?	Thank you for your comment. The Final RFP will be updated to reflect any updates and/or changes to the NAICS codes.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1305</p>	<p>A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE</p>	<p>The RFP assigns a single NAICS Code, 541512, at the contract level, but it provides a list of numerous NAICS Codes with different size thresholds that can be assigned at the order level. 60% of the available NAICS Codes that Contracting Officers may leverage when issuing an RFQ within SEWP VI Categories B & C have smaller size standards than the NAICS code that is anticipated at the contract level (541512). If a contractor is a small business under NAICS Code 541512 and is awarded a Small Business contract under SEWP VI Category C, will that contractor be able to compete for Orders that leverage NAICS Codes in which that contractor does not qualify as a small business? For example, if the contractor is a small business under NAICS 541512 (\$34M revenue size standard) and is a large business under NAICS 541330 (\$25.5M revenue size standard), will that contractor be able to respond to an RFQ and compete as a small business for a Delivery Order that leverages 541330 because they are considered a Small Business on SEWP VI based on 541512?</p>	<p>They have to qualify as a SB under the NAICS code chosen by the ordering CO if the order is set-aside for small business. They can compete if the order is competed as full and open, except for Category C which is a total small business set-aside. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.</p>
<p>1304</p>	<p>(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)</p>	<p>Regarding requirements around "(3) Commitment to Sustainability," can the Government clarify whether Offerors who respond to any of the questions with "No" would be considered non-compliant (ineffective, unreasonable, or inefficient) according to this area's Section M, evaluation criteria: "The Government will evaluate the Offeror's management approach for commitment to Sustainability, specified in Section A.3.7.3(b)(3) for effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency." Small Business Offerors may not have such policies in place.</p>	<p>The offeror shall provide detail of sustainability management policy, management system to control sustainability issues (e.g., environmental management system and corporate commitment to sustainability). At a minimum, the offeror shall address the following areas under A.3.7.3(b)(3).</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1303	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>The RFP assigns a single NAICS Code, 541512, at the contract level, but it provides a list of numerous NAICS Codes with different size thresholds that can be assigned at the order level. If a contractor is a large business under NAICS Code 541512 and is awarded a Large Business contract under SEWP VI Category A, will that contractor be able to compete for Orders that leverage a NAICS code in which that contractor qualifies as a small business? For example, if the contractor is a large business under NAICS 541512 (\$34M revenue size standard) and is a small business under NAICS 517121 (1500 employees size standard), will that contractor be able to respond to an RFQ and compete as a small business for Delivery Order that leverages 517121 even though they were awarded SEWP VI Category A as a Large Business under 541512?</p>	<p>The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section A.1.33 lists all the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI.</p>
------	---	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1302	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>At the SEWP VI Industry Day on October 16, 2023, the SEWP PMO suggested, but did not clearly state if it had pursued a Non-Manufacturer Rule waiver from SBA, and suggested it was or would have been denied, resulting in the selection of NAICS Code 541512 as the SEWP VI NAICS Code. Did NASA conduct a survey of small business manufacturers for the products contemplated for Category A on SEWP VI to demonstrate to SBA its requirements? If NASA did conduct a survey, will NASA publish the results?</p> <p>In a similar situation, the Army CHES conducted such a survey for its ITES-4H IDIQ (available on FBO Notice ID SurveyRFIforITES-4H or https://sam.gov/opp/9eeec2b675e246a7b57662710a1b6f92/v) and determined that small business manufacturers did not exist to provide many of the same product types contemplated for SEWP VI. Because Army CHES was not able to acquire their desired products from small business OEMs, they were able to obtain the necessary Non-Manufacturer Rule waiver from the from SBA.</p>	<p>This question is similar to questions #342 and #478. Please see questions #342 and #478.</p>
1301	A.4.1.1 Firm Down-Select Process	<p>Is it the Government's intention that offerors submit all 3 Phases at the same time or that they pass one Phase before submitting the next?</p>	<p>See question #827.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1300	A.1.22 UNSPSC CODES	<p>Requiring vendors to assign UNSPSC codes for all products on the SEWP VI catalog will become a large undertaking, and manual process. Not only will this be a time-consuming effort, but there will be situations where no UNSPCS code is available (yet), and would vendors be required to keep on top of all UNSPSC codesets? If a new UNSPCS codeset become available, would vendors be required to update the existing catalog? In cases where more than one UNSPSC code may be applicable, would vendors be excluded from specific opportunities where a product with a different UNSPSC code may be fully compliant and potentially even more appropriate?</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion, any changes will be reflected on the final RFP.</p>
1299	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>Has the SEWP PMO applied for a Non-Manufacturing Rule (NMR) waiver from the SBA? If a Non-Manufacturing Rule waiver is not granted, will Small Businesses that are awarded a SEWP VI contract under Category A be able to re-sell ITC/AV solutions on a Delivery Order that include only products from traditional OEMs? As an example, will the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) be able to issue an RFQ to the Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business awardees for an acquisition of 50 printers?</p>	<p>This question is similar to questions #342 and #478. Please see questions #342 and #478.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1298	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	4)In all evaluation factors, so long as the offeror can demonstrate meaningful contribution and the resources of the affiliate or parent will affect the performance of the offeror, will the government please allow for use of affiliate experience in all phases of the evaluation? Given the recent multi-award IDIQ and GWAC contracts (OASIS, OASIS Plus, STARS III, CIOSP4 and Alliant 2) and the Decision File B-418486; B-418486.2; B-418486.3, the GAO states, “An agency properly may attribute the experience or past performance of a parent or affiliated company to an offeror where the firm’s proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate will affect the performance of the offeror.”	Refer to question #7
1297	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	We note that the header of Exhibit 1 says Exhibit 2 and that the solicitation reference says A.3.5.1(b) rather than A.3.7.1(b).	This question is similar to question #246. Please see question #246.
1296	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	For Cat B and C REPs for mandatory experience for Phase 1 evaluation, the DRFP states, “Relevant experience from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration.” If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this order for Mandatory Experience, can the government revise this section to allow subcontractor experience to be evaluated? This approach would be consistent with 13 CFR 125.2 (g) requiring the agency to consider the experience and past performance of each first-tier subcontractor and 15 USC 644 (q).	Refer to question #3.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1295	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	The Technical Areas in Catalog B are diverse in terms of both service type (consulting, delivery, support, etc.) and technology (IT services including cloud and communications such as television and broadcasting). Offerors cannot propose their managed services in the sub-areas of their competency without also offering dissimilar services in the other sub-areas. For example, an offeror that excels in broadcasting and television services would also need to offer managed cloud, network and cybersecurity services.	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration, any updates will be taken into consideration.
1294	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	2)For MPJV entities, the entity cannot be completely dependent on the Mentor for performance [13 CFR 125.8 (b) & (c)]. How will the government ensure that they are evaluating the ability of the protégé to satisfy these requirements?	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1293	(b) Mandatory Experience	The instructions for the Mandatory Experience for Category B of the Offer Volume say in part, "A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas." Given that there are 10 sub-areas, this implies that Offerors would need to provide at least 40 REPs, 4 per sub-area. Can the Government please clarify the total number of REPs needed to show Mandatory Experience across sub-areas.	This quesiton is similar to question #211.
1292	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	Past performance (relevant experience project) demonstrates that vendors have the capability and experience to meet the SEWP VI objectives. However, due to the diversity of the SEWP VI mandatory requirements, few vendors will be able to demonstrate experience across each sub-area within their particular category.	Thank you for your comment. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1291	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>For Small Businesses Competing in Categories A, B, C as an 8(a) joint venture, the individual 8(a) participant(s) to an 8(a) Joint Venture (N) shall be 8(a) program certified at the time of proposal submission, and in accordance with 13 CFR 124.513(e)(I), the SBA shall approve the 8(a) agreement prior to contract award.</p> <p>Can the government clarify what is required and/or the responsibility of the protégé participant(s) of the 8(a) Joint Venture? What if any limitations will you place on the mentor in this mentor/protégé relationship?</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1290	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this order, a copy of the agreement must be provided. The CTA should include the names of the team members and a description of the responsibilities of each team member.</p> <p>Can the government please confirm the use of CTA here is IAW FAR 9.601?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #251.</p> <p>Provide information addressing all the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume).</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1289	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>The instructions for the Mandatory Experience for Categories B and C of the Offer Volume say in part, "Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration." The instructions for the Past Performance Volume say in part, "If applicable, Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror where the Offeror's proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror." We suggest that the Government allow information from affiliates and predecessor companies to meet the requirements of Mandatory Experience as long as they meet the same caveats as they do for Past Performance.</p>	Refer to question 3.
1288	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	<p>Would the Government reconsider the inclusion of "(3) Commitment to Sustainability" requirements for small business proposal submissions, since most small businesses do not have the infrastructure or overhead to implement, maintain, and administrate policies of this magnitude?</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.</p>
1287	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>Page 90-91: Can the Government clarify whether the requirement for the large business offeror to provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least four content representative areas to be rated Moderate means four areas per past performance write-up or a total of four areas covered across the three past performance write-ups?</p>	<p>It is four areas covered across the three past performance write-ups.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1286	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	The instructions say in part, "Identify any consultants and/or sub-contractors used in writing this proposal (if any) and the extent to which their services will be available in the subsequent performance of this effort." Please clarify if offerors are required to identify proposal support consultants such as editors, graphic artists, and technical writers who would have no role in any subsequent performance of the effort.	The Final RFP will be updated for clarification.
1285	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Page 89: The Offeror is required to provide the DUNS Number of the contractor performing the work. Should this request be for the contractor's UEI Number instead?	This question is similar to question 568
1284	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	Would the government consider removing the requirement for Category B and C offeror's to subcontract work on specified NAICS Codes identified in Section A.1.35 to AbilityOne non-profit agencies, and consider making this an optional requirement or establish and provide a goal?	Thank you for your recommendation, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1283	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	The instructions require information as part of Volume I that is not included on the SF1449. The table on page 84 does not include an entry for any of this additional information as part of Volume I. Please clarify if this additional information is to be in a separate subsection of Volume I and if any page limits apply.	Thank you for your comment, any changes will be reflected on the Final RFP.
1282	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	In the event of a CTA or Joint Venture, does only one company have to provide verification of ISO or CMMI verification?	See questions 445/488/230.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1281	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	For small businesses NAICS 541512 establishes a size standard of \$34M. The NAICS listed for Categories B & C have a size standard that range from \$9M to \$40M. This appears to restrict offeror's qualifying under 541512 to be able to respond to some Task Orders due to the NAICS Code assigned. How is the government accounting for the diversity of size standards with NAICS Code size standards for small business?	The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section A.1.33 lists all the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI. Any changes to the NAICS codes will be reflected in the Final RFP
1280	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	<p>Instructions say in part, "If any reference to documentation is made by the offeror such documentation shall be cited at the page, section, and paragraph level. The cited offeror documentation shall be included in the proposal and counts against the page count as defined in A.3.7."</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can the Government please clarify what they mean by referenced documentation? 2. Please clarify if offerors may crossreference within a Volume from one section to another without impacting the page limits. 	Thank you for your comment, however, we will not be providing definitions for these adjectives. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1279	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Can the Government confirm that Small Business Mentor-Protégé Joint Ventures are eligible to bid in Category C?	There is no exclusion of Small Business Mentor-Protégé Joint Ventures from competing. Any changes will be reflected on the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1278	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>The DRFP establishes the primary NAICS Code as 541512 for Category B and Category C on page 29. On page 58 the DRFP does not restrict to only using NAICS Code 541512 and provides a list of NAICS Codes and descriptions.</p> <p>Can the government please clarify how this conforms with FAR 19.102 (b)(2)(ii)(B) which requires the government to assign a single NAICS Code in the solicitation to each portion or category that best describes the principal purpose of the supplies or services to be acquired under that distinct portion or category?</p>	<p>The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section A.1.33 lists all the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI</p> <p>The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section A.1.33 lists all the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI.</p> <p>Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.</p>
1277	A.1.15 DISCOUNTS FOR TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT	<p>"The discount provided on the SEWP database of record must be equal to or less than the price for the same offering on the Contractor's current GSA Schedule after discounting for any GSA or other Government fee." Would you please elaborate on the legal correlation between two completely separate contracts being compared for pricing? Commercial agreements with Original Equipment Manufacturers vary greatly based on many different factors, including if the products are authorized to be offered on GSA or SEWP. Requesting additional information on how this section dictates to contractors how their GSA pricing is determined.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #536.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1276	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please describe in detail the SMB non manufacturer waiver guidelines - Please explain in more detail the process and intent behind the On/Off Ramp approach - SEWP V was profiled as 70% HW/30% IT services. What is the estimated SEWP VI percentage breakdown - Please give us more detail on the MSRP Pricing approach 	The Final RFP will clarify and reflect any changes to address the following questions.
1275	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	<p>Instructions say in part, "Text in Diagrams, schedules, charts, tables, artwork, and photographs shall be no smaller than 10-point type Times New Roman font."</p> <p>We suggest that text in graphics including diagrams, charts, artwork, and photographs be no smaller than 8 point Arial or Times New Roman and text in tables and schedules be no smaller than 10 point Times New Roman.</p>	This question is similar to question #36 and #720. Please see questions #36 and #720.
1274	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Reseller agreements, points of contact, proof of citizenship, descriptions of relationships, supplier abilities, etc. are required to do business. Sharing this level of detail with the SEWP VI team would be tedious, add significant time and effort to the proposal and SEWP VI team proposal evaluation efforts, and result in unreasonable hardships on the vendors while providing minimal value.	Thank you for your suggestion, The government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1273	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	The table on page 84 indicates a limit of 90 pages for the Technical Approach Volume and 30 pages for each Category. However, A.3.5 says, "Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category..." This suggests that the page limit for Volume III-A should be 30 pages. Please clarify the page limit for the Technical Approach Volume.	90 pages refers to the maximum number of pages if 3 proposals are submitted - 30 pages for Category A; 30 pages for Category B; and 30 pages for Category C. The Small Business Subcontracting Plan is excluded from the page limitation.
1272	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	How will this section be tracked and ensure compliance is maintained? Is this monitored by the SEWP PMO based on each task order or is upon the contractor to self-certify/report?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1271	A.4.2- Phase One- Certifications and Mandatory Experience	The Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) is not fully implemented across the Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) industry. A few vendors have very specific, department-focused CMMI certificates of achievement but do not have CMMI verification across the organization. CMMI compliance verification is not in our core compliance or certification activities at this time, and requiring both ISO 9xxx and CMMI is redundant.	See question 109.
1270	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME: The draft RFP doesn't specify the requirements for Contractor Team Arrangements. Since a CTA is not its own single entity, will only the CTA Prime be required to meet the ISO and/or CMMI requirements for the respective Category they propose?	See questions 488/445/230.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1269	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Category A appears to be product-focused, but there are descriptive subareas where service solutions and professional services are included. This blend of professional services within product and solution services may lead to vendors providing both product/service selection and product/service implementation (a potential conflict of interest). This vendor suggests limiting product (Hardware & Software) to Category A, moving “as a service” solutions into Category B Products and Service Solutions, and moving professional services such as maintenance, support and training into Category C IT Professional Services.	Thank you for your suggestion, The government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1268	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME: The draft RFP doesn't specify the requirements for Contractor Team Arrangements. For Small Business CTAs, is only the Prime required to qualify as a small business when proposing on some or all SEWP VI Categories?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1267	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME: The draft RFP doesn't specify the requirements for Contractor Team Arrangements. Shall CTAs be in accordance with Subpart 9.6 - Contractor Team Arrangements?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1266	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Would Government consider lowering the minimum average annual values for each past performance in Categories A and C from \$500K to \$250K, and in Category B from \$1M to \$250K? This would help NASA SEWP remain committed to promoting small businesses.	Thank you for your suggestion, The government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1265	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Regarding the Government's specification on recency time frame: "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation due date to be considered recent." Would government consider extending the time-frame to 7 years?	"Thank you for your suggestion, The government will consider it." Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1264	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Can the Government confirm that Offerors may use past performances from first-tier subcontractors where they performed work either as a prime or subcontractor?	A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first-tier subcontractors to the extent the Small Business Prime Offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first-tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP. (Feedback from Policy)

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1263	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>Categories A - C are all indicated as being solicited as NAICS 541512. The industry day comments from the SEWP PMO/Contracting Officer stated this was at least partially due to the VAR NAICS 541519 and Non-Manufacturer Rule (NMR) complications with the SBA. This is understandable, but unfortunately 541512 has a separate issue at the SBA due to a recent US District Courts, DC (DDC) decision under the False Claims Act (FCA). This decision impacts the SBA Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) long held standard for calculating contractor's annual receipts based on tax returns to determine small business eligibility. The DDC decision held that annual receipts may be calculated not relying solely on tax returns and suggests the calculations are incomplete, which could lead to FCA liability. The SBA has not determined a path forward on changing their methodology of calculating annual receipts. NAICS 541512 is the specific NAICS code that this case derived. With limited to no direction from the SBA, this NAICS code is currently subjecting all small businesses to a criminal liability under the FCA, even if the SBA determines the contractor as small under their methodology, it does not relieve the contractor of being sued criminally as the DDC decision was final. With the current revenue stream and success of the NASA SEWP V program, the vast majority of small business prime contractors will be subject to FCA liability due to their total revenue exceeding \$24M.</p>	The Final RFP will be updated to provide additional information/clarification on NACIS codes and the Non-Manufacturer Rule (NMR).
1262	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Can the Government confirm that a contractor can utilize its past performance across different proposals in different categories?	This question is similar to question #1254.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1261	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Would the Government consider lowering the total value size required for each REP in Category B Small from \$5M to \$2.5M and Category C Small & Category C HUBZone/SDVOSB/EDWOSB from \$2M to \$1M, as it would be more representative of the contract portfolio of true small businesses. This would help NASA SEWP remain committed to promoting small businesses.</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.</p>
1260	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE: "The Ordering CO has the responsibility to determine which predominant NAICS code applies to a task order solicitation, whether the task order is unrestricted or set-aside, including the type of socio-economic set-aside if applicable, and whether the solicitation is sole-source or competitive." Following this paragraph, there is a Table with NAICS codes organized by SEWP VI Category which will be applicable at the order level. Is it possible for a customer with a requirement in Category B to set-aside the requirement for small businesses using NAICS 541519 with the VAR Exception if they were able to obtain SBA approval for an individual waiver of the Nonmanufacturer Rule?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1259	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME - (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR: Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation due date to be considered recent. Prime Offerors shall indicate how the contracts are related to the proposed effort in content and scope. No information is requested for proposed subcontractors. Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated. (QUESTION) "Would the government please clarify whether or not Past Performances from of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror where the Offeror's proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror. In lower case (a) it clearly states that subcontracts Past Performance information will not be evaluated. However, just a few paragraphs below it states that you can use Past Performance. Would the government please clarify this area for the industry?"	This question is a duplicate of questions #1432, #1431, #1430, #1427. Please see the answer to questions #1432, #1431, #1430, #1427.
------	--	--	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1258	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	A.4.4(a)(3) CATEGORY C states, "The Government will evaluate the Offeror's technical approach to the relevant experience projects, specified in Section A.3.7.3(a)(1) for effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency." However, A.3.7.3(a)(1) requires offerors to detail "The scalability and extensibility of the offeror's capabilities that demonstrates the offeror 's ability to deliver the full range of IT Solutions for the proposed category." These are two different topics, which will confuse offerors resulting responses addressing myriad permutations of the two. Will the government please make the instructions and evaluation consistent?	See question # 117
1257	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Would you please reconsider allowing re-use of past performance across multiple technical subareas in individual categories? There are multiple instances where projects require product related services along with hardware that fulfils another technical area. Or instances where we are performing different levels of work on behalf of a prime.	Thank you for your suggestion, The government will consider it. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1256	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can the Government confirm that Offerors may use REPs from first-tier subcontractors where they performed work either as a prime or subcontractor?	This question is similar to question #5. Please see question #5.
1255	(b) Mandatory Experience	A.3.7.1(b) uses the term "sub-areas" differently than A.1.2 and the SOW. Would the government please change the term in A.3.7.1(b) to "areas" as it is referring to the areas of A.1.2 and the SOW?	Thank you for your suggestion, Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1254	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can the Government confirm that a contractor's REP can be utilized across different proposals in different categories?	This question is similar to question #5.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1253	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Will past performance as a subcontractor be allowable in Category A or will the government restrict past performance to only Contracts where the work completed was done as the Prime?	Please reference section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume: If applicable, Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror (including Joint Venture prime partner companies and/or a parent or affiliated company that is being otherwise proposed as a subcontractor on this effort)
1252	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME: For Small Businesses Competing in Categories A, B, C as an 8(a) joint venture, the individual 8(a) participant(s) to an 8(a) Joint Venture (JV) shall be 8(a) program certified at the time of proposal submission, and in accordance with 13 CFR 124.513(e)(1), the SBA shall approve the 8(a) JV agreement prior to contract award. (QUESTION) Would the government please clarify for the industry that if proposing as a JV or a CTA the Past Performance for the entire team composition will be accepted from the JV or CTA?	This question is similar to question #67. Please see question #67.
1251	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Re: Section A.3.7.3 (b) (3), we suggest removing the requirement to respond to questions 1 through 7 for small business offerors, particularly those seeking to provide software, software development services, and data analysis services in Category C through this contract vehicle vs. those providing hardware. Alternatively, we suggest removing this section from the evaluation factors for small business offerors.	Thank you for your suggestion, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1250	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Will the Government please confirm that cross-teaming is allowed. For example, can you confirm that a member of an MPJV can either Prime or Sub in another proposal within the same category or a different category.	This question is similar to question #557. Please see question #557.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1249	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	Do the requirements to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors discussed in Section A.1.35 apply to small businesses? Many small businesses do not have experience in this area.	This question is similar to question #570. Please see question #570.
1248	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Please elaborate on the number of Task Orders or Single Contracts required in Category A, unrestricted, past performance as well as if there is a dollar value threshold for Contracts used as Past Performance in Category A?	Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1247	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	According to Small Business Administration (SBA) and legal specifications, mentors within a mentor-protégé JV are not required to be small, please confirm that, when the offeror is a mentor-protégé JV, only the protégé needs to qualify as a small business under the associated size standards in order to qualify for those domain CLINs.	The Final RFP will be updated for clarification.
1246	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	While it is our intention to use AbilityOne non-profit agencies as available, these sources will not always be available and therefore mandating their use seems unreasonable. We respectfully request the mandate be removed.	Thank you for your recommendation, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1245	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Will the Government please confirm unpopulated mentor-protégé joint ventures are eligible to receive a small business award and/or 8(a) award so long as the joint venture represents as a 8(a) small business under the associated size standard(s)?	For Small Businesses Competing in Categories A, B, and C as a joint venture, the individual participant(s) to a Joint Venture (JV) shall be certified at the time of proposal submission, and in accordance with 13 CFR 124.501(g), SBA will determine whether the 8(a) partner to the joint venture is eligible for award.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1244	(b) Mandatory Experience	Please be consistent in the use of terms throughout the solicitation to avoid confusion; this section shows 6 "Mandatory Experience Sub-areas," whereas Attachment A refers to these as "Technical Areas."	Thank you for your recommendation, Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1243	(b) Mandatory Experience	Requiring large businesses to have multiple projects valued at \$30M+ is overly restrictive; this alone will eliminate from competition many companies that are mid-sized and/or whom have recently graduated from Small Business status. Please consider reducing the \$30M REP minimum to \$10M to allow businesses with whom many of your customers prefer to contract to participate in the competition.	The Final RFP will be revised to clarify that for small businesses- Each Project must have had a minimum of \$5M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.
1242	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Would the Government please define "significant subcontractor" and "team member" to ensure uniform understanding among all the SEWP bidders?	Thank you for your comment. The final RFP will provide clarity on significant subcontractor and team member terminology.
1241	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	We request that the Government remove the question on the basis of selection. This may not be information held by the offeror as the end customer does not always reveal this information to the awardee.	Thank you for your comment. The government will consider it. Any updates will be reflected in the final RFP.
1240	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Since DUNS is no longer searchable in SAM.gov, would the Government consider changing the reference to DUNS number to Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)?	This question is similar to question #568. Please see question #568.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1239	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	Regarding Section A.3.7.2(a), should an extension to the proposal due date occur for any unforeseen reason(s), and in an effort to reduce the amount of re-work required from offerors, would the Government please consider revising the requirement for Past Performance submissions to be for contracts completed or ongoing within three (3) years from the solicitation release date instead of the solicitation due date?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will consider it. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1238	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	We would recommend that the Government consider using NAICS 334111 for Category A. The Government has been using this Category on the incumbent contract to meet the Government needs, and this NAICS is also used on other similar vehicles, such as Army ITES-3H.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will consider it. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1237	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Will the Government permit Government end user customers to sign a past performance questionnaire for significant subcontractors, given that the Government end user has observed and can appropriately report on the significant subcontractor's performance?	No, as the Government does not have privity of contract with subcontractors.
1236	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Bidders would expect that past performance evaluations would be performed either by a customer or prime contractor under a business relationship. Does the Government concur. Would the Government please clarify what is meant by "corporate relationship"?	Thank you for your comment, however, we will not be providing definitions for these adjectives. The Final RFP will be revised for further Clarity and updates.
1235	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Would the Government please clarify whether the term "subcontractor" refers to service providers only or all providers to include products?	As defined in FAR 44.1- Subcontractor means any supplier, distributor, vendor, or firm that furnishes supplies or services to or for a prime contractor or another subcontractor.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1234	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	A.4.1: Please provide clarity around the “Phased Evaluation” approach to Source Selection. We recommend that proposal submission be staggered according to the Phases. (i.e., Phase 1 proposals are submitted and evaluated, and offerors that pass Phase 1 are notified and invited to then submit Phase 2, etc.) It would be extremely burdensome for small and large businesses alike to generate full proposals (or multiple proposals for multiple categories) only to be eliminated in Phase 1 or Phase 2.	Refer to question 827.
1233	A.1.21 CATEGORY A SERVICE RESTRICTIONS	A.1.21 states that product-based services must directly support site planning, installation, and implementation of in-scope IT solutions, and that the productst "may either be purchased separately from SEWP or by another means at the same time as the services". Will the SEWP PMO require evidence of product purchases for product-based services performed under Category A, and, if so, how will that process work?	No, the SEWP PMO does will not require evidence of product purchases for product-based services performed under Category A.
1232	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	3.Section A.3.7.1.(b): Please clarify whether “A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas” for Category B means that offerors are to submit four (4) total REPs that together align with all ten 10 Sub-areas.	Refer to #663
1231	A.1.1 GSFC 52.211-90 DELIVERABLE REQUIREMENTS (APR 2023)	The table in A.1.1 on page 23 states that the Certificate of Maintainability (Reference A.1.22) is due within 20 days of the CO's request. This requirement is not listed in A.1.22. Would the Government please clarify the requirements for Certificate of Maintainability and UNSPSC Codes?	The full 8-digit UNSPSC code of the proposed item/service is required.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1230	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	<p>A.3.7.1(b): The Mandatory Experience project threshold is unreasonably high and not an indicator of a contractor's ability to effectively perform the sub-areas (statement applies to both categories b and c). These requirements will disproportionately benefit the largest of large contractors and eliminate small and mid-tier companies with strong capabilities from being able to provide services. We recommend a \$5M project threshold for small business, and a \$20M for OTSB business.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.</p>
1229	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>Can Government change the requirement to allow vendors to use relevant past performance within the past three (3) years as compared to the most recent past performances?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1228	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	<p>Does NASA intend to modify the Mandatory Experience and Past Performance requirements for small business offerors to allow submission of experience references from subcontractors and teaming partners?</p> <p>We ask this question because of SBA rule 13 CFR 125.2(g) effective Nov. 2020 that mandates agency evaluation of capabilities, past performance and experience of each first tier subcontractor when evaluating proposals.</p> <p>13 CFR 125.2 (g) - When an offer of a small business prime contractor includes a proposed team of small business subcontractors and specifically identifies the first-tier subcontractor(s) in the proposal, the head of the agency must consider the capabilities, past performance, and experience of each first tier subcontractor that is part of the team as the capabilities, past performance, and experience of the small business prime contractor if the capabilities, past performance, and experience of the small business prime does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance necessary for award.</p>	This question is similar to question #1226. Please see question #1226.
1227	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Please be consistent in terminology to avoid confusion; item 5 of this section states "all 6 technical sub-areas," but there appear to be 6 Technical AREAS, each of which has sub-areas. This requirement currently essentially says Large Businesses for Category A must provide solutions for all sub-areas plus at least 50% of additional sub-areas, which doesn't make sense.	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1226</p>	<p>(b) Mandatory Experience</p>	<p>Does NASA intend to modify the Mandatory Experience and Past Performance requirements for small business offerors to allow submission of experience references from subcontractors and teaming partners?</p> <p>We ask this question because of SBA rule 13 CFR 125.2(g) effective Nov. 2020 that mandates agency evaluation of capabilities, past performance and experience of each first-tier subcontractor when evaluating proposals.</p> <p>13 CFR 125.2 (g) - When an offer of a small business prime contractor includes a proposed team of small business subcontractors and specifically identifies the first-tier subcontractor(s) in the proposal, the head of the agency must consider the capabilities, past performance, and experience of each first tier subcontractor that is part of the team as the capabilities, past performance, and experience of the small business prime contractor if the capabilities, past performance, and experience of the small business prime does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance necessary for award.</p>	<p>Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. The Final RFP will be revised accordingly.</p>
-------------	---------------------------------	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1225	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP Draft Document: ATTACHMENT B- SEWP PROGRAM PERFORMANCE.pdf. Section/Page: Category 4: Reporting Table, Item 07 IT Security Management Plan (NASA Delivery Orders Only, when applicable), Page 8 of 11. Offeror Comment/Concern: The deliverable references Draft RFP Section A.1.49. However, the Draft RFP only goes to Section A.1.46 and does not address IT Security Management Plan. Please provide deliverable expectations of the IT Security Management Plan for Offeror review.	Attachment B will be revised in the Final RFP.
1224	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	A.3.7.3(a)(5) Mission Suitability Volume, (a) Technical Approach, Category A, states Offerors identified as a Large Business shall provide technology solutions for all 6 technical sub-areas with each sub-area proposal consisting of a minimum of 2,000 CLINs....” Is NASA requesting an aggregate total of 2,000 CLINs in each Technical Area to include CLINs for the mandatory subareas and 50% of the additional subareas as defined in the SOW?	This question is a duplicate of question #157, please see answer to question #157.
1223	A.1.36 FAR 52.216-2 ECONOMIC PRICE ADJUSTMENTS - STANDARD SUPPLIES. (NOV 2021)	Does the Economic Price Adjustment clause apply to all SEWP contract pricing thus limiting increases to 10% each year regardless of the increase in commercial list prices? Will this allow for increases of 10% each year?	This question is a duplicate of question #156. Please see question #156.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1222	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	SEWP Draft Document: SEWP VI DRFP 80TECH23R0001.pdf. Section/Page: A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience, Page 87 of 133. Offeror Comment/Concern: Category A: The proposed offeror shall provide an excel document reflecting at minimum 2,000 different CLINs with solutions for each sub-area along with the pricing. Please clarify within requirements that a CLIN can be re-used in different sub-areas.	This question is similar to question #1219. Please see question #1219.
1221	A.1.28 INVOICES – SUBMISSION OF	(Pg 52):Do invoices meeting the criteria stipulated need to be sent to the SEWP PMO for all orders or just NASA orders?	This question is a duplicate of question #155.
1220	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Understanding the need to have a third party validate that a contractor maintains a level of quality makes sense. Many large businesses (especially commercial and publicly traded) recognize this and invest in the quality standard that best fits their industry (some use CMMI other use ISO due to its international recognition). Considering maintaining certifications for ISO9001:2008/2015 AND CMMI is largely redundant for any large company to maintain, we recommend NASA consider requiring offerors demonstrate they maintain a standard of quality as demonstrated by having either a current ISO9001 OR CMMI certification. Additionally, we recommend raising the CMMI requirement to level 3 (Defined), which is closer to the level of quality required by ISO9001.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1219	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>SEWP Draft Document: SEWP VI DRFP 80TECH23R0001.pdf. Section/Page: A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience, Page 87 of 133. Offeror Comment/Concern: Category A: The proposed offeror shall provide an excel document reflecting at minimum 2,000 different CLINs with solutions for each sub-area along with the pricing. Are all CLINS required to be TAA compliant? Please clarify within requirements if all CLINS are required to be TAA compliant at the contract level.</p>	<p>The Final RFP will be updated to further clarify.</p>
1218	<p>A.1.17 NFS 1852.246-72 MATERIAL INSPECTION AND RECEIVING REPORT (APR 2015)</p>	<p>(p.45): Are DD250's required for just NASA delivery orders, all orders, or just those including this clause in the orders?</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question #154.</p>
1217	<p>A.1.7 AUTHORIZE CONTRACT TYPES</p>	<p>(pg. 37) Is the Offeror eligible for award if they can offer some of the allowable contract types? Does the Offeror need to specify what types of task order/delivery order awards they can accommodate?</p>	<p>Eligibility for award at the contract level is not based on contract type. At the order level a contract holder can decide to compete or not based on the contract type associated with an order. However, all Contract types allowable on SEWP VI are commercially acceptable IAW FAR 12.207.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1216	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	SEWP Draft Document: SEWP VI DRFP 80TECH23R0001.pdf. Section/Page A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience, Page 87 of 133. Offeror Comment/Concern: For Category B and C: A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor. Please define the difference between a "single contract" and "task order". Offeror holds a single-award contract where multiple call orders are placed over a five year span, but it is still considered a single contract. Will the government provide clarification on what constitutes a single contract?	Thank you for your comment, however, we will not be providing definitions for these adjectives. Any updates/clarification will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1215	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	How many contracts in each Category does NASA intend to award? Is there a maximum number of awards?	This question is similar to question #152. Please see question #152.
1214	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Technical area 3a is only software. Are Hardware only vendors exempt from providing a software catalog?	The RFP will clarify the mandatory requirements for Category A.
1213	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Is 3rd party documentation required to support claims under the environmental and sustainability requirements?	The offeror shall provide details of sustainability management policy, and management system to control sustainability issues (e.g., environmental management system and corporate commitment to sustainability). At a minimum, the offeror shall address the following areas A.3.7.3 (b)(3) 1-7. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1212	(b) Mandatory Experience	Is it NASA's intention to limit Category A to VARs of ICT/AV products and to preclude providers supporting agencies as a contract holder? Most OEM's do not resell other ICT/AV products. Additionally, ICT/AV research products that deliver advice used for business and acquisition decisions are not sold through resellers considering the risk of an OCI. Understanding OCI is a TO level requirement, limiting OEMs from participating as a contract holder precludes an agency from the opportunity to consider an OCI requirement at the TO level.	Thank you for your comment. Any changes will be reflected on the final.
1211	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Can Mentors with Multiple SBA approved Mentor/Protege Joint Ventures submit the same Relevant Experience Projects across multiple responses?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1210	(b) Mandatory Experience	The REP template attachment references A.3.5.1(b), but this section does not exist in the DRFP. Is the Government referring to 3.7.1(b)?	This question is similar to question #246. Please see question #246.
1209	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	For offerors who are not small under the 541512 NAICS but are small under other NAICS provided in Section A.1.34, will those offerors be required to submit proposals that comply with the small business minimum experience requirements or the large business minimum experience requirements in the proposal instructions?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity to reflect that a contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services and offerors will be grouped within a scope category based on the size standard associated with a given NAICS code.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1208	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	The RFP states, "Identify the district SBA office and SBA Point of Contact/Business Development Specialist (name, address, phone number, and email) that the application will be submitted to for review and approval.". Will the Government please clarify if this requirement is only applicable to JVs that have not already been approved by the SBA?	The Final RFP will be updated for additional clarity.
1207	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Volume 1, Offer Required Information states, "Provide information addressing all of the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume)." Will the Government please clarify what should be included?	The elements under FAR 9.104 should be provided in the Offer Vol 1.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1206	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	SEWP Draft Document: SEWP VI DRFP 80TECH23R0001.pdf. Section/Page: A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME (a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A) For Categories A and B (only) Page 93 of 133. Offeror Comment/Concern: Offeror is uncertain of instruction "The offeror shall provide a list identifying the providers for which the offeror is an approved reseller and provide the full suite of products and services from each identified provider for the mandatory sub-area." Please clarify, does government want just the providers and full suite of products that will be proposed on the SEWP catalogs? Offeror recommends limiting this list and LOAs to only the relevant providers and products proposed for the SEWP VI contract. To compile all providers and products an Offeror sells, including those not relevant to SEWP, puts an undue burden on Offerors.	The RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1205	(b) Mandatory Experience	The REP template attachment is referred to as Exhibit 1 within the RFP, but is titled Exhibit 2 on the attachment itself. Will the Government please clarify/correct this?	Thank you for your comment. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1204	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Will the Government consider accepting CPARS in lieu of PPQs?	This question is similar to questions #48, and #161. Please see questions #48 and #161.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1203	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Will the Government please clarify that the Technical Approach and Management Approach shown in the proposal component table are actually sub-sections within the Mission Suitability Volume and not their own respective volumes?	The final RFP will provide clarity. Please see the final RFP for any changes.
1202	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	In the Proposal Component table, will the Government please clarify the 90 page limitation for the technical approach. Since submissions for the different categories should be separate submissions how does this limitation apply?	90 pages refers to the maximum number of pages if 3 proposals are submitted - 30 pages for Category A; 30 pages for Category B; and 30 pages for Category C. The Small Business Subcontracting Plan is excluded from the page limitation.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1201	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	<p>Category A NAICS Code: On SEWP V, Category C included the VAR Exception NAICS 541519 with a size standard of 150 employees for small businesses. The SEWP VI Draft RFP uses NAICS 541512 for all three Categories with a size standard of \$34M. While 541512 is an appropriate NAICS code for Categories B and C that are both primarily services, Category A is primarily reselling IT COTS products with wrap-around, value-added services required by SEWP VI's customers. ITVARs complying with FAR 52.215-23 "Limitations on Pass-Through Charges" limits the amount of revenue and fee ITVARs actually receive from processing an order. Large orders are primarily the cost of the product and shipping, which makes revenue comparison and size comparison with professional services contractors impossible. Changing the small business size standard from 150 employees to \$34M annual revenue will significantly limit the small business vendor pool in Category A who have experience processing medium and large volume orders for SEWP VI customers. While having one NAICS code will simplify acquisitions, applying the size standard of \$34M to Category A will eliminate a significant portion of small business ITVARs currently fulfilling orders on SEWP V and negatively impact customers making medium to large purchases, set-aside for small business, moving forward on SEWP VI because the vendors will not have much experience</p>	<p>The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section A.1.33 lists all the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI. Any NAICS codes updates will be included in the Final RFP.</p>
1200	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	<p>Will the government please provide the number of expected awards for each scope category (A-C) for unrestricted and small business set aside?</p>	<p>There are no expectations as, all qualifying offerors will be eligible for award.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1199	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	For Category C, would the government consider adding Large Businesses?	"Thank you for your suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1198	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will the government please clarify whether total value size is Total Contract Value (TCV) or Total Obligated Contract Value?	The Final RFP will be updated for additional clarification.
1197	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Category C, would the government consider limiting the experience sub-areas to 5 to 7 mandatory areas out of the 10 provided?	This question is similar to #1196, Please see the answer to question #1196.
1196	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Category B, would the government consider limiting the experience sub-areas to 5 to 7 mandatory areas out of the 10 provided?	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.
1195	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	"A.3.7.1 (a) A.3.7.2 (a)" Page 87; 88 - Note that the REP's minimum dollar value is \$30M, while the moderate relevancy criteria for past performance is at most \$2.5M. Consider adjusting the required size of the REPs to be less than or equal to the required size of past performance.	Thank you for your recommendation. The government will take it into consideration and any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1194	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Section A.3.3(a) Software and Cloud Technology - Please add Datacenter software or Storage management software as additional subarea.	Thank you for your recommendation. The government will take it into consideration and any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1193	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.2 (b) Page 92 - Will the government allow offerors to provide CPARS in lieu of PPQs?	This question is similar to questions #48, and #161. Please see questions #48 and #161.
1192	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.6 (b) (2) Page 85 - Will the Government please allow Arial font for diagrams, tables, artwork, and photographs?	This question is similar to questions #36 and #720. Please see questions #36 and #720.
1191	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Question re: SEWP VI Draft Solicitation, Joint Ventures:</p> <p>The Draft Solicitation does not address multiple offers or the submission of multiple offers by joint ventures involving one or more of the same parties. Will the agency permit an offeror to be part of more than one team or joint venture submitting proposals under the solicitation?</p>	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, and the final RFP will reflect any updates or changes.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1190	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.1.2; A.3.7 (b) SOW Categories A, B, C beginning on page 27; Mandatory Experience section beginning on page 87 - Please clarify nomenclature between Category Sub-Areas and Category Technical Areas. These terms are used interchangeably between the SOW and the proposal instructions.	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1189	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	In response to Original Comment #1166 - CMMI should not be a requirement for Category A.	Thank you for your recommendation. The government will take it into consideration and any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
1188	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 (b) - Mandatory Experience - For Category B and C - Can the government clarify for Category B, if a bidder references a GWAC/IDIQ/BPA, is the \$30M contract value size requirement measured at the base contract level or at the task order level? For example, if a bidder has a \$250M IDIQ base contract, but typical task orders values are in the \$500k - \$5M range, will the base contract with proof of performed work through awarded task orders be evaluated with "high relevancy?"	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1187	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	In section A.1.2 the government contemplates a Category A, B, and C and marks each as NAICS code 541512. Would the government consider categories for NAICS codes 334111, 541519, and 541512 with sub-categories for various socioeconomic designations (e.g. SDVOSB, HUBZone, etc.)? If not, why?	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP. The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section A.1.33 lists all the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1186	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	The requirements on page 95 (A.3.7.3.b.3) seem overly restrictive, especially requirements 1, 5, 6, and 7. This is an enterprise IT products and services contract. The specific requirements do not seem germane and will place IT resellers, distributors, and agents at a disadvantage, limiting competition. Could the Government please explain the rationale behind these requirements and consider rewriting this section?	Thank you for your comment, any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1185	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	On pages 93-94 (A.3.7.3.a-d) the technical subcategories and CLIN numbers seem to be high. We feel this will have a great impact on the solution and proposals received. Could the Government provide rationale for this?	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1184	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>As the solicitation is currently structured, SBA rules make it difficult for offerors with multiple proteges to bid with each of their proteges for different categories. This problem can be resolved by issuing separate solicitations for Category A, Category B and Category C.</p> <p>Will NASA issue separate solicitations for each of the SEWP VI categories (A, B and C)?</p>	No, However, Thank you for your suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1183	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.2(a) - Please consider changing recency from within three (3) years of the solicitation to five (5) years of solicitation.	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, and any changes or updates will be reflected in the final REP.
1182	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>On pages 88-89 (A.3.7.2.a) reads, "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts..." and then on page 91 (A.3.7.2.a.12) reads "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate)." Are these two (2) sections referring to the same projects or do these represent two (2) completely different sets of projects? This is particularly confusing when compared to page 98 (A.4.3) Phase Two-Past Performance.</p>	The Final RFP will be updated to reflect updates, changes, or clarifications.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1181	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Draft RFP page 86 states that offerors can enter into Contractor Teaming Agreements to satisfy the requirements of the SEWP VI RFP. GSA commonly regards CTA arrangements as offering a “total solution.” In practical terms this means that offerors can jointly meet specific requirements (e.g. SINS, minimum qualifications, past performance). We encourage the SEWP program office to treat CTAs similarly. For example, we encourage CTA partners being able to jointly meet certification (e.g. one CTA partner, but not both, holds active CMMI certifications) or mandatory experience requirements (e.g. both CTA partners can contribute REP experience).</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion, SEWP will consider it. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
1180	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Pages 90-91 (A.3.7.2.a.12) have a requirement for Small Businesses in Category A to “...provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate).” We request this number to be reduced to two (2).</p>	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1179	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>1.The draft RFP has three categories, all of which are under NAICS code 541512 which is a services NAICS code. However, Category A consists of approximately 70% products and 30% services, a mixture that fits squarely under the ITVAR exception to NAICS code 541519. NAICS code 541512 is not appropriate for Category A because less than 50% of work in Category A will be for services and, as such, NAICS code 541512 does not best describe the principal purpose of each order that will be placed under Category A. Instead of NAICS code 541512, NASA should assign NAICS code 541519, ITVAR exception, to Category A because it is the best fit for the work sought under this category and using this code would maximize small business competition for Category A. Additionally, assigning NAICS code 541519, ITVAR exception to Category A is consistent with SBA’s regulation at 13 C.F.R. 121.402(c)(ii), which requires the CO to divide the solicitation into discrete categories and assign each discrete category the single NAICS code and corresponding size standard that best describes the principal purpose of the goods or services to be acquired under that category. Will NASA assign NAICS code 541519, ITVAR exception to Category A?</p> <p>2.NASA should confirm that small business offerors may compete for each category on their own or as part of a joint venture. Many small business IT value</p>	Thank you for your recommendation, The government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
------	--	--	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1178	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Page 87 (3.7.1.b), for Category B lists the requirement for four (4) REPs with a minimum value of \$30M in total size of a single order or contract for each of the mandatory subareas. We request that this be reduced to two (2) REPs of \$20M to allow for fair competition.	Thank you for your comment. The Final RFP will be revised to clarify that for small businesses- Each Project must have had a minimum of \$5M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.
1177	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>NASA assigned the same NAICS code 541512 to each Category, even though they cover very different work. 541512 is a services NAICS code covering Computer Systems Design Services. Category A – IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV), is predominantly for products. Categories B and C are predominantly for services. The only NAICS code of which we are aware covering both products and services is the IT VAR exception to 541519. This code was successfully used for SEWP V and resulted in substantial small business participation.</p> <p>Will NASA revise the Draft Solicitation so that offerors can certify their size under the IT VAR exception to NAICS Code 541519? Alternatively, will NASA assign different NAICS codes to Categories A, B, and C, which best describe the principal purpose of each category?</p>	NAICS codes are currently being reassessed and any changes will be reflected in the RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1176	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	What are the expected AbilityOne, SourceAmerican/NIB non-profit workforce utilization goals per order under the identified NAICS and PCS Codes?	This question is similar to question #570. Please see question #570.
1175	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	On page 59 (A.1.35) notates by asterisk that three of the NAICS codes require the use of Ability One as a subcontractor. Is this not a violation of FAR 8.607 that stipulates, "Agencies shall not mandate a contractor to use Ability One as a subcontractor."	AbilityOne is not Federal Prison Industry.
1174	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	For technical area 4a Mandatory sub area "Security monitoring and control systems". Can these products be embedded or part of the other mandatory sub area product, Multi-Functional Devices or do they need to be stand-alone products separate?	Tech Area 4a products may be embedded or part of mandatory sub.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1173	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>1)Draft RFP page 86 states that offerors can enter into Contractor Teaming Agreements to satisfy the requirements of the SEWP VI RFP. GSA commonly regards CTA arrangements as offering a “total solution.” In practical terms this means that offerors can jointly meet specific requirements (e.g. SINS, minimum qualifications, past performance). We encourage the SEWP program office to treat CTAs similarly. For example, we encourage CTA partners being able to jointly meet certification (e.g. one CTA partner, but not both, holds active CMMI certifications) or mandatory experience requirements (e.g. both CTA partners can contribute REP experience).</p>	See question 109.
1172	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Does the government expect a formal agreement with the AbilityOne Program and/or SourceAmerica/NIB to be provided as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1171	FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)	For Category B, in the instructions for the Offer Volume, it says "A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." However, in the instructions for the Past Performance Volume, it says "For Small Businesses proposing in Category B – the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an annual average value of \$1,000,000 for size to be rated relevant." Can you please elaborate on the difference between these two requirements? As a small business services firm, we do have contracts over \$1 million, but nowhere close to \$30 million.	Refer to question 663
------	--	--	-----------------------

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1170	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>The Draft Solicitation assigns NAICS 541512 to Categories A-C but also permits COs to use 40 other NAICS codes for individual orders. The Draft Solicitation only allows offerors to certify their size under NAICS 541512. This is contrary to SBA regulations (13 C.F.R. §§ 121.402(c)(1)(i) and (ii)), which permits the use of a single NAICS code for size certifications if the NAICS code will best describe the principal purpose of each order. If a single NAICS code does not cover each order that may be issued, the agency must divide the solicitation into discrete categories (such as CLINs or Functional Areas (FAs)) and assign each discrete category the single NAICS code and corresponding size standard that best describes the principal purpose of the goods or services to be acquired under that category. An offeror must meet the applicable size standard for each category for which it seeks an award as a small business concern.</p> <p>Will NASA revise the Draft Solicitation so that offerors can certify their size under any of the NAICS codes listed in the solicitation?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, The government will consider it. Any updates will be reflected in the final RFP.</p> <p>The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section A.1.33 lists all the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI.</p>
1169	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Please confirm - if an Offeror submits a proposal under Category A as a small business and is awarded with a contract, the small business will qualify for full and open large business opportunities as well as small business set-asides.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1168	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.4.4.(a) (Technical subfactor A): The evaluation criteria is unclear. There are no rating definitions of "relevant experience" associated to effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency compared to instructions listed in A.3.7.3(a). Could you please clarify?	Thank you for your comment, The government will consider it. The RFP is being updated to provide further clarification.
1167	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	What is the difference between the Relevant Experience Project and the Past Performance Project other than the Volume they appear in?	Refer to question 1004
1166	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	In Section A.3.7.1, the RFP requires ISO certification for all categories and CMMI certification for categories B and C. We recommend that companies who does not currently have this certification be able to on-ramp at a later date. By keeping the ISO/CMMI certifications as they are, it provides a manageable pool of proposals to evaluate and thus makes the process more efficient.	Refer to question 109
1165	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3 Technical Area 3a: Software and Cloud Technology - please consider removing mandatory subareas for this category and allow Offerors to propose software as a general subarea.	Thank you for the suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1164	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP Draft Document: SEWP VI DRFP 80TECH23R0001.pdf/Section A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME (a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A) For Categories A and B (only) Page 93 of 133 - Offeror Comment/Concern: Offerors shall include the letters of authorization for each mandatory Sub-area provider and OEM point of contact who can verify that information. Will government allow bidders to provide LOAs from authorized distributors? Suggested Change: Offeror recommends allowing bidders to provide LOAs from authorized distributors, as well as direct providers.	Thank you for your suggestion, and any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1163	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Past Performance Questionnaire Instructions - will you consider having a separate questionnaire for each Category? For offerors that are only proposing in a specific Category, our past performance references might get confused and also dread seeing a questionnaire that's 12 pages long before even reading what it is!	Thank you for your suggestion, and any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1162	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>The Draft Solicitation states on page 86 that for 8(a) joint ventures, "the SBA shall approve the 8(a) JV agreement prior to contract award." Per 13 C.F.R. § 124.513(e)(1), SBA will only approve 8(a) joint ventures for sole source 8(a) awards, not competitive 8(a) awards. Because the solicitation will involve competitive 8(a) awards, NASA should delete the requirement that an 8(a) joint venture submit its JV agreement to SBA for approval.</p> <p>Will NASA remove the requirement that an 8(a) joint venture submit its JVA agreement to SBA for approval?</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1161	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Has the Government considered breaking out the three categories into individual NAICS -- for example, Category A 334411; Category B 541519; and Category C 541152, with set-asides allowed for each category?	<p>Thank you for your suggestion, and any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p> <p>As stated in the Draft RFP Section A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE, the scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services along with the other various NAICS codes that can be used.</p>
1160	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Exhibit 2: Relevant Experience Project Table - is that referencing the incorrect section of the solicitation?	Thank you for your comment, and any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1159	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.2, page 89 - In Section A.3.7.1 the government says that each project must have a total contract value (TCV) of \$30M as a single task or contract. In section 3.7.2 the government says that, to be rated relevant, the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with an average annual value of at least \$2.5. Will the government lower the minimum \$30M TCV to \$20M to provide growing mid-size contractors who qualify as Other Than Small an equal opportunity to compete on the vehicle?	Refer to questions 663.
1158	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.3, page 92 - The relevance of some of the instructions to Category B and Category C is unclear. For example, A.3.7.3.(a).2 to A.3.7.3.(a).4 are related solely to product sales, whereas Category B focuses on professional services. A.3.7.3.(b).(1) also has minimal relevance to Category B and Category C. Please clarify the instructions for the Technical Approach and Management Approach sections of the Mission Suitability Volume for Category B and Category C.	The Final RFP is being updated for Clarification.
1157	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.1.35, page 59 - Are offerors expected to establish relationships with AbilityOne non-profit companies in advance of proposal submission, or is this requirement to identify and engage these organizations post-award only?	This question is similar to question #570.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1156</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Page 32, Category C - On page 29, it is stated, "Category B is only intended to be utilized for large agency/enterprise-wide implementations." However Category C does not allow Other Than Small Business concerns to participate or provide services to agencies at the mission/program level. This appears to be overly restrictive, both to industry and to federal agencies that need and want access to the professional services they need, from wherever they may be sourced. Will the government allow OTSB concerns to propose on Category C to ensure agencies have access to the full range of providers they may need?</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.</p>
<p>1155</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>A.3.6.(6), page 84 - This states, "Any proposal found to be a duplication or replica of another offeror will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award and will not be evaluated by the Government." We assume that this provision relates only to copies of entire volumes or proposals, and that past performance information and other data may be reused across proposal submissions for different Categories or for submissions from different offerors (e.g. operating as a subcontractor versus prime, as a joint venture, etc.). Please clarify.</p>	<p>Thank you for your question. Any clarification will be provided in the Final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1154	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Section A.3.7.3(a)6 -I think the wording needs to be used more consistently. For Small Businesses, are you asking for 2,000 CLINs from a minimum of 3 Technical Areas, with at least 75% of those CLINs addressing the Mandatory Sub-areas, and the remaining 25% addressing the Additional Sub-areas? So 6000 CLINs; 1500 from 3 different Mandatory Sub-Areas; then remaining 1500 from 3 different Additional Sub-areas. I would say use the Defined Terms instead of using a portion of the defined terms in the requirement.	Partially answered in A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (b)(6): Similar to Question #1028, any changes will be reflected on the Final RFP.
1153	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	Please clarify past performance requirements for JVs. Do both parties need to provide separate past performance? Can the past performance be all from a single member of the JV? If a company uses a past performance as part of a JV, can that past performance also be used in a stand alone submission?	The Final RFP is being updated for Clarification.
1152	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	The current proposed NAICS code for Category A is 541512 which is for Computer Systems Design Services - this is not an appropriate NAICS code for what is being asked for in Category A. Please switch to NAICS 423430 (Computer and Computer Peripheral Equipment and Software Merchant Wholesalers) or NAICS 541519 to include the non-manufacture rule.	Thank you for your recommendation, the government will consider it. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1151	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	We agree with the Government’s 541512 NAICS code selection for this work.	Thank you for your comment.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1150	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	We recommend the Government consider not allowing teaming or MPJVs for SEWP VI at the master contract level. Allow small businesses to stand on their own capabilities at the master contract level. Teaming could be allowed at the TO level as needed to supplement individual task orders.	Thank you for your recommendation, SEWP will consider it. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1149	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Section A.3.7.3(a)2: Is there a minimum number of Letters of Authorization required for each mandatory sub-area?	Offerors shall include the letters of authorization for each mandatory Sub-area provider and OEM point of contact who can verify that information. RFP will be updated for Clarification.
1148	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Section A.3.7.2(a)12: Should Category A,B,C Content Representative Areas be changed to Category A,B,C Technical Area. You're asking for past performance representing solutions within those Technical Areas. To confirm, past performance only needs to cover at least 3 of the technical areas within Category A for a Small Business.	The Final RFP will reflect any updates or changes necessary for clarification.
1147	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will vendors be restricted to participating in only the task areas in which their past performances are aligned? For example if a vendor submits past performance in Task Area 1, 2, 3, & 4; would they only be able to participate on task orders with those task areas?	No.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1146	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Section A.3.7.2(a)4 - Please clarify the contract expenditures incurred. Is this for current and ongoing projects? I'm not sure how this would be applicable to Category A on a one time hardware drop ship delivery or a hardware project with associated services that is installed and completed right after the hardware is delivered.	The Final RFP is being updated for clarification.
1145	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	Why is SEWP requiring ISO & CMMI certifications? Is SEWP projecting that RFQ/RFI/Market Research that will be dropping in SEWP 6 will require ISO and/or CMMI certifications?	These standards are well established and assist at the contract and order level to certify key standard practices of the offeror.
1144	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Section A.3.7.1(b) Mandatory Experience: Is there a certain number of CLINs required for each Mandatory Subarea? Please do not make that a requirement because some mandatory subareas will be more difficult to have more CLINs (for example: Software)	Thank you for your suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1143	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Section A.3.7.1(b) - Mandatory Experience: Do these CLINs have to be on a previous or existing order, or can this be a list containing CLINs that we can offer that has not been purchased by the Government?	The final RFP will be revised to reflect that the CLINS are to reflect all possible solutions that can be acquired by the government, even if not previously ordered.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1142	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Section A.3.1.Catory A - Technical Area 3a - Please consider removing Database management and query software as a Mandatory Subarea.	Thank you for your comment. SEWP will consider it, and any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1141	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	The project description mentions the use of highlight or 'tag', is there a specific 'tag' naming format which the government prefers?	The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1140	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	The products for a Catagory A response, will all the products have to be TAA Compliant?	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1139	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	7.Can a prime offeror be a subcontractor to another SB offeror?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1138	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	6.Are Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) from Joint Venture (JV) members eligible for use?	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1137	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	5.If the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) is accessible, is it still necessary to obtain signed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) from customers?	This question is similar to #48 and #97.
1136	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	4.Can multiple REPs from subcontractors be utilized, and if so, is it permissible to use the subcontractor's experience when they were performing as a sub?	If the work was done as a subcontractor, then the size and work described as a sub-contractor must be only that work specifically defined in the subcontract.
1135	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	3.Is it acceptable to utilize one of the Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) for a contract that was awarded six months prior to the solicitation date?	Please reference the response to Question # 2.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1134	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	2.Regarding the Total Page Count for the Technical approach, is it required that the offeror submit all categories (A,B or C) of technical approaches in one file, even though the submission instructions suggest separate Zip files for each category? Please provide clarification.	A.3.6 (b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS will provide clarity on the required page count. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1133	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	1.Is the Offeror permitted to submit responses for both Unrestricted and Small Business set-aside, as referenced in Section A.3.5 regarding Proposals Requested?	The Final RFP will be revised to state only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror. An Offeror will be grouped within a scope category based on the size standard associated with NAICS code.
1132	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	We would recommend changing NAICS to 541519 for the following reason: Category A lends itself more closely to the Reseller NAICS. That NAICS has a threshold for small business based on employee size due to the nature of the business, in that it looks like businesses that fall under that NAICS have large revenue, but in reality have incredibly low margins related to that revenue. In addition, businesses falling under 541519 have built their business around strong financials, strong creditworthiness, and supplier relationships to be able to serve the Government. Small business under other NAICS may not be able to deliver large scale orders, or may actually go out of business during delivery of an order.	Thank you for your recommendation, SEWP will consider it, and Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1131	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will the government consider republishing the DRAFT RFP in a searchable format?	Thank you for your recommendation, the government will consider it. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1130	A.1.1 GSFC 52.211-90 DELIVERABLE REQUIREMENTS (APR 2023)	Information on SEWPVI has been updated with Industry briefings, Q&A's. We'd like to strongly suggest that these changes should be incorporated into the SEWPVI DRFP. Will the government release another SEWPVI DRFP incorporating these changes/modifications.?	Thank you for your suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1129	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	With respect to the requirement to use Ability One as a subcontractor, do offerors have to include anything in their proposals? The instructions are silent. Does an offeror need to establish a relationship with an Ability One company and document it in the proposal? Do proposals need to affirmatively accept the requirement? Or will that be addressed at the task order level?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity as to what is needed in the offer volume and post award.
1128	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	The \$2 million average annual value past performance requirement for category C small business offerors is too high to foster small business competition and restricts many small businesses from fairly competing. Will the Government revise the average annual value requirement to a minimum of \$500,000 to maximize small business participation?	The Final RFP will be revised for further Clarity.
1127	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Will the Government consider if a single award BPA with multiple call orders that combined exceed the average annual value threshold as one past performance.	Thank you for your suggestion. Any update will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1126	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Exhibit+2-PastPerfQues-SEWP+VI.pdf - Section4. SOW Survey Relevance Ratings (Table on pg. 3-9): The evaluation table allows for a "Performed" or "Did Not Perform" response. Whereas the tech area (category) includes many items. It is possible that a good majority of them were delivered in past performances but not All of them. Would it be better to list the ones performed?	Any changes/updates will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1125	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Category B/Tech Area 2B Managed Services. Is hardware expected to be included in the Managed Services or obtained through Category A Products?	This question is a duplicate of question #182.
1124	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Is it a requirement to propose a solution in all the category/tech areas or only those where there is a mandatory requirement?	This question is a duplicate of question #181.
1123	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Is NASA open to changing the arrangement of the Tech Areas in Category A to line up with the end user (PCs, Print, Conference Room, Accessories)? Items outside of the data center.	This question is a duplicate of question #180.
1122	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment C - Novation Agreements (pg 31): In cases of Novation/contract assignment, it is suggested that NASA require a letter of assignment agreement from represented manufacturers prior to allowing assignments. This would allow for evaluation of open receivables, transition planning for the servicing open orders or the assignment of open orders to contract holders authorized by the manufacture to avoid disruption in supply chain and delivery of services.	Thank you for your suggestion. NASA will consider it, and any changes and/or updates will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1121	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7Volume III - Management/Technical Approach VolumeWe would recommend adding the Mission Suitability Vol to the beginning of Vol III – Management/Technical Approach. Based on instruction the Mission Suitability Vol would seem to fall in line with a description of the associated technical sub-areas.	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1120	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment C - NAICS Codes (pg 31) and Attachment H - Ability One Data Reporting (pg 2): These two sections emphasis “contract” verses “order” level, however, if NAICS is accurate when would Attachment H be applicable?	The Final RFP will be updated to reflect that Attachment H applies at the order level.
1119	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3Scope CategoriesWe would like to suggest a change of NAICS code for categories A-C to NAICS 541519.	Thank you for your suggestion, The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section A.1.33 lists all the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI. any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1118	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	It was indicated for us to complain to SBA since SBA specifically instructed SEWP to take out the 541519 ITVAR NAICS CODE (specifically the NAICS CODE that is head count based); can you confirm that this is the case, prior to us sending a formal complaint to SBA?	Thank you for your comments. Any changes to NAICS codes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1117	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Page 132 (A.1.34) mentions NAICS code 541519, which was the NAICS code for SEWP V, but page 57 and all three categories (A.1.2) list 541512 as the primary NAICS code. Can the Government please explain the rationale in changing the NACIS code?	The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section A.1.33 lists all the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1116	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	During the Industry Day, it was mentioned that the relevant performance should be \$5M, but it was not clear if that was cumulative or per program performance and total or annual average value. Please clarify.	Refer to question 1243
1115	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Based on information provided at Industry Day, could you provide clarification on whether we should separate the minimum mandatory CLINs from our breadth and depth catalog?	The final RFP will reflect any necessary updates or changes.
1114	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	What is the limit of reference projects per category that can be used? During the Industry Day, it was stated that the number needed to match the number of projects requested. However, the DRFP states a minimum of X (based on Category and Large or Small Business). Could you please clarify this?	The final RFP will reflect any necessary updates or changes.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1113	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	If a company prepares proposals for all three categories (three separate proposals) is it permissible to reuse language in all three proposals? For instance, when writing about certifications, is it permissible to use the same or similar language in all three proposals?	Yes, only proposals found to be a duplication or replica of another offeror (company) or have a section that is duplication or replica of another offeror (company) will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award and will not be evaluated by the Government.
1112	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	On page 85 (A.3.6.b), Proposal Content and Page Limitations, (2), it is listed that font should be 12-point type Times New Roman. It further states that the Excel exhibits are provided in Arial 9–12-point type font. Are we to edit the Excel exhibits to Times New Roman 12-point font, or leave as they are?	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1111	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	In Volume III Mission Suitability (page 84 A.3.6.b) it lists page count per category of 30 pages and a total of 90 pages, but each category should be provided as a separate proposal. Shouldn't the total number of pages be 30 for each category in each proposal for a page limit of 30 pages for the section for each proposal? Please clarify.	The Final RFP is being updated for clarification.
1110	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	"Attachment C - Technology Refreshment (TRs) (pg 10): "....verify pricing information." Please describe the process and factors used to verify pricing information. What are the factors used to determine a price is "too high." How does such a standard comport with FAR 15.404-1 for fair and reasonable?	Thank you for your comment, however, SEWP will not be providing descriptions or definitions for these adjectives. Any changes or clarifications will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1109	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	On page 101-102 (A.4.4.a.3), Technical Approach (Subfactor A) under Volume III Mission Suitability, evaluation criteria are listed in reference to experience in projects for effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency. Should this belong with Volume II Past Performance or is this in regard to how our REPs meet the Technical Requirements and is directing us to write as such in Volume III?	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1108	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment C - Submissions (pg. 10): Supply Chain Relationships are not required but encouraged. You do not need to have an identified relationship with a provider to offer their products. However, providing false information will result in an Information Distribution strike in program performance. With emphasis on supply chain integrity, why is it allowable to not have an authorization from a provider? Further, how can provider authorization be validated if the point of contact is authorized to make assertions for the provider?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1107	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment C - General Overview (pg. 5):It is required that all quotes from all Contract Holders for any RFQs issued by the government through the SEWP Quote Request Tool pass through the Quote Tool on the CHOP. The CHOP should be the Contract Holder’s primary tool in responding to customer’s order changes and Request for Quotation RFQs. Coupled with other statements in Attachment A, it appears there is the ability to quote outside of the SEWP Quote Request Tool. Please confirm or correct if this is not accurate and how quotes will be published to all eligible SEWP holders.	SEWP highly recommends but does not require the Government customer to utilize the SEWP Quote Request Tool. The RFP provides information as to what is required from the Contract Holders when responding to requests outside the SEWP system.
1106	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment B - SEWP Program Performance: Feedback from manufacturers/industry (without a SEWP Contract) should be allowed regarding the quality or products and services and problem resolution regarding such. Are Contract Holders expected to solicit such input? In what category could manufacturers/industry provide feedback?	Program Performance is handled post-contract award. Input from all sources including Government and Industry are utilized to determine performance ratings.
1105	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	For companies who want to use subcontract past performance - not all primes will fill out a Government form to provide past performance. Some of them want to use their own company past performance forms which may not correspond 100% to the PPQ supplied by NASA. Will the Government accept these alternate forms?	Thank you for the recommendation. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1104	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	For Group C and the REP requirement of \$2,000,000.00 would a BPA qualify to meet the minimum requirement if our BPA's have surpassed \$2,000,000.00 annually? To clarify more we have not seen many task orders exceed \$2,000,000.00 per transaction and we are wanting a more precise definition of what constitutes meeting that minimum requirement based on contract type.	Thank you for your comment. The Final RFP will be updated to reflect additional clarity and necessary updates.
1103	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Most single award BPAs award 1 year task/call orders with, in essence, logical follow-ons for subsequent years, instead of a single 5-year award. In the current RFP, this would preclude us using, as an example, a \$35M single award BPA that has five \$7M 1-year call orders as a REP. Will NASA please consider allowing single award BPAs, and their awarded task/call orders, as single contract award?	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1102</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>For Category A we recommend NAICS Code 334111, Electronic Computer Manufacturing, for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.NAICS 541512, Computer Systems Design Services, while most appropriate for the scope of services-focused Category B and Category C, is not intended for a product-centric acquisition, like Category A. b.NAICS 541512 size standard of \$34m will result in a vast reduction in small business participation and spend in Category A – without doubt, SEWP VI active and successful small businesses qualifying for Category A will quickly graduate into large businesses. This very rapidly eroding the >80% small business spend that SEWP V proudly enjoys today. c.NAICS 334111 has a size standard of 150 FTEs and provides the scope for a SEWP VI-active product-centric value added reseller (VAR) to be able to maintain its Category A small business status. d.NAICS 334111 provides VARs with the capacity and skills to be able to offer and support the breadth of OEM brands and products that SEWP VI demands (e.g., providing 12,000 CLINs across just the 6 mandatory sub-areas). 	<p>Thank you for your recommendation, any updates will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
<p>1101</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Confirm if/how a SEWP V contractor’s performance is assessed as part of the SEWP VI evaluation.</p>	<p>Past Performance will be evaluated in accordance with RFP section A.4.3.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1100	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	3. Will a large business SEWP VI IDIQ Awardee be regarded as a small business at task order level, dependent on the NAICS code selected by the ordering contract officer?	Yes, the final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1099	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Can a Value Adder Reseller, providing products, bid as prime for Category A and be a sub-contractor to other Category B and C bidders?	Thank you for your question, any updates to NAICS codes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1098	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	A.7. ELECTRONIC PROCESSES (pg. 18): The Contract Holders User Guide implies that the only reason to quote outside of the QRT is security concerns. Please expound upon this to indicate if security is the only reason or if there are other appropriate situations and how the QRT could still be used so interested parties are allowed to compete or evaluate the J&A.	While the SEWP Quote Request Tool is the only recommended method to obtain quotes, the issuing Agency may elect to utilize a different methodology for whatever reason they may have.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1097</p>	<p>A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)</p>	<p>A.7. ELECTRONIC PROCESSES (pg. 18): "The Contractor must be able to automatically transmit, receive and process information to and from the SEWP PMO via electronic means as identified in Attachment D. General policies and procedures shall be established and published (Attachment D) by the SEWP PMO to be followed by the Contractor when using electronic methods for transmitting, receiving, and processing business documents. The Contractor must comply with these policies and procedures. It is the goal of this procurement to utilize the Internet for the exchange of all relevant business documents. It is also desirable to accommodate a broad and diverse customer base. Where a customer is not yet able to transmit electronic documents, it may be necessary for the Contractor to process traditional paper documents. It is not the policy of this procurement to encourage paper orders, merely to accommodate them where electronic ordering is not yet possible."</p> <p>This implies that quotes may be obtained outside of the SEWP Quote Request Tool via direct engagement. Please confirm or correct if this is not accurate and how quotes will be published to all eligible SEWP holders.</p>	<p>The issuing agency ultimately determines the methodology they will use to solicit quotes. The SEWP Quote Request Tool is the only recommended method. If an agency selects a different method it is their responsibility to ensure applicable FAR 16 clauses are followed.</p>
<p>1096</p>	<p>(b) Mandatory Experience</p>	<p>The government cites for Category B, that each project... "must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." Currently the box does not allow text in the written description field to carry over to additional pages. Please provide a template that allows us to expand the Project Description box for the total page count, not to exceed three pages.</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the final REP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1095	(b) Mandatory Experience	The government cites for Category B, that each project... "must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." Currently the REP template is titled Exhibit 2 in the header on the document. Please provide an updated template.	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1094	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	The government states that "the offeror shall also provide the following information" and then states five requirements. Please confirm that it is acceptable to place this item, located in Vol I, after "SF1449" and before "ISO9001 and CMMI Certification" and that it is excluded from page count.	Yes, that is correct information is to be place in Vol I with the SF1449 and excluded form the page count.
1093	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	A.6. ORDERING GUIDES (pg. 17): The Contract Holders User Guide implies that the only reason to quote outside of the QRT is security concerns. Please expound upon this to indicate if security is the only reason or if there are other appropriate situations and how the QRT could still be used so interested parties are allowed to compete or evaluate the J&A.	The final RFP will be updated to provide additional clarity.
1092	A.1.26 CONTRACTOR COLLECTION OF AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING FEE	This section states "An Agency Administrative Handling Fee, not to exceed ¾%," however Attachment C refers to "not to exceed .34%". Please confirm that ¾% was a typo and that the Agency Administrative Handling Fees are not to exceed .34%?	Refer to question 205.
1091	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	How would an offeror correctly provide "a list identifying the providers for which the offeror is an approved reseller and provide the full suite of products and services from each identified provider for the mandatory sub-area. A list of approved SEWP Providers is provided as enclosure one"?	The Final RFP will be revised for clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1090	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	For the Technical Approach, Subfactor A, items 2, 3, and 4 are listed under the subheader "For Categories A & B (only)". Please confirm that this was a typo and that these items are not applicable to Category B and only applicable to Category A?	The Final RFP will be updated for further clarity.
1089	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	A.6. ORDERING GUIDES (pg. 17): "Program support information including: How to obtain a quote for hardware, software, or services, including names, telephone numbers and email addresses of appropriate sales representatives." This implies that quotes may be obtained outside of the SEWP Quote Request Tool via direct engagement. Please confirm or correct if this is not accurate and how quotes will be published to all eligible SEWP holders.	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1088	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Is Offeror permitted to provide attachments (such as the Commitment to Product and Services Diversity, Sustainability, SCRM and the Small Business Subcontracting plans) or links that would be excluded from page count in order to stay within the proposal page limit?	The RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1087	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	In Section A.3.7.3, the draft solicitation states that (for Category A, Large Businesses) "the proposal must include a suite of technology that covers at least 50% of the additional non-mandatory of the six Technical Sub-areas." Please confirm that the "at least 50%" is the total of all sub-areas and not 50% per sub-area.	The Final RFP will be updated to provide additional clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1086	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Past Performance Questionnaire requirement for each past performance. With many government COs, CORs, and PMs no longer available for signature, would the Government consider allowing other type of past performance documentation, for example, a FPDS report, CPARS, and/or Offeror write-up to satisfy the past performance requirement?	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1085	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Past Performance requirement of 3 recent similar contracts within 3 years. Would the Government consider extending time period for similar contracts from 3 to 5 years?	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1084	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	The requirement states: "For Category B and C: The Offeror must provide third party compliance verification with Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) via a current CMMI- Development (CMMI-DEV) or CMMI-Services (CMMI-SVC) Appraisal at Maturity Level 2 or higher. Would the Government consider removing the CMMI requirement for Categories B and C or apply it at the Task Order level? Would the Government consider allowing CMMI certification to be provided by a subcontractor or teaming partner to the Offeror to satisfy this requirement?"	Refer to questions 445 and 230.
1083	(b) Mandatory Experience	Relevant Experience Projects (REP). Considering the number of REPs required for each Category/sub-category area, can an REP be used more than once, i.e., repeated, in Categories and sub-category areas?	Thank you for your suggestions, any change will be reflected in the final RFP.
1082	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Category A - Are the minimum 2,000 CLINs per category, mandatory or mandatory and optional CLINs? Can the CLINs owner be a teammate or other third party?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1081	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	A.5.1. WORLD WIDE WEB SERVICES: "The Contractor shall maintain a public website for publishing a full complement of contract related resources to the SEWP PMO, SEWP POCs, and SEWP customers." -Does "full complement" in this context include product and pricing information? Also, does this requirement include an alternative to the SEWP Quote Request Tool?	Contract Holders are not required to include product and pricing information. While Contract Holders may have a micro-purchase/credit card ordering system, they are not required to do so.
1080	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION-COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	What are the timings for Offerors being notified during each round of evaluation? If categories B and C require large \$ amounts of past experience to be eligible, how does one gain the experience without being eligible for contracts like SEWP VI?	The timeframe is contingent on the number of proposals.
1079	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	The requirement states: "For Category B and C: The Offeror must provide third party compliance verification with Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) via a current CMMI- Development (CMMI-DEV) or CMMI-Services (CMMI-SVC) Appraisal at Maturity Level 2 or higher. Would the Government consider removing the CMMI requirement for Categories B and C or apply it at the Task Order level? Would the Government consider allowing CMMI certification to be provided by a subcontractor or teaming partner to the Offeror to satisfy this requirement?"	No, Sub-contractors or teaming agreements need to hold the same ISO and CMMI Certification
1078	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	The draft solicitation states requirements for Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) for Categories B and C. Please confirm that REPs are not required for Category A.	Correct, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) are only required in Categories B and C. See section A.3.7.1 (b)

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1077	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	A.4.1. SEWP PMO Management Services: Will there be a mechanism for industry/manufacturers (without a SEWP Contract) to review products and pricing to ensure information is not being misrepresented, end of life items removed, etc.?	The SEWP Program Office is reviewing current reporting capabilities including the information provided to providers. The types of information described in this question is part of that review.
1076	A.1.42 ON-RAMP ACTIVITY	Can Awardees on-ramp to categories other than the category(ies) they are awarded, i.e., can a Category A awardee on-ramp to Category B? Is there a timeframe and process for which Awardees can choose to on-ramp, seek consideration from NASA, and receive a response?	<p>NASA reserves the right to conduct on-ramp activity as needed and determined by SEWP PMO data analytics demonstrating a low volume of Contract Holders being re-certified as a Small Business or advancement of industry or technology. The Ordering Period of on-ramped contracts will be determined at the time of the on-ramped activity. On-ramp activity consists of the following:</p> <p>Open Season On-Ramp: Discretionary, implemented as needed.</p> <p>Lateral/Vertical Contract On-Ramp: A small business contractor must have outgrown their sized standard based on natural growth, or through an approved novation agreement in recognition of a successor in interest when Contractor assets are transferred during the term of their SEWP Contract. Contracts Laterally On-Ramped will maintain their awarded Period of Performance. A lateral/ vertical on-ramp does not occur automatically for a contract, as a capability determination must be met by the contractor before the transition. If a Contractor is found incapable of meeting the necessary qualifications the vertical/ lateral on-ramp will not occur and procedures for dormant status and/or Off ramping will proceed.</p> <p>Forward On-Ramp: Established in response to and</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1075	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	A.4.1. SEWP PMO Management Services – Page 15 – indicates in part:“Pricing information will be remotely accessible by Contractors and customers to facilitate the generation of contractually correct orders. The database will be populated via electronic processes as defined in Attachment D- Communication Requirements.” Will pricing information be searchable outside of the SEWP portal, i.e., in the public domain?	No.
1074	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	Would the Government consider modifying the absolute AbilityOne requirement for certain NAICS and PSC codes with "strongly recommended" subcontracting with AbilityOne substantiated by task order reporting?	Any changes will be reflected on the Final RFP.
1073	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will the Government permit a single project/order to be used as a Representative Experience Project (REP) for more than one sub-area within a category, considering that enterprise-wide services typically include requirements across more than one sub-area (this was allowed by GSA on OASIS+ proposals so long as the dollar value of the project/order was split, and not duplicated, across multiple sub-areas)?	Thank you for your suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1072	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	What is the definition of “cooperative agreements” as used in this context?	Cooperative agreement is not found within this solicitation.
1071	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Will a comprehensive list of eligible Universities receiving grants be posted on the NASA SEWP website similar to the Approved Contractors?	Universities receiving grants are not eligible to purchase directly from the SEWP contracts.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1070	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	A.2 Scope, Page 4 of Attachment A – indicates in part: “...investigators, or Universities through grants or cooperative agreements and Government-Owner Contractor-Operated (GOCO) organizations.” What is the definition of an “investigator”?	Thank you for your comment, however, SEWP we will not be providing definitions for these adjectives. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1069	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will the Government please consider permitting use of a "collection of task orders" as an REP (such as was allowed for GSA OASIS+ proposals)? For a variety of reasons, some Government customers issue an annual follow-on order each year as opposed to issuing a single order with option years; the result is a "collection of task orders" that is essentially identical to a multi-year task order that includes options.	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1068	(b) Mandatory Experience	"Note that a provider and their corresponding CLINs may only be used for one Technical Mandatory Sub-area and cannot be duplicated within a given sub-area." Please clarify. Does this mean a provider may only be used for one sub-area?	The RFP will be updated for additional Clarity.
1067	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Please confirm that the offeror is limited to 30 pages for each category submission under "Technical Approach Volume". Meaning if an Offeror is only responding to 2 of the 3 categories, they will be allowed a total of 60 pages for the technical approach volume.	The Final RFP will be revised for further Clarity.
1066	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Will the Government permit cross teaming of prime and sub relationships (e.g., can a company bid as both a prime contractor and participate as a CTA subcontractor to other prime offerors)?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1065	A.3.5 PROPOSALS REQUESTED	<p>""Only one proposal for each scope category per offeror will be accepted. Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category.""</p> <p>Please confirm that a separate proposal or each category should be submitted. The table on page 84 appears to show a requested response inclusive of all categories the offeror is responding to. "</p>	<p>Only one proposal per group for each scope category will be accepted per offeror. Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category by the proposal due date and time specified in Block 8 of the SF 1449, Offerors shall submit their proposal in volumes as specified below.</p> <p>Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
1064	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	This section only addresses Category A and B. It this section not required for Category C?	(a) Technical approach (subfactor A) states For All Categories. The Final RFP will be updated for clarity.
1063	(b) Mandatory Experience	Category B and C requires a certain number of REPs but it states that each have to be a minimum of \$30M in total value. However, the Past performance for Category B and C for a small businesses has annual values that seem more inline with small business contract values. Would the government please clarify the minimum value of the REPs?	The Final RFP is being updated to provide additional clarity.
1062	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Would the government consider the phase 1 pass or fail basis to be either ISO 9000 OR CMMI certification?	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1061	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Would the government consider removing the CMMI requirement at the contract level for EDWOSB?	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1060	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Since the CMMI certification is only applicable to some Sub-areas in B and C, would the government consider removing this requirement at the contract vehicle level and have it at the TO level?	<p>No, all categories must provide an ISO 9001 certification, and categories B and C must provide a CMMI certification in addition to the ISO 9001. Sub-contractors or teaming agreements need to hold the same ISO and CMMI Certification.</p> <p>Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1059	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	Proposal Volume III is referred to as "Mission Suitability Volume" and "Management/Technical Approach Volume" in different sections. Please be consistent for clarity.	Thank you for your suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1058	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Can SEWP VI's RFQ Summary Page include an of indication of the set-aside status of each RFQ? Currently, to find out whether an RFQ is SB/WOSB, etc, one has to reach the RFQ Detail page. It would be great if this information was placed on the RFQ summary.	Thank you for your suggestion, Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1057	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Would SEWP consider upgrading the way it creates and manages support cases? For instance, when a support case is created, we receive a notice that lists the case number without providing the reason for the support case or a summary of it. If there are several cases active at the same time, this issue translates into potential confusion. Additionally, sometimes we have received notices that are out of sequence.	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1056	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Please provide clarification as to what the PMO will find acceptable for proposal submissions against FAR 9.104-1 items (a) through (g).	As referenced under Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume Contractors are to provide information addressing all the elements to demonstrate responsibility. Offerors shall address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1055	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Would SEWP consider developing and providing software APIs so contract holders can develop applications that interact directly with the CHOP, and in doing so, gaining efficiencies, and reducing potential human errors.	Thank you for the suggestion. Please submit this question to help@sewp.nasa.gov.
1054	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>The NAICS code used in NASA SEWP V, 542519 with the reseller exception, provides greater flexibility to contracting officers to be able to set aside projects for small business. The NAICS code 542512 is for projects that are service oriented and will put most hardware and software resellers out of the small business category.</p> <p>Another factor that we think SEWP should consider is that the RFQ amount limit for NAICS code 541512 is \$34,000,000.00. A SEWP contract holder who begins their contract as a small business could surpass the NAICS code 541512 threshold within just a few months or even with a single order. Additionally, even though the sales amounts resellers achieve are high, the profit margins are minuscule and will be further diminished by not qualifying as small business.</p> <p>The NAICS code 541519, with its reseller exception and a threshold of 150 employees, represents a significantly more realistic approach to fulfilling the goal of supporting small businesses.</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the final REP.</p> <p>As stated in the Draft RFP Section A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE, the scope is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512-Computer Systems Design Services. The RFP provides the other various NAICS codes that can be used.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1053	(b) Mandatory Experience	How does an agency's "Extended Enterprise" fit in the definition of "Enterprise-Wide"? Many agencies work via their extended enterprise which may encompass state/local/tribal governments, industry stakeholders, and non-profits. For example, an EPA contract to develop a system whose user base spans an EPA program, state/local/tribal governments across the country, and non-profits – would this contract be considered "enterprise-wide" as it spans the EPA extended enterprise?	The RFP will be updated for further clarity.
1052	A.1.28 INVOICES – SUBMISSION OF	Will the SEWP PMO really require copies of all Category B and C orders (and Category A orders of \$6M+)? This would be a new requirement that would increase administrative costs to Contract Holders and, in turn, increase prices to Customers.	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1051	A.1.26 CONTRACTOR COLLECTION OF AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING FEE	Please review, and potentially revise, item (f) herein; current SEWP V Administrative Handling Fee reports do not include the "Total Order Amount."	The current SEWP V Administrative Handling Fee report does include the "Total Order Amount" and will remain unchanged for SEWP VI.
1050	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Solution providers can deliver solutions that are OEM agnostic or not include an OEM at all. For Category B, would the Government consider removing the requirement to include letters of authorization for each mandatory Sub-area provider and OEM point of contact who can verify that information?	Thank you for your suggestion, SEWP will consider it. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1049	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	SEWP VI Category B represents a significant advancement in allowing for enterprise IT Solutions. However, requesting letters of authorizations from OEMs seems to be a hold-back to a more product based contract vs. a more flexible, emerging technology focused contract that allows for delivering services around innovative combinations of multiple OEM products. On services forward contracts, offerors are able to develop solutions based upon intellectual property. Would the Government consider removing the requirement for Category B offerors?	Thank you for your suggestion, Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1048	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will NASA consider establishing a surcharge cap at \$150K - \$250K per year per order for Category B orders in excess of \$50M/year similar to other GWACs supporting enterprise solutions? While the NASA SEWP surcharge of 0.34% is an excellent value for the average (\$150K) SEWP V order, the value doesn't scale competitively for enterprise solutions task orders that exceed \$100M.	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1047	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will NASA consider adding clarifying scope to categories? Specifically, could NASA add ordering 'scenarios' to distinguish between how NASA and other SEWP users might be prohibited from using a certain category given service / solution requirements?	The Final RFP will be updated for additional Clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1046</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Will NASA consider adding FAR 16.3 Cost-Reimbursement Contracts for task order contract types permitted under NASA SEWP VI for the Category B: Enterprise-wide IT Solutions GWAC?</p> <p>Rationale: Cost-Reimbursement contracts are used when there are many uncertainties regarding contract performance. Uncertainties that may be due to technology maturation or innovative application of technology, poor requirements elicitation or contract goals misalignment due to unassured budgets over a lengthy period of performance. Acquisition of enterprise-wide IT solutions are inherently more risky than product procurement because of these uncertainties. Enterprise-wide solutions, especially those that may be preceded by innovation services [to include proofs-of-concept that might be required by SEWP VI SOW - Technical Area 3b] are designed to buy-down execution risk. But these are 'discovery' activities. That is, what is learned from these tasks impacts final decision-making on IT solution design and therefore Agency cost and schedule and therefore the remaining scope of the enterprise-wide solution. Additionally, program integration [required by Technical Area 5b] which "...conduct(s) a systematic assessment and redesign of the key technologies, business processes, activity-based costing, and organizational structures..." may yield</p>	<p>Refer to question 288.</p>
<p>1045</p>	<p>A.1.24 SUBSTITUTE/ENHANCEMENTS FOR SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT/SOFTWARE TO ACCOMMODATE USERS WITH DISABILITIES</p>	<p>Please correct the clause reference at the end of the first paragraph (intends to refer to Technology Refreshment, but A.1.22 is currently for UNSPSC Codes).</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1044	A.1.23.2. Bundled Line Items	Please confirm that an "or" should be between numbered items 1 and 2 (i.e., each bundle component must be on Contract prior to the addition of the bundled CLIN OR a spreadsheet must be submitted to the SEWP PMO for approval.	Thank you for your comment, however, it should be "and" not "or" both are required for A.1.23.2. changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1043	A.1.17 NFS 1852.246-72 MATERIAL INSPECTION AND RECEIVING REPORT (APR 2015)	Requiring a DD Form 250 for each package shipped for every SEWP order is a significant administrative burden that will result in additional costs to Contract Holders and, in turn, increased prices to Customers. Please reconsider.	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1042	A.1.15 DISCOUNTS FOR TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT	Is the discount to be offered at the CLIN level, by Sub-area, by Category, by Provider, or at some other level?	The Government may negotiate a lower price on an order-by-order basis. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1041	V. FAR 52.212-3 OFFEROR REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS—COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022)- ALTERNATE I (OCT 2014)	Given the underutilization of WOSBs across our Federal customers, would the Governments consider adding the WBENC certified WOSB designation to the list of socio-economic set-asides in Category C? The WOSB socio-economic status is on par with the current listing of socio-economic categories in the current DRAFT RFP as far as the SBA is concerned. The current Draft RFP framework is putting WOSBs at a competitive disadvantage when lined up against HubZones, SDVOSBs, and EDWOSBs. We recommended adding the WEBNC WOSB certification the current grouping. WBENC is an approved third-party certifier for the SBA's WOSB Federal Contracting program. See here for more info: https://www.wbenc.org/certification/wosb-certification/	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1040	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>Given the underutilization of WOSBs across our Federal customers, would the Governments consider adding the WBENC certified WOSB designation to the list of socio-economic set-asides in Category C? The WOSB socio-economic status is on par with the current listing of socio-economic categories in the current DRAFT RFP as far as the SBA is concerned. The current Draft RFP framework is putting WOSBs at a competitive disadvantage when lined up against HubZones, SDVOSBs, and EDWOSBs. We recommended adding the WEBNC WOSB certification the current grouping. WBENC is an approved third-party certifier for the SBA's WOSB Federal Contracting program. See here for more info: https://www.wbenc.org/certification/wosb-certification/</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.</p>
1039	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Will the agency consider releasing a bidders list or incumbent list to aid the vendors to explore possible partnership opportunities?</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.</p>
1038	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Can you provide year wise billing information for the incumbents since contract inception?</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1037	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Can you provide information for the top 10 incumbents including name of the vendor and spending since inception of the previous contract?	Thank you for your suggestion. The government will consider it, any changes will be reflected in the final REP.
1036	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will the incumbents be automatically transitioned to the new contract, or will they need to submit a new response in order to be considered for an award through a competitive process when the actual bid is released next year?	Incumbents of SEWP V are not automatically transitioned to SEWP VI.
1035	(b) Mandatory Experience	If we have won a contract for \$50M but have billed only \$5M, are we eligible to use this experience to demonstrate Relevant Experience Project (REP) for all the categories as required in Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, (b) Mandatory Experience, For Category B and C?	For Category B and C: The Prime Offerors shall furnish relevant experience projects that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent and be from a different requirement. There is no dollar threshold for relevant experience. However, any changes will be reflected in the final RFP. Reference Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, (b) Mandatory Experience, any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
1034	(b) Mandatory Experience	Is a bidder is permitted to use the same IT projects to meet requirement for Relevant Experience Project (REP) for multiple lots sub areas under each Category long as each project meets the lot requirements?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1033	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will a staff augmentation experience count towards a Relevant Experience Project (REP) for a sub-area in Category B and C?	The Final RFP will be revised for further Clarity. Any changes will be reflected in the final REP. A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (b) includes all experiences that will and will not count towards REP.
1032	(b) Mandatory Experience	If we have a \$80M value contract with a State agency for IT staff augmentation for sub areas in Category B and C, will that suffice to demonstrate experience as Relevant Experience Project (REP) for any one sub area in these categories?	The Final RFP will be revised for clarity.
1031	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Are we eligible to bid under Small Business set aside categories under Category A, B and C, if we are a self-certified small business?	Each Category is a separate competition and separate proposal. There is no linkage between the categories. If an offeror qualified to do so they can bid in any one, two, or three Categories. Any changes will be reflected in the Final REP.
1030	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	If we are proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) and want to bid under Small Business set aside categories under Category A, B and C, do both parties in the teaming arrangement have to be SBA certified small business?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1029	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Are we required to be certified as a small business through SBA to qualify for the Small Business set aside categories under Category A, B and C? Is it mandatory for the prime vendor to be SBA certified small business or a certification from a subcontractor would suffice?	The Final RFP will be updated to provide additional small business clarification.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1028	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	If we use a single REP in two categories and past performance, do we need to rewrite the narrative, to be compliant? During the industry day, it was stated, "each proposal should be distinct" and "if we identify a section that is a duplication of another proposal we will remove the entire proposal from the competition."	Thank you for your question. Any clarification will be provided in the Final RFP.
1027	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	To be eligible to bid under Group A2, B2 and C1- Small Business Set Aside for Categories A, B and C, what certifications or documents are we required to hold to qualify for the definition of Small Business?	The Final RFP is being updated for clarification.
1026	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	If we are proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to meet the requirements for ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification, does the CTA have to be certified/approved through SBA or any such entity? If yes, does the CTA need to be certified/approved through SBA before the due date of bid response or does it need to be SBA certified/approved before the contract award?	Refer to question 230.
1025	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	If we are proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to meet the requirements for ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification, are we eligible to bid if one of the parties of the Team Arrangement possess the above requested certifications? Or is it mandatory for both parties of the Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to have the above certifications?	Refer to question 230.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1024	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	To demonstrate our experience and capabilities in both Section (a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A) and (b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B) under Section A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME, can we show the experience of the subcontractors?	Refer back to question 1226.
1023	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume. If we are bidding for all Categories A, B and C, are we required to submit three (3) of our most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation due date past performance questionnaires for each category?	Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category by the proposal due date and time specified in Block 8 of the SF 1449, Offerors shall submit their proposal in volumes as specified below. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1022	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING, Page 59. Is the above requirement of having a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne Subcontractor applicable only to the awarded contractors? Meaning will we be required to partner with AbilityOne Subcontractors after contract award, for individual task order solicitation, released for the above applicable NAICS code?	Yes, the Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1021	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Section A.3.7.1. Specifically, (b) Mandatory Experience on pages 87-88 where NASA discusses Categories B and C.</p> <p>It seems to say each of the 10 Mandatory Experience sub-areas listed for Categories B/C needs multiple Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) per sub-area, worth at least \$30M each. 4 REPs for each of the 10 sub-areas in Category B and 3 REPs for each of the 10 in Category C. This seems like a very large hurdle for any SB bidders and doesn't make sense within the context of future sections such as Past Performance, where the thresholds are \$500k - \$1M. Are small business bidder's expected to provide 4 hypothetical REPs, each worth \$30M, for all of the 10 sub-areas?</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
1020	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	<p>Could the Government consider utilizing the Symphony submission tool for proposal submissions? This tool has been successfully used for multiple GSA and other GWACs and is known for its user-friendly interface.</p>	Thank you for the suggestion.
1019	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	<p>Could the Government consider extending the lookback timeframe for considering past performance from 3 years to 5 years from the final SEWP solicitation date?</p>	Thank you for your suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1018	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	<p>Could the Government clarify if BPA calls or task orders under an IDIQ can be rolled up and used as a REP or Past Performance reference?</p>	The Final RFP is being updated to provide clarity.
1017	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>Could the Government consider reducing the number of CLINs required for Small Businesses in Category A? The current requirement of 2,000 CLINs could be too expansive for Small Businesses.</p>	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1016	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	Could the government please clarify how relevancy will be determined for the REPs, and Past Performance references? Could the combination of NAICS and PSC codes be utilized to automatically determine relevancy?	The Final RFP will be updated for additional clarity.
1015	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	Could the Government consider utilizing a scorecard approach to the proposal like with other GWAC procurements. This simplifies the proposal evaluation for the Govt, and also industry can quickly self-determine if they have a chance at winning the contract or not. Adopting a scorecard approach can streamline the evaluation process and make it more transparent for all parties involved.	Thank you for your recommendation, the government will consider it. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1014	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Could the Govt please consider creating set aside for 8(a)? All new GWACs are including 8(a) pool including Polaris, Oasis Plus, CIO, and other recent GWACs. Including an 8(A) pool would align this RFP with other major GWACs and foster diversity in contracting opportunities.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Please note 8(a) firms are eligible to compete for a SEWP VI award.
1013	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	Could the Government allow subcontractor teammates to provide the REPs and Past Performance references in Prime/Sub Contractor CTAs? This approach has been utilized in multiple large GWACs like CIO-SP4, GSA Polaris, GSA OASIS Plus, DLA JETS, and it enables small businesses to compete more effectively.	Thank you for the suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1012	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	Annualized Value: due to the COVID-19 pandemic, many contracts experienced extended timelines because of supply chain disruptions, which were beyond the control of small businesses. This has led to reduced annualized values for these contracts. Could the Government consider using the Total Contract Value (TCV) instead of the annualized value for evaluating Past Performance Projects? If TCV cannot be used, could the Government make accommodations to adjust the annualized values of contracts that were affected by COVID-19?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
1011	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	Could the Government please consider allowing the use of CPARS (when available) in lieu of PPQs (Past Performance Questionnaires)?	This question is similar to question #48. Please see the answer to question #48.
1010	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	Could the Government clarify that for Small Businesses, a total of 3 Past Performance references are required showcasing at least 3 content representative areas, not 3 for each content representative?	This question is similar to question #439. Please see the answer to question #439.
1009	A.4.2- Phase One-Certifications and Mandatory Experience	Could the Government clarify that for Small Businesses, a total of 3 Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) are required, not 3 for each sub-area?	This question is similar to question #661. Please see the answer to question #661.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1008	A.4.2- Phase One-Certifications and Mandatory Experience	<p>Would the Government consider reducing the Small Business's mandatory experience requirement to a total contract value range of \$500K to \$1M (in line with past performance requirements) for all Small Business categories? The current \$5M threshold may be too high and could serve as a significant barrier to entry for many Small Businesses. By lowering this requirement, the Government would be making the competition more accessible to a broader range of Small Businesses, including those that are emerging and have yet to secure larger contracts. This change would not only diversify the vendor pool but also potentially lead to more competitive pricing and innovative solutions for the Government.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>
1007	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	<p>Could the Government allow businesses to cross-team, meaning a company can be a prime and/or subcontractor to other teams, and the same past performance can be utilized between multiple proposals in the same category?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #3. Please see the answer to question #3.</p>
1006	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	<p>Would the Government consider allowing small businesses to team with both large and small businesses as part of a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA), and be allowed to bring the mandatory and past performance requirements from the teammates?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #1005. Please see the answer to question #1005.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

1005	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Could the Government consider allowing both mandatory and past performance experience to come from teammates, providing an opportunity for new entrants? Allowing this flexibility would significantly reduce the barriers to entry for up-and-coming small businesses who may not have the extensive portfolio to meet the requirements on their own. This approach would not only expand the industrial base but also encourage teaming, thereby increasing competition and potentially leading to more innovative and cost-effective solutions for the Government. We understand the incumbents probably have this experience already, but this change could open up the opportunities for new small businesses.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
1004	A.4.2- Phase One- Certifications and Mandatory Experience	<p>Could the Government clarify how the mandatory experience is different from the past performance requirement?</p>	<p>A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor per REP area. An Offeror's past performance record indicates the relevant qualitative aspects of performing services or delivering products similar in content and scope to the requirements of this acquisition.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1003</p>	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME</p>	<p>Please clarify that for Small Businesses, either ISO 9001:2015 or CMMI Level 2 is required but not both for all categories, A, B, and C. Could the Government consider allowing industry to compete for all 3 categories utilizing either ISO 9001:2015 or CMMI Level 2, but not require both certifications? The price of obtaining both will be prohibitive to the Small Business industry.</p>	<p>All Categories : The Offeror must provide at the time of proposal submission a third- party compliance verification with the ISO 9001 standard via a current 9001:2015 Certification. If an offeror is a small business proof that the certification approval is in process is also acceptable to be provided at the time of proposal submission. For Category B: The Offeror must provide third party compliance verification with Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) via a current CMMI- Development (CMMI-DEV) or CMMI- Services (CMMI-SVC) Appraisal at Maturity Level 2 or higher. For Small Business offerors: It is acceptable to provide proof that the certification approval is in process. Category A and Category C does not have a CMMI certification requirement.</p>
<p>1002</p>	<p>A.1.7 AUTHORIZE CONTRACT TYPES</p>	<p>With regard to draft RFP section A.1.7, we encourage NASA to consider cost-type contracting for Categories B and C. The envisioned IT Solutions based requirements could place significant performance risk upon the awardee - doing so under price-type contracts could result in technical risks to the Government, financial risks to the awardee, or deter certain offerors from participating in solicitations. The risk-sharing nature of cost-type contracting affords the Government greater flexibility in establishing technically challenging requirements while reducing financial risks to industry through the cost-reimbursable performance (and at lower profit margins than price-type contracts.)</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question #288. Please see answer of question #288.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>1001</p>	<p>A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)</p>	<p>With regard to draft RFP section A.1.2, Category C, while Industry understands and appreciates the NASA SEWP Program's commitment to the success of our small business partners, we recommend that the Program open Category C to unrestricted offerors.</p> <p>Across the Government, there are many Missions/Programs which are both large and broad in scope (e.g., USCG Rescue 21, TSA's IT Infrastructure Program) and limiting Category C to Small Business could deter certain Agency customers from using SEWP VI unless offeror's with broad integration capabilities exist in Category C.</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question #287. Please see the answer of question #287.</p>
<p>1000</p>	<p>A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)</p>	<p>With regard to draft RFP section A.1.2, Categories B and C, would the Government please provide a definition of what constitutes Enterprise-Wide vs. Mission/Program level IT solutions? With SEWP VI being a Government-wide Acquisition Contract, this definitional guidance is important because the size and scope of an Enterprise and a Mission varies widely between Agencies (and in some cases, within Agencies). For example, certain DoD or DHS Missions could be larger (e.g., # of end users, workstations, nodes, etc.) than other Agencies' Enterprise systems (e.g., U.S. Forestry Service, or National Telecommunications and Information Administration). Such definitional guidance helps industry understand the envisions requirements and thus propose high confidence past performance and management proposals, as well as inform bid / no-bid decisions on SEWP itself.</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question #286. Please see the answer of question #286.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>999</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Page 35 of the DRFP describes the Scope of Work for Category C. It includes 11 Technical Areas, including 11C: PROGRAM MANAGEMENT/ANCILLARY SERVICES. Page 88 of the DRFP lists the Category C – Mandatory Experience Sub-areas. This list includes 10 sub areas and does not include Program Management/Ancillary Services. Are we to conclude that the solicitation will not require REPs for the Program Management/Ancillary Services Sub-area?</p>	<p>This question is similar to questions #665. Please see the answer to question #665.</p>
<p>998</p>	<p>A.1.42 ON-RAMP ACTIVITY</p>	<p>In section A.1.42 ON-RAMP ACTIVITY please confirm that small businesses who have outgrown their size status as outlined in the Lateral/Vertical Contract On-Ramp section, will need to meet the minimum qualifications a large business had to meet to receive a contract. On ramping recently graduated small businesses to the unrestricted without proving these qualifications would be unfair to other mid to large businesses who did not qualify at the initial award.</p>	<p>The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that a lateral/vertical on ramp does not occur automatically for a contract, and that a capability determination must be met by the contractor before the transition. If a Contractor is found incapable of meeting the necessary qualifications the vertical/ lateral on-ramp will not occur and procedures for dormant status and/or Off ramping will proceed.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>997</p>	<p>A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING</p>	<p>Section A.1.35 states, "All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes: ...541512 Computer Systems Design Services... have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes." Since SEWP VI has a primary NAICS code of 541512 this would appear to suggest that an AbilityOne subcontractor must be included on every order. Would the Government please clarify? We recommend that this section be removed given the expansion in scope and the likelihood of a larger industrial base on NASA SEWP VI potentially overwhelming the population of AbilityOne contractors.</p>	<p>This question is similar to questions #537. Please see the answer to question #537.</p>
<p>996</p>	<p>A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 REPORTS OF WORK (IDIQ/BPA) (MAR 2022)</p>	<p>Section A.1.3 states, "The Contractor shall submit monthly progress reports of all work accomplished covering all Task Orders active during each month..." The expansion of the SEWP VI scope would seem to forecast additional task orders for SEWP VI contractors. A contractor could possibly have hundreds to thousands of orders, so the monthly progress report seems it would be overly burdensome administratively. As does Section A.1.8 states "For Fixed Price Incentive Task Orders- The contractor shall submit to the SEWP PMO progress reports." Ordering agencies are required to track contractor performance at the order level through CPARS and other deliverables. Would NASA consider removing these reporting requirements?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

995	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	<p>There are a couple items in Section A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME under (a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A) that seem misaligned to Category B. This section says that listed items #2-4 are applicable to Categories A and B, specifically “The offeror shall provide a list identifying the providers for which the offeror is an approved reseller and provide the full suite of products and services from each identified provider for the mandatory sub-area. A”.</p> <p>This seems like this should only be applicable to Category A since products are listed as ancillary for Category B so it would not appear the expectation for those offerors is to carry full product lines rather have existing relationships that could be leveraged at the order level to acquire products.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>
-----	---------------------------------------	--	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>994</p>	<p>IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)</p>	<p>For Category A: How do government evaluate as a overall moderate rating, high, or very high for REP? Will it be evaluated per REP? Also, If a small business qualified contractor has less than \$500k dollar value on one contract as a prime and meeting more than 3 technical subareas on the same contract can the government consider this as a moderate rating or high rating due to more than 3 technical subareas? as it shows that the contractors performed on more than 3 technical subareas as it shows their capability to perform on th moree than 3 technical sub areas of Scope of Work?</p> <p>I would highly recommend that as that emphasize that the contractor can show that they can perform well on that particular category and able to perform well once awarded. Please take this into consideration.</p>	<p>IAW with A.4.2 Mandatory experience evaluation will be conducted on a Pass/ Fail Basis based on the instructions provided in Section A.3.7.1.b. Relevant experience is not the same as past performance.</p>
<p>993</p>	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME</p>	<p>The Draft RFP says, " Provide information addressing all of the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume)." It would be helpful to have a list of what specifically if anything additional NASA would like to see for an offeror to prove financial responsibility.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #251. Please see the answer to question #251.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

992	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	The calculation for determining project value for Past Performance seems like it will be difficult to apply and prove for all contract types. The use of FPDS defined values such as Action Obligation (for completed orders) and Base and All Options Value (Total Contract Value) (for ongoing orders) may be a more objective way to determine value for both Past Performance and Mandatory Experience.	This question is similar to question #522. Please see the answer to question #522.
991	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	NASA may want to consider adding a Past Performance minimum criteria for Mandatory Experience projects in Categories B and C to ensure the quality of the delivery of the solutions offerors are claiming credit for.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
990	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	It would be helpful to have some additional definition around what qualifies as a single contract or task order. For example, would the use of an IDIQ be allowed?	This question is similar to question #758. Please see the answer of question #758.
989	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	Please clarify if the minimum of 4 REP for each mandatory area for large businesses bidding category A has to be 4 unique projects for each mandatory experience sub-area or if one project can be used to substantiate multiple mandatory experience sub-areas.	This question is similar to question #5. Please see the answer to question #5.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

988	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	There does not appear to be a recency requirement for the Mandatory Experience under Categories B and C projects. A requirement that the projects must be ongoing or completed within 5 years from the solicitation release date may be prudent to get a population of offerors currently active in the market.	This question is similar to question #291. Please see the answer to question #291.
987	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	This section says that the offeror must hold the ISO and CMMI. Would the Government consider allowing a mechanism like a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter for the certifications? It is common for organizations with multiple entities that share resources to only hold one of these certifications with one of their entities due to the administrative cost in acquiring and maintaining the certification. Offerors should need to verify that the offeror will be able to offer compliant proposals at the task order level using these certifications for the life of the contract.	This question is similar to question #519. Please see the answer to question #519.
986	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	The table on Page 84 states the Technical Approach Volume III A has a Page Limitation of 90 Pages, but each category has a page limitation of 30 pages. If offeror's are required to submit a separate proposal for each category please clarify what the page limitation is for Technical Approach Volume III A.	This question is similar to question #74. Please see the answer to question #74.
985	A.3.5 PROPOSALS REQUESTED	In this section where the Draft RFP says, "Only one proposal for each scope category per offeror will be accepted," could the Government please confirm that "Offeror" means the single, Prime Entity submitting the bid.	As defined by the Federal Acquisition Regulation Part 2, an Offeror means offeror or bidder: therefore, an offeror is the prime entity submitting the solicitation.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

984	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Page 88 of the DRFP, Category C instructions, contains requirements for REPs. The language states that "each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract." Our understanding from industry day is that the \$30M value was a typo, and the value requirement will be reduced. What is will be the new required total value?	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.
983	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Page 86 of the RFP indicates that, for Category B and C "The Offeror must provide third party compliance verification with Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) via a current CMMI- Development (CMMI-DEV) or CMMI-Services (CMMI-SVC) Appraisal at Maturity Level 2 or higher." Is that requirement intended to apply to small business offerors as well as large businesses?	This question is similar to question #30. Please see the answer to question #30.
982	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Category B and Category C have some duplication of Areas that are not clearly different enough to have totally separate REP or PP. Ex: Network Services. Please clarify what the difference is beside the word "enterprise".	The Final RFP will be revised to clearly delineate, removing duplication of the REP requirements for Category B and C.
981	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	As relates to needing a list of EVERY OEM we have relationships with and now requiring a Letter of Authorization is unlikely to be met. Many of the niche and smaller OEMs do not provide such letters. Additionally, on SEWP V, it was specifically requested for contract holders to NOT provide LOAs as it was unnecessary paperwork.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

980	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Category A- Requirement for 2,000 CLINS per Mandatory Experience Sub-Area seems excessive. Also, For Cat. A, it is not reasonable to provide a list of EVERY OEM the reseller has a relationship with, along with all products and services applicable to the sub area. You have already asked for 2,000 CLINS which would ostensibly be offered only if the contractor has an authorized relationship with the OEM. This seems redundant.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #540. Please see the answer to question #540.</p>
979	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>CTA partners could easily change over the life of the contract. Suggest SEWP drop the requirement for copies of agreements for the initial submission.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>
978	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	<p>Ability One sub requirement -making this a requirement puts an undue burden on small business contractors to vet AbilityOne subs for the several NAICS codes listed in the RFQ. Since many teaming and subs need to be arranged prior to response, please change this requirement to a requested goal during the life of the contract.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #537. Please see the answer to question #537.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

977	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	in Q&A you addressed non-manufacture rule. Your answer indicates that if a contract is a service contract, then the nonfactory rule does not apply to the service component. By changing SEWP VI to have a primary award NAICS of 541512, it appears you are only trying to circumvent dealing with the nonmanufacture rule which applies if awarded under NAICS 541519, which is really most appropriate for Category A offerings. NAICS 334111 mentioned above does not really apply to the full scope of Category A because we are not manufacturers. NAICS Code for Category A should be 541519, as the description of ITVAR for products AND services is exactly what is asked for in Category A.	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
976	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references the specific number of CLINS required. Is the Government precluding Offeror with smaller numbers of unique CLINs?	This question is a duplicate of question #973. Please see the answer to question #973.
975	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references the specific number of CLINS required. Can the Government explain how it reached the requirement for 2,000 CLINS for Category A? This number would preclude almost all bidders, save for the large system integrators, representing the status quo.	This question is a duplicate of question #971. Please see the answer to question #971.
974	A.4.2- Phase One- Certifications and Mandatory Experience	How will the Sponsor evaluate Volume I Offer, Mandatory Experience in addition to confirming that the expected minimum number of CLINs are included?	For Category A, section A.4.2. will be revised in the RFP to clarify the requirements.
973	(b) Mandatory Experience	On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references the specific number of CLINS required. Is the Government precluding Offeror with smaller numbers of unique CLINs?	This question is a duplicate of question #976. Please see the answer to question #976.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

972	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Will the Sponsor update the page numbers in “Attachment A: SEWP Statement of Work Scope Category Description” for ease of referencing? Currently there are 2 page 3-9.	The final RFP will be revised to reflect the necessary correction.
971	(b) Mandatory Experience	On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references the specific number of CLINS required. Can the Government explain how it reached the requirement for 2,000 CLINS for Category A? This number would preclude almost all bidders, save for the large system integrators, representing the status quo.	This question is a duplicate of question #975. Please see the answer to question #975.
970	A.1.26 CONTRACTOR COLLECTION OF AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING FEE	Attachment C, page 6 – fee is stated as “0.34%”; however, in the DRFP A.1.26 on page 50, the SEWP V fee is stated as ¾ %. (e.g. .34% vs .75%)	This question is similar to question #205. Please see the answer to question #205.
969	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references CLIN requirements. Volume III lists the requirement for the CLINs to “cover at least 50% of the additional non-mandatory Technical sub-areas,” but this requirement is absent from Volume I. Can the Government provide context for the difference and if it was intentional?	This question is a duplicate of question #924. Please see the answer to question #924.
968	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	DRFP A.4.4 on page 103, will the Sponsor confirm that the only difference between receiving a “high confidence” rating versus a “minimal confidence” rating, is the estimated amount of Government intervention? Can the Government please provide clarification on the such rating differences will be determined?	The Government will assess the perceived ability of a contractor based on the information submitted in response to the solicitation and determine a confidence rating based on the definition provided.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

967	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	DRFP Section A.3.6 (b) in the table, the Management Approach Volume refers to a phase-in plan but no further instructions are provided regarding what content is required. Will the Sponsor please clarify the phase-in plan requirements?	Thank you this was a typo and is not required. the Final RFP will be updated to remove reference of a phase-In Plan.
966	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references CLIN requirements. Is the Government asking that the CLINs submitted in Volume I be referenced in Volume III?	This question is similar to question #963. Please see the answer to question #963.
965	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Assuming the response to DRFP Section A.3.7.3 (a) 5 and 6 is submitted in a Sponsor-provided template, will the template be included or excluded from the 30-page allocation for the Technical Approach?	The Final RFP will be revised to have DRFP Section A.3.7.3(a) 5 and 6 removed from solicitation.
964	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	DRFP Section A.3.7.3 (a) 5 and 6, how does this portion of the response differ from the Section A.3.7.1 (b) (Offer Volume, Mandatory Experience)?	The Final RFP will be revised to have DRFP Section A.3.7.3(a) 5 and 6 removed from solicitation.
963	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references CLIN requirements. Can the Government clarify the relationship between the CLINs requested for Category A for Volume I and Volume III?	This question is similar to question #966. Please see the answer to question #966.
962	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	DRFP Section A.3.7.3 (a) 5 and 6, how does the Sponsor envision the Offeror responding to DRFP Section A.3.7.3 (a) 5 and 6? Will a template be provided?	The Final RFP will be revised to have DRFP Section A.3.7.3(a) 5 and 6 removed from solicitation.
961	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	DRFP Section A.3.7.3 (b) 1-4. Given there are 4 elements of Subfactor B, will the Sponsor please clarify if all elements are equally weighted/important in the response? Or is element A.3.7.3(b)1 more important than A.3.7.3(b)4?	The final RFP will be revised to reflect the instructions in Section A.3.7.3 (b) 1-4 are not weighted or listed by importance.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

960	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	DRFP Section A.3.7.3 (a) 1-7. Given there are 7 elements of Subfactor A, will the Sponsor please clarify if all elements are equally weighted/important in the response? Or is element A.3.7.3(a)1 more important than A.3.7.3(a)6?	The final RFP will be revised to reflect the instructions in Section A.3.7.3 (a) are not weighted or listed by importance.
959	(b) Mandatory Experience	DRFP Section A.3.7.1 (b) states that “the proposed offeror shall provide an excel document reflecting at minimum 2,000 different CLINs with solution for each sub-area along with the pricing” (i.e., at least 12,000 CLINs) however Section A.3.7.3 (a)-6 states “offerors identified as a small business shall provide technology solutions for a minimum of 3 technical sub-areas with each sub-area proposal consisting of a minimum of 2,000 CLINs in an Excel document...overall, a minimum total of 6,000 proposes CLINs is required.” Will the Sponsor please clarify if the requirement for a small business offeror in A.3.7.1 (b) is 12,000 or 6,000 CLINs for the Offer Volume, Mandatory Experience?	A full suite of technology solutions from the designated provider should be proposed and must constitute at least 2,000 CLINs if the offeror is a large business and 1,000 CLINs if the offeror is a small business in each of the proposed Areas on Exhibit 3 reflecting the different CLINs with the pricing, not inclusive of any associated costs for shipping or payment methods.
958	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	On page 99, the Government lists affiliate past performance specifics. Will teammate past performance be accepted as part of a Prime Offeror's Past Performance Volume submission?	This question is similar to question #3. Please see the answer to question #3.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

957	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	DRFP A.1.35 (pg 59), Can the government please provide clarification regarding the required use of AbilityOne subcontracting requirements? Does this require tracking and reporting requirements for SEWP awardees? Will there be an expectation for a certain percentage of subcontracting under the PSC/NAICS codes stated? Will this be inclusive of FAR subcontracting plan under 52.219-9?	This question is similar to question #489. Please see the answer to question #489.
956	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>On pages 87 and 88, the Government references the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>The Exhibit 1 Relevant Project Experience Table itself indicates that Offerors can highlight or tag supporting documents, however, the DRFP does not indicate that supporting documents are required. Can the Government clarify how the tagged documents, as instructed per Exhibit 1, will be included in the evaluation for Section A.4.3?</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #952. Please see the answer to question #952.
955	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	1.DRFP A.1.34 (page 57) states that “the scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512 – Computer Systems Design Services”; however, if NAICS Code 541512 is the only NAICS Code designated at the Master Contract level, this will force many small businesses that qualify as small under other NAICS Codes to compete as Large in Category A (A1 Unrestricted), reducing the pool of small businesses in A2. Can the Government clarify its intent or the Sponsor’s perceived intent to reduce the number of businesses that qualify as small in Category A (A2)?	Please reference question #33.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

954	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>On pages 87 and 88, the Government references the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>The Exhibit 1 Relevant Project Experience Table itself indicates that Offerors can highlight or tag supporting documents, however, the DRFP does not indicate that supporting documents are required.</p> <p>Does the Government have guidance for how documents should be tagged per Exhibit 1's instructions?</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question #951. Please see the answer to question #951.</p>
953	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>On pages 87 and 88, the Government references the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>The Exhibit 1 Relevant Project Experience Table itself indicates that Offerors can highlight or tag supporting documents, however, the DRFP does not indicate that supporting documents are required.</p> <p>Can the Government list the types documents required to be referenced in and attached to Exhibit 1?</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question #950. Please see the answer to question #950.</p>
952	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>On pages 87 and 88 of the DRFP, the Government references the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>Exhibit 1 Relevant Project Experience Table indicates that Offerors can highlight or tag supporting documents, however, the DRFP does not indicate that supporting documents are required.</p> <p>Can the Government clarify how the tagged documents, as instructed per Exhibit 1, will be included in the evaluation for Section A.4.3?</p>	<p>The Relevant Experience Projects (REP) are not evaluated as part of Past performance. The REP will be evaluated on a Pass/ Fail basis are described in Section A. 4. 2.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

951	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>On pages 87 and 88 of the DRFP, the Government references the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>Exhibit 1 Relevant Project Experience Table indicates that Offerors can highlight or tag supporting documents, however, the DRFP does not indicate that supporting documents are required. Does the Government have guidance for how documents should be tagged per Exhibit 1's instructions?</p>	No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template. The Final RFP will be revised to specify how to name Exhibit files in solicitation submissions.
950	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>On pages 87 and 88 of the DRFP, the Government references the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>Exhibit 1 Relevant Project Experience Table indicates that Offerors can highlight or tag supporting documents, however, the DRFP does not indicate that supporting documents are required. Can the Government list the types documents required to be referenced in and attached to Exhibit 1?</p>	No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template.
949	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>On pages 87 and 88 of the DRFP, the Government references the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project (REP) template.</p> <p>For Exhibit 1, the file name is "Exhibit 1-Relevant Experience Project Table.pdf" but the first page reads "Exhibit 2: Relevant Experience Project Table." Can the Government clarify the Exhibit number?</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #948. Please see the answer to question #948.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

948	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>"On pages 87 and 88 of the DRFP, the Government references the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project (REP) template.</p> <p>For Exhibit 1, the file name is "Exhibit 1-Relevant Experience Project Table.pdf" but the first page reads "Exhibit 2: Relevant Experience Project Table." Can the Government clarify the Exhibit number?</p>	This question is similar to question #183. Please see the answer to question #183.
947	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	<p>On pages 84 and 85, the Government lists Proposal Volume names.</p> <p>Section A.3.6 lists Volume III as "Mission Suitability Volume," however, Section A.3.7 lists Volume III as "Management/Technical Approach Volume."</p> <p>Can the Government clarify the expected title for Volume III?</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #946. Please see the answer to question #946.
946	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	<p>On pages 84 and 85, the Government lists Proposal Volume names.</p> <p>Section A.3.6 lists Volume III as "Mission Suitability Volume," however, Section A.3.7 lists Volume III as "Management/Technical Approach Volume."</p> <p>Can the Government clarify the expected title for Volume III?</p>	The final RFP Section A.3.7 will be updated to reflect Volume III is the Mission Suitability which consist of the management approach and the technical approach.
945	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>On pages 90 and 91, the Government lists past performance requirements.</p> <p>Can the Government clarify the difference between an REP and past performance reference?</p>	This question is similar to question #728. Please see the answer to question #728.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

944	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>On pages 29-35 and 91 of the DRFP, as well as pages 9-14 of Attachment A, the Government lists Technical Areas for Categories B and C.</p> <p>For the Technical Areas referenced in DRFP Section A.1.2 Category B, DRFP Section A.1.2 Category C, DRFP Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, and Attachment A, there appear to be a different number of Technical Areas for Categories B and C. Can the Government update each of the sections so that it reflects the authoritative list of Technical Areas?</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #941. Please see the answer to question #941.
943	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>On pages 29-35 and 91 of the DRFP, as well as pages 9-14 of Attachment A, the Government lists Technical Areas for Categories B and C.</p> <p>For the Technical Areas referenced in DRFP Section A.1.2 Category B, DRFP Section A.1.2 Category C, DRFP Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, and Attachment A, there appear to be a different number of Technical Areas for Categories B and C. Can the Government update each of the sections so that it reflects the authoritative list of Technical Areas?</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #941. Please see the answer to question #941.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

942	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	<p>On pages 29-35 and 91 of the DRFP, as well as pages 9-14 of Attachment A, the Government lists Technical Areas for Categories B and C.</p> <p>For the Technical Areas referenced in DRFP Section A.1.2 Category B, DRFP Section A.1.2 Category C, DRFP Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, and Attachment A, there appear to be a different number of Technical Areas for Categories B and C. Can the Government update each of the sections so that it reflects the authoritative list of Technical Areas?</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #941. Please see the answer to question #941.
941	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	<p>On pages 29-35 and 91 of the DRFP, as well as pages 9-14 of Attachment A, the Government lists Technical Areas for Categories B and C.</p> <p>For the Technical Areas referenced in DRFP Section A.1.2 Category B, DRFP Section A.1.2 Category C, DRFP Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, and Attachment A, there appear to be a different number of Technical Areas for Categories B and C. Can the Government update each of the sections so that it reflects the authoritative list of Technical Areas?</p>	Section A.1.2 pertains to the all-encompassing scope of work for SEWP VI. Section A.3.7.2 relate to the past performance history in meeting the technical and management requirements identified by the categories content representative areas. By design, the instruction for past performance differs from having an offeror submit for the entire body of scope of work.
940	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Throughout the DRFP, the Government references Technical Area, Mandatory Sub-Area, Additional Sub-Area, and content representative area.</p> <p>Can the Government define what is meant by Technical Area, Mandatory Sub-Area, and Additional Sub area, and content representative areas?</p>	The language will be clarified and made consistent in the RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

939	A.1.9 FAR 52.216-18 ORDERING (AUG 2020)	<p>On page 29, the Government lists task order instructions.</p> <p>When responding to Task Orders after award, can an Offeror utilize a teammate who had not been an IDIQ awardee?</p>	<p>Only authorized contract Holders with access to the SEWP Order Portal has the ability to respond to Task Orders.</p>
938	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	<p>On page 84, the Government lists page size types and limitations.</p> <p>Can the Government elaborate what is meant by "electric fold out page?"</p>	<p>The final RFP will be revised to remove reference of an Electronic Foldout Page.</p>
937	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	<p>On page 92, the government provides an email for references to submit past performance questionnaires.</p> <p>Considering secure data requirements, can the Government clarify if unclassified contract information is preferred when submitting Exhibit 2, Past Performance Questionnaires?</p>	<p>The Government has no preference if the information provided for past performance is classified or unclassified. The email address referenced for submitting past performance questionnaires for SEWP VI is a part of a secure database.</p>
936	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>On pages 24-29 and 87-88, the Government references Category Sub-Areas.</p> <p>Section A.3.7.1 cites Mandatory Sub-Areas for Category B and C, however, only Category A has Sub-Areas listed in Section A.1.2. Can the government clarify which Categories have corresponding Sub-Areas?</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question #935. Please see the answer to question #935.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

935	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	<p>On pages 24-29 and 87-88, the Government references Category Sub-Areas.</p> <p>Section A.3.7.1 cites Mandatory Sub-Areas for Category B and C, however, only Category A has Sub-Areas listed in Section A.1.2. Can the government clarify which Categories have corresponding Sub-Areas?</p>	The Final RFP will be updated for clarity.
934	A.4.1.1 Firm Down-Select Process	<p>On both page 93, the Government lists the steps associated with the firm down-select process.</p> <p>Can the Government expand on how the down select evaluation phases will function?</p>	The down select evaluation phases will function as identified in Section A.4.1.1 Firm Down-Select Process.
933	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>On pages 57-59, the Government lists all acceptable NAICS codes.</p> <p>Can an Offeror submit a response using projects with NAICS codes outside those listed in pages 57-59 if the type of work was similar in scope to Category A, B, or C?</p>	The final RFP will be updated to state that only relevant experience projects with NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI are to be submitted in response to A.3.7.1.(b).

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

932	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	<p>On page 92, the government provides an email for references to submit past performance questionnaires.</p> <p>To facilitate ease of review, would the Government consider an online submission portal and self-scoring rubrics for all Offeror proposal submissions, specifically Past Performance Questionnaires?</p> <p>This approach has been used with GSA's OASIS+ RFP content and facilitated balanced, efficient scoring across all Offerors. This would reduce the likelihood for any submission components to be missed and would provide a centralized repository for reviewers to assess.</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
931	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>On page 93, the Government lists Technical Approach submission requirements.</p> <p>Would the Government consider allowing Offerors to describe modern software practices and approaches (e.g., agile methodologies, software factories, etc.) in their response to Mission Suitability, Volume III, Technical Approach (Subfactor A)?</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
930	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	What if there are no AO contractors who can meet the task order requirement? What if the govt doesn't issue task orders suitable for Ability One subs?	This question is similar to question #489. Please see the answer to question #489.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

929

A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES

Please review the CMMI requirement for small businesses it unduly restricts competition. Specifically, it unreasonably excludes otherwise qualified small businesses from having a fair shot at the contract. Requiring CMMI at time of proposal exceeds the actual needs of the program.

We ask that the government remove or alter this verbiage to increase competition and allow industry to achieve CMMI on a task order basis and when it supports the specific type of work.

The GAO supports this in its recommendations. “An agency’s otherwise legitimate requirements regarding an offeror’s demonstrated ability to meet contract requirements may not generally be applied at a point in time prior to when such qualifications become relevant.” USA Jet Airlines, Inc.; Active Aero Group, Inc., B-404666, Apr. 1, 2011, 2011 CPD 91 at 5 (citing LBM Inc., B-286271, Dec. 1, 2000, 2000 CPD 194 at 4). “

The GAO recommended the government review its certification needs, amend the solicitation to allow offerors to provide CMMI at the time of award, and reopen the solicitation to allow offerors to submit new or revised proposals.

This question is similar to question #30. Please see the answer to question #30.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

928	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	The DRFT Section A.1.34 states "The Ordering CO has the responsibility to determine which predominant NAICS code applies to a task order solicitation, whether the task order is unrestricted or set-aside, including the type of socio-economic set-aside if applicable, and whether the solicitation is sole-source or competitive." A contractor who identifies as LARGE under 541512 and is, therefore, only included in Group B awards, may be considered "small" under the available NAICS codes at the Task Order Level. Will all SEWP VI contract awardees be allowed to receive and respond to all SEWP VI RFQs to ensure all small businesses under various NAICS codes are allowed fair opportunity?	This question is similar to question #853. Please see the answer to question #853.
927	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	<p>On both pages 87 and 93, the Government lists proposal submission requirements.</p> <p>Given the complex requirements in responding to evaluation criteria in terms of size, breadth, and certification, how does the Government plan to include and benefit from smaller companies focused on innovation and quality over a large product catalog?</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #925. Please see the answer to question #925.
926	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>On both pages 87 and 93, the Government lists proposal submission requirements.</p> <p>Given the complex requirements in responding to evaluation criteria in terms of size, breadth, and certification, how does the Government plan to include and benefit from smaller companies focused on innovation and quality over a large product catalog?</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #925. Please see the answer to question #925.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

925	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>On both pages 87 and 93, the Government lists proposal submission requirements.</p> <p>Given the complex requirements in responding to evaluation criteria in terms of size, breadth, and certification, how does the Government plan to include and benefit from smaller companies focused on innovation and quality over a large product catalog?</p>	SEWP VI is all inclusive of IT Solutions as provided by both small and large businesses.
924	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references CLIN requirements.</p> <p>Volume III lists the requirement for the CLINs to "cover at least 50% of the additional non-mandatory Technical sub-areas," but this requirement is absent from Volume I. Can the Government provide context for the difference and if it was intentional?</p>	Volume I- Offer volume is different from Volume III- Mission suitability and the Final RFP will be revised to remove the reference of 50%.
923	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references CLIN requirements -</p> <p>Is the Government asking that the CLINs submitted in Volume I be referenced in Volume III?</p>	The Final RFP will be revised to remove the request for CLINS in Volume III.
922	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references CLIN requirements.</p> <p>Can the Government clarify the relationship between the CLINs requested for Category A for Volume I and Volume III?</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

921	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	In the general definition of scope of Category C services, education services are listed as being within scope. Into which of the 10 Category C - Mandatory Experience Sub-areas does education services fall? In-Scope training perhaps?	Training and Education services are similar terminologies.
920	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references the specific number of CLINS required.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Would the Government consider fewer CLINS required per Technical Area in Category A? 2. Can the Government explain how it reached the requirement for 2,000 CLINS for Category A? This number would preclude almost all bidders, save for the large system integrators, representing the status quo. 3. Is the Government precluding Offeror with smaller numbers of unique CLINs? 	This question is a duplicate of question #918. Please see the answer to question #918.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

919	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Section 49: A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE</p> <p>The use of the 541512 NACIS (under \$34.7M) code effectively removes most all of the incumbent ITVARs that qualify under the 541519 (150 or fewer employees) NACIS code. By doing this the government is effectively reducing competition and removing capabilities from the small business pool of competitors. Would the government consider including NACIS code 541519 (150 or fewer employees) and / or NACIS code 423430 (250 or fewer employees) to help broaden the available qualified Small Business bidders as described in 13 CFR 121.402, paragraph (c) Multiple Award Contracts?</p>	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
918	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references the specific number of CLINS required.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Would the Government consider fewer CLINS required per Technical Area in Category A? 2. Can the Government explain how it reached the requirement for 2,000 CLINS for Category A? This number would preclude almost all bidders, save for the large system integrators, representing the status quo. 3. Is the Government precluding Offeror with smaller numbers of unique CLINs? 	This question is a duplicate of question #920. Please see the answer to question #920.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

917	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) NAICS 541512</p> <p>NAICS Code 541512 is a services related designation. Based on the industry day statements Category A is inclusive of all work being currently performed on SEWP V which includes large numbers of IT products and fewer services. Category A in the RFP is primarily for the procurement of IT hardware and software products, not services. Recommend changing Category A to NAICS 541519 (150 employees or fewer)(Footnote 18) to better align with the scope of Category A.</p>	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
916	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references CLIN submission specifics.</p> <p>Would the Government consider CLIN submissions specific to an entire Technical Area in Category A and its Additional Sub-Areas, but less than all 10 Technical Areas? Or are Offerors required to address all 100% of all Technical Areas, 100% of all Mandatory Sub-Areas, and 50% of Additional Sub-Areas?</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #915. Please see the answer to question #915.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

915	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>On both pages 87 and 93, the Government references CLIN submission specifics.</p> <p>Would the Government consider CLIN submissions specific to an entire Technical Area in Category A and its Additional Sub-Areas, but less than all 10 Technical Areas? Or are Offerors required to address all 100% of all Technical Areas, 100% of all Mandatory Sub-Areas, and 50% of Additional Sub-Areas?</p>	<p>A provider and their corresponding CLINs may only be used for one Technical Mandatory Sub-area and cannot be duplicated within a given sub-area. The Final RFP will be revised for clarity.</p>
914	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>In what way does NASA intend to evaluate offers differently and less stringently from offers from Protege's in a Mentor-Protege relationship? A recent Court of Federal Claims decision forced GSA to rework Polaris for this requirement.</p>	<p>The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.</p>
913	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	<p>On page 86, the Government lists ISO 9001 and CMMI requirements.</p> <p>Do ISO 9001 and CMMI Level II Certifications need to be held by the Prime Offeror, or can the certifications be held by a teammate?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #230. Please see the answer to question #230.</p>
912	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>When bidding Categories B and C as a small business or SDVOSB JV, how many REPs will be allowed to come from the mentor in a JV based on an SBA-approved mentor-protege relationship? Question #86 asks this generally but not specifically.</p>	<p>The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

911	(b) Mandatory Experience	Please consider the following scenario: Agency awards a single 5-year BPA for an Enterprise-Wide IT Solution, and then proceeds to issue a different task order for each of the 5 years to fund it. The result is 5 task orders for a single enterprise-wide IT solution. Each task order might be under the \$5M requirement, or even under the \$2M requirement, but if the task orders can be combined the project fits the requirements for both Group B and Group C in mandatory scope and dollar value.	This question is similar to question #641. Please see the answer to question #641.
910	(b) Mandatory Experience	Please include collections of task orders as projects for use as mandatory experience and past performance references. I propose this language: Collection of Task Orders. A project may be a "Collection of Task Orders" awarded under a U.S. Federal Government Single Award IDIQ Contract, BPA, or BOA. In a "Collection of Task Orders," all minimum requirements and scored evaluation criteria are based on the entire Task Order Collection submitted as a whole. A project included in a "Collection of Task Orders" may not be used again as one of the remaining REPs in the same category. For the purposes of validating whether the "Collection of Task Orders" was completed within three years before the original submission date, the period of performance of the IDIQ, BPA, or BOA will be used.	This question is similar to question #641. Please see the answer to question #641.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

909	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Under (o) Warranty: We warrant that the items delivered will perform in accordance with our written specifications only. This is standard. Would suggest inquiring as to whether the government will accept changes to this language. This is a commercial item contract and should accommodate a manufacturers commercial warranty.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
908	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	As a best practice within the industry, vendors may cite IDC reports, product rankings, etc. within their narrative response or in call-out boxes to substantiate data. Would it be acceptable for vendors to provide a footnote referencing online articles to include title, para, etc. and have that information not counted against page count since it is just informational?	Regardless of where it appears in the proposal, information construed as belonging in a page-limited section of the proposal will be so construed and count against that section's page limit.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

907	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>Category A: IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) contains products across a number of different technological fields including computer hardware and software, audio-visual and broadcasting, and security and surveillance. These technology disciplines are diverse, and there are industry leading manufacturers for each of them. It is unreasonable to assume an offeror normally provides HPC and quantum computing technologies alongside A/V equipment such as whiteboards and screens. For SEWP VI customers to be able to procure the best of these technologies, the SEWP VI team should not mandate bidders propose products across all technology fields but instead comply with the subarea(s) most appropriate for them. The current SEWP contract has been a success by any measure and introduction of elements that disrupt and perhaps limit access to the vendor base would be disruptive to that success under the new contract.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>
906	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>For Category A (only): Suggestion for consideration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Allow vendors to limit the sub-area participation to their particular field(s) of expertise. (2) Evaluate the proposed processes for CLIN additions to the vendor catalog, as opposed to evaluating the specific CLINs. This ensures compliance with the SEWP VI intent (of the ability to publish a catalog) and also removes the burden of building the catalog prior to actual award. (3) Limit the proposed technology to the mandatory items (removing the requirement for 50% and 25% of the additional non-mandatory sub-areas). 	<p>This question is similar to question #769 & similar to question #459. Please see the answer to questions #769 & #459.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

905	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>For Category A (only): Impact of this requirement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Limits participation in SEWP VI to resellers (2) Restricts OEM participation. Leading OEMs do not offer the full catalog of products. Anti-competitive approach favors few OEMs. (3) Prevents existing SEWP V contract holders from participating. (4) Applies significant burdens to all bidders: 2,000 CLINs per category (12,000 CLINs for large businesses and 6,000 CLINs for small businesses) will be challenging for any vendor to develop for the purpose of this proposal. (5) Restricts small business participation to only those vendors that support multiple technology areas, as opposed to small businesses that offer specialized products in focused sub-areas such as computer kiosks. 	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
904	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>For Categories A and B (only): Suggestion for consideration:</p> <p>Minimize the requirement to listing partners used to meet the mandatory system requirements. Request offerors declare compliance to these reseller requirements.</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
903	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>For Categories A and B (only): Impact of this requirement:</p> <p>Significant effort required to assemble and prepare for submission as part of the proposal. A large volume of agreements and supporting documentation would be required for submission. An overwhelming amount of information for the SEWP VI evaluator or contracting officer to review and assess for validity (and determine if exclusionary).</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

902	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	For Categories A and B (only): Reseller agreements, points of contact, proof of citizenship, descriptions of relationships, supplier abilities, etc. are required to do business. Sharing this level of detail with the SEWP VI team would be tedious, add significant time and effort to the proposal and SEWP VI team proposal evaluation efforts, and result in unreasonable hardships on the vendors while providing minimal value.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
901	(b) Mandatory Experience	Allow offerors to propose managed services within their sub-area of expertise. Remove the requirement that offerors propose services for all ten (10) sub-areas. Reduce the relevant experience projects (REP) to four (4), total per offeror.	This question is similar to question #769. Please see the answer to question #769.
900	(b) Mandatory Experience	Requiring offerors to propose all these service types will limit the services available to the SEWP VI customers. Single vendors that provides this full range of managed services will not be able to provide the technology and service quality of vendors focused on specific services. SEWP customers would need to procure these services outside of the contract. It will be challenging for a single managed service provider will be able to provide forty (40) Relevant Experience Projects (REP) for the Category B sub-areas. Industry-leading managed services providers in the IT field (and AV) will not be eligible for award.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

899	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>The Technical Areas in Catalog B are diverse in terms of both service type (consulting, delivery, support, etc.) and technology (IT services including cloud and communications such as television and broadcasting). Offerors cannot propose their managed services in the sub-areas of their competency without also offering dissimilar services in the other sub-areas. For example, an offeror that excels in broadcasting and television services would also need to offer managed cloud, network and cybersecurity services.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>
898	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Under Category B: Allow vendors to provide relevant experience projects for their particular field(s) of expertise. Reduce the requirement of four (4) REPs for each sub-area to four (4) per category. Eliminate the \$30M minimum value size of a single order.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #769. Please see the answer to question #769.</p>
897	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Limits participation in SEWP VI to resellers that offer a very wide range of technology products and services. Restricts OEM participation. Leading OEMs do not offer the full catalog of products and would rely on partner experiences. Poses a significant burden on vendors to demonstrate the part performance experience for up to 40 deployments.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>
896	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Past performance (relevant experience project) demonstrates that vendors have the capability and experience to meet the SEWP VI objectives. However, due to the diversity of the SEWP VI mandatory requirements, few vendors will be able to demonstrate experience across each sub-area within their particular category.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

895	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	For Category B and C: Remove CMMI as a mandatory requirement, or allow ISO 9xxx as an alternate (i.e., and/or).	This question is similar to question #188. Please see the answer to question #188.
894	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	For Category B and C: The Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) is not fully implemented across the Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) industry. A few vendors have very specific, department focused CMMI certificates of achievement but do not have CMMI verification across the organization. CMMI compliance verification is not in our core compliance or certification activities at this time, and requiring both ISO 9xxx and CMMI is redundant.	This question is similar to question #188. Please see the answer to question #188.
893	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	In some cases, it appears that there is a mix of solution services included in this category. For example, 5c Cloud Services specifies evaluate, recommend, implement, and support, where implement may be more appropriate for Category B Service Solutions. Possibly use of “support implementation” would be more appropriate for Category C.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
892	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Under Technical Area 11b: Suggest moving consultation-like services into Category C Professional Services.	This question is similar to question #888. Please see the answer to question #888.
891	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Under Technical Area 7b: Suggest moving consultation like services into Category C Professional Services.	This question is similar to question #888. Please see the answer to question #888.
890	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Under Technical Area 6b: We suggest moving consultation-like services into Category C Professional Services.	This question is similar to question #888. Please see the answer to question #888.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

889	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Under Technical Area 5b: Suggest moving consultation-like services into Category C Professional Services.	This question is similar to question #888. Please see the answer to question #888.
888	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Under Technical Area 3b: We suggest moving consultation-like services into Category C Professional Services.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
887	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	It may be inappropriate to embed consulting services (such as defining/recommending solutions) alongside the deployment of solutions.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
886	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Move 6a: Maintenance, support and training into Professional Services Category C	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
885	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Move 3a: “Software as a service” into Services Category B Specific software within 3a could remain in Category A; preference for creating Software Category All “as a service” may fit better in Category B	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
884	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Move 2a “Cellular Service” into Category B	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
883	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Move 2a “A device as a Service” into Category B	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

882	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Attachment A SEWP Statement of Work Category A appears to be product-focused, but there are descriptive subareas where service solutions and professional services are included. This blend of professional services within product and solution services may lead to vendors providing both product/service selection and product/service implementation (a potential conflict of interest). This vendor suggests limiting product (Hardware & Software) to Category A, moving “as a service” solutions into Category B Products and Service Solutions, and moving professional services such as maintenance, support and training into Category C IT Professional Services.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
881	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION-COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	Is the CMMI same as CMMC? Please provide the list of the 3rd party company if you have.	CMMI AND CMMC are two distinct frameworks that serve different purposes.
880	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	General Suggestion: Our recommendation is to maintain a similar arrangement as SEWP 5 where by designating NAICS 334111 as Group A, 541519 as Group B, and introducing 541512 for services as Group C. Within these Groups, we propose allowing set-asides for Women-Owned Small Businesses (WOSB), Historically Underutilized Business Zones (HUB Zone), Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Businesses (SDVOSB), Veteran-Owned Small Businesses (VOSB), and 8(a) firms.	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

879	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP_VI_DRFP80TECH23R0001_Cover_Letter.pdf (Page 2) Please confirm that the EEO Clearance requirement has no impact on firms under 50 FTE?	The EEO Clearance requirement is subject to contract threshold not the number of FTEs within a company.
878	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	ATTACHMENT H - AbilityOne Data Reporting Data Requirements Description (DRD).pdf (Page 2) What is the intent of AbilityOne inclusion; it reads like there must be involvement for EACH task order? Please explain how this will work post award.	Use of Ability One Subcontractors is at the order level. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
877	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 for Category B and C (Page 87) For Categories B & C, will the Government accept the REP and Past Performance of an bidding prime's subcontractors, regardless of whether the prime is in a CTA defined under FAR 9.601(1) or FAR 9.601(2)?	This question is similar to question #3. Please see the answer to question #3.
876	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 - Mandatory Experience: SEWP VI DRFP 80TECH23R0001_v4_09.18.2023_Update 1.pdf (Page 88) Is the Category C REP a minimum of 2 total OR a minimum of 2 per mandatory experience sub-areas (20 minimum projects over \$30M in TCV) for a HUBZone/SDVOSB/EDWOSB?	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

875	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>A.3.7.2 Past Performance: SEWP VI DRFP 80TECH23R0001_v4_09.18.2023_Update 1.pdf (Page 92)</p> <p>Please confirm the Government will accept CPARs OR Past Performance Questionnaires.</p>	This question is similar to question #161. Please see the answer to question #161.
874	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME: SEWP VI DRFP 80TECH23R0001_v4_09.18.2023_Update 1.pdf (Page 95)</p> <p>How will the "Commitment to Sustainability" be weighted/evaluated?</p>	Please see Section A.4.1 Source Selection and Phased Evaluation of the RFP.
873	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Generative artificial intelligence (AI) will enable offerors to write compliant responses to proposal sections without their being able to perform in accordance with those responses. The responses required for the many supply chain management requirements are particularly vulnerable to this risk.</p> <p>Will the government please add the following sentence to the second bullet of A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME? "Identify the use of generative artificial intelligence in the writing of this proposal."</p>	Thank you for the suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

872	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1(b) Mandatory Experience states "For Category Band C: A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor." Agencies often issue multiple task orders over the life of a single project. Rather than limit offerors to a single task order, will the government allow the aggregation of multiple task orders related to a single project for the purpose of meeting the experience dollar value minimum?	This question is similar to question #641. Please see the answer to question #641.
871	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Since current SEWP catalog has millions of CLIN's, we recommend requesting a higher # of CLINS (more than the minimum 2000) so that contractors have depth and breadth as opposed to having a lot of one product OEM's as contractors.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
870	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	It is stated in the DRFP that subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated. Can you consider inclusion of past performance of subcontractor as both will be working together and can leverage from each other unique set of experiences? We do not want non-serious contract holders who will ruin SEWP reputation. SEWP may offramp them, but the Government end user will have a bad experience.	This question is similar to question #3. Please see the answer to question #3.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

869	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	As SEWP VI is looking for contractors who have strong commitment to supply chain management, will it not be wise to keep ISO 20243 certification along with ISO 9001 and CMMI as one of the criteria to be able bid for this opportunity?	This question is similar to question #53. Please see the answer to question #53.
868	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Is there a ration of Small Business and Other than Small Business awardees on SEWP VI	All qualifying Offers will receive an award.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>867</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>[DRFP, Section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016), Pg. 24] Please confirm that similar to SEWP V, as per the SBA Guidelines, the non-manufacturer rule does not apply to SEWP VI because the NAICS code for SEWP VI (541512) is designed for services.</p> <p>[DRFP, Section A.1.34, Pg. 57 & A.1.35, Pg. 59] With respect to Section A.1.35, please clarify the use of an AbilityOne subcontractor for each Category. Is Section A.1.35 requirement to use AbilityOne subcontractors applicable to Category A, B and/or C? If it is applicable to a Category, then does it only apply to the opportunities using the additional NAICS codes for each Category that are identified with an asterisk in Section A.1.34?</p> <p>[DRFP, Section A.1.35, Pg. 59] If AbilityOne subcontracting is required, what level of AbilityOne subcontracting is required e.g., is there a minimum percentage required of the actual subcontracted work?</p> <p>[DRFP, A.1.35, Pg. 59] Does Section A.1.35 requirement to use AbilityOne subcontractors preclude the use of non-AbilityOne subcontractors on the same opportunity?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #489. Please see the answer to question #489.</p>
<p>866</p>	<p>(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)</p>	<p>[DRFP, Section A.2.7.1, For Category B and C Categories] Subfactor A (5) appears to be the same requirement under Mandatory Experience on page 87 - does the government want the same list included twice or is there a difference in the two requirements?</p>	<p>The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

865	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Subfactor A (2) requests letters of authorization from "providers for which the offeror is an approved reseller" and refers to Enclosure 1 as a starting list. Enclosure 1 appears to have more than 60,000 lines - what is the government's expectation for the number of LOAs to provide a high level of confidence for this category during evaluation?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
864	(b) Mandatory Experience	The file labeled Exhibit 1 contains a main heading that refers to "EXHIBIT 2 - Relevant Experience Project Table." The heading also includes, "Refer to Section A.3.5.1(b) of the solicitation for further information." Section A.3.5.1(b) does not exist. Please clarify/correct this conflicting or missing information, as appropriate.	This question is similar to question #246. Please see the answer to question #246.
863	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	The DRFP states, "Category B and C: A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." The minimum of \$30M requirement is disadvantageous to small businesses. Would the government consider lowering the minimum requirement for small businesses so they can remain competitive for Categories B and C?	This question is similar to question #769. Please see the answer to question #769.
862	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	The DRFP mentions a SOW Compliance Matrix, but no additional guidance is given. Will the government provide a SOW Compliance Matrix for completion by offerors, or can the government otherwise elaborate on what is required for this part of Volume III?	This question is similar to question #364. Please see the answer to question #364.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

861	FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)	Regarding Section A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING, being that not all offerors are AbilityOne certified, would the Government consider removing this requirement?	This question is similar to question #537. Please see the answer to question #537.
860	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	Note. A.3.7 lists Volume III as the Management / Technical Approach Volume. Section A.3.6 (a) (1) lists Volume III as the Mission Suitability Volume. Please remediate discrepancy.	The final RFP Section A.3.7 will be updated to reflect Volume III is Mission Suitability which consist of the management approach and the technical approach.
859	(b) Mandatory Experience	Are Letters of Authorization or any other proof of the ability to work with an OEM required for the items requested under Category A Mandatory Experience? Will any additional credit be given for providing a list exceeding the minimum 12,000 required different CLINs?	The offeror shall provide a list identifying the providers for which the offeror is an approved reseller and provide the available suite of IT Solutions (products and services) from each identified provider for the mandatory scope sub-areas. At least one designated provider in each of the proposed Areas must be from the list of approved SEWP Providers and be different for each mandatory scope sub-area referenced in Enclosure 1. Offerors should not limit their offerings to only the companies listed in Enclosure 1. Offerors shall include the letters of authorization for each mandatory Sub-area provider and OEM point of contact who can verify that information. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

858	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	<p>Number 2. states: "The offeror shall provide a list identifying the providers for which the offeror is an approved reseller and provide the full suite of products and services from each identified provider for the mandatory sub-area."</p> <p>Please clarify as to what level of detail is required in terms of "...the full suite of products and services from each identified provider for the mandatory sub-area." For example, if Offeror is an approved Cisco reseller - is the Government seeking a full copy of Cisco's product catalog? Please consider the size and format of such a catalog.</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
857	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	<p>Does the government require any specific language or template for the requested letters of authorization? Alternately, can the government define what qualifies as a valid letter of authorization?</p>	<p>The Letter of Authorization (LOA) must come signed from the OEM as part of the Offeror's proposal identifying that the OEM is aware and approves of the offeror proposing their solutions or the SEWP VI proposal. While the exact wording and format of the LOA can vary, the Point of Contact (POC) signing the LOA must include the name of the offeror; a reference to SEWP VI and the POC's position in the company.</p>
856	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Can CPARS be used in lieu of past performance questionnaires?	This question is a duplicate of question #161. Please see the answer to question #161.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

855	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>#9 states: "Recent customer evaluations of past performance including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, Annual Performance Evaluation Forms, or any other written performance feedback. (Excluded from the page limitation)"</p> <p>If the Offeror has CPARS, should a copy be provided to meet this requirement?</p>	No.
854	A.1.6 GSFC 52.217-92 EFFECTIVE ORDERING PERIOD (JAN 2014)	Will the period of performance for Categories A, B, and C be a base of 10 years? Or will there be a Base and Option Period?	The effective ordering period of this contract shall be for a period of 10 years from the contract's effective date of TBD.
853	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Please explain the relationship between the main NAICS 541512 and the additional Category A: IT Solutions NAICS codes listed. For example, our business is classified as Large under 541512, but if an Ordering CO put out a request under 334111 set aside for Small Business, we would qualify. Would we be allowed to bid even though we were considered large for the overarching SEWP IDIQ? Would the Ordering CO still get small business credit?	The Ordering CO has the responsibility to determine which predominant NAICS code applies to a task order solicitation, whether the task order is unrestricted or set-aside, including the type of socio-economic set-aside if applicable, and whether the solicitation is sole-source or competitive. The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. A list of acceptable NAICS codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI is listed in the RFP. The contractor will need to have a contract within that category to compete.
852	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Does the government anticipate an additional comment/QA period once the RFP is released?	The Final RFP will have and Question and Answer period.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

851	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>We have a project that is a multiple award schedule task order issued to a MAS CTA, in which each member of the CTA performed a separate portion of the requirements. We are a small business and performed more than \$5 million of the work on the order.</p> <p>May we use the narrative in the Exhibit 1 REP template to describe our role and include the following supporting documentation: a) a copy of our MAS CTA; b) a signed document from another member of the MAS CTA or the Contracting Officer, Contracting Officer’s Representative or other Government employee with cognizance over the submitted project that verifies the dollar value of and the scope of the work we performed as a member of the CTA.</p>	This question is similar to question #832. Please see the answer to question #832.
850	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Category C, the DRFP lists 10 Mandatory Experience Sub-areas. Attachment A lists 11 Mandatory Experience sub-areas (includes Program Management/Ancillary Services). Please remediate discrepancy.	This question is similar to question #665. Please see the answer to question #665.
849	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	The DRFP states, "Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file (compatible with ADOBE Reader version DC or 2017), with appropriate bookmarks." Could you please define "appropriate bookmarks"? To what level must the document be bookmarked?	The Final RFP will be revised for clarity to reflect bookmarks to at least the section header.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

848	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>Regarding the Summary of Past Performance matrix, the draft RFP states: "Offerors are advised that the matrix is a summary of the referenced contracts identified in paragraph (a)(13) above." Paragraph (a)(13) does not exist.</p> <p>Please clarify/correct this information, as appropriate.</p>	This question is similar to question #77. Please see the answer to question #77.
847	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	<p>If an offeror is responding to only Category A, for example, would the zip file name be "OfferorName_80TECH23R0001_Category Submission" or "OfferorName_80TECH23R0001_CategoryA"?</p>	Reference Draft RFP Section A.3.6 (A) Proposal Format and Organization.
846	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	<p>In Table 1, what constitutes experience in each category?</p>	This question is unclear and therefore will not be answered.
845	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	<p>Re: Exhibit 1: Relevant Experience Project Table - Will the Government provide the REP Table in an editable file format?</p>	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

844	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	<p>Section III references Exhibit 1. The file named Exhibit 1 is labeled as Exhibit 2 on the first page heading. Could you please confirm which is correct?</p> <p>Also, it says this table can be no more than 3 pages, but the PDF form does not go beyond one page. Should this be completed in the PDF form provided, or can the template be used in contractor format allowing additional pages?</p>	<p>The REP exhibit will be revised to reflect the correct number in the Final RFP. Exhibit 1 provided serves as page 1 and an additional 2 pages that can be added by a contractor thereby allowing for the REP template not exceeding 3 pages.</p>
843	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>For the Category B technical scope areas, can the government confirm that the term "enterprise-wide" equates to agency-wide in that a project could satisfy the scope requirement by supporting an agency or office and not necessarily an entire governmental department?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #190. Please see the answer to question #190.</p>
842	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Must all members of a CTA possess the same certifications required in Phase 1?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #519. Please see the answer to question #519.</p>
841	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>Re: For Categories A and B (only) - Is it the government's intent for Category B - Enterprise-wide IT Solutions - bidders to provide a list identifying the providers for which the offeror is an approved reseller and provide the full suite of products and services from each identified provider for the mandatory sub-area? This requirement is more applicable to Category A, OEM bidders.</p>	<p>The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

840	II. FAR 52.212-5 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT STATUTES OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS—COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (JUN 2023)	The sam.gov description for this opportunity states offerors must be registered with U.S. Department of Labor Veterans' Employment and Training Service, VETS-4212 Reports: https://vets4212.dol.gov/vets4212/ . This is not checked off as a requirement within Section II, except as it seems to apply to subcontractors. Is this a requirement for all offerors, regardless of business size?	The Final RFP will be revised to include FAR 52.222-35 and 52.222-37.
839	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For the Past Performance Matrix, are Offerors expected to only include the projects used as Past Performance, or include both REPs and Past Performance projects?	The Past Performance Matrix applies to the Past Performance Volume to present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form and accompany each category of relevant experience project.
838	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Since the contract value thresholds differ for REPs and Past Performance projects, is it correct to assume offerors are allowed to use different projects as REPs and Past Performance?	Yes, Offers are allowed to use different project as REP and Past Performance sections are not interdependent.
837	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Can Prime offerors use a subcontractor's project experience as past performance?	This question is similar to question #3. Please see the answer to question #3.
836	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Are there any restrictions on teaming arrangements such as Joint Ventures (to include Mentor-Protégé JVs), Contractor Teaming Agreements, and Prime/Sub relationships?	This question is similar to question #557. Please see the answer to question #557.
835	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Why would 3.7.3 (a) 2, 3 & 4 be required for Category B? Category B is mainly services pool, providing its approved reseller information does not seem relevant to Category B.	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

834	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	In April 2023, the Court of Federal Claims ruled that GSA violated 41 U.S.C Section 3306(c)(3) by failing to consider price on the IDIQ level when evaluating offers for the Polaris GWAC. Based on this ruling, can offerors anticipate the Government adding a pricing component to the Final RFP?	This question is similar to question #45. Please see the answer to question #45.
833	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Will the Government be providing Offerors with a Past Performance Matrix or must Offerors make one that looks similar to Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix?	Per the DRFP, Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of relevant experience project.
832	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>We have a project that is a multiple award schedule task order issued to a MAS CTA, in which each member of the CTA performed a separate portion of the requirements. We are a small business and performed more than \$2 million of the work on the order so we would like to use it as a Category C REP.</p> <p>May we use the narrative in the Exhibit 1 REP template to describe our role and include the following supporting documentation: a) a copy of our MAS CTA; b) a signed document from another member of the MAS CTA or the Contracting Officer, Contracting Officer’s Representative or other Government employee with cognizance over the submitted project that verifies the dollar value of and the scope of the work we performed as a member of the CTA.</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

831	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Please clarify the requirement for “The offeror shall describe their corporate policies and resources that will affect the addition of new solution providers for the purpose of enhancing the technology and services available to the Government” (page 94). For a services-only provider in Category B and C, this requirement appears to be referencing adding vendors and vendor products and would not be applicable.	This section will be clarified in the RFP.
830	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Bidders would benefit from use of their strongest examples for both the Relevant Experience Project (REP) Mandatory Experience Sub-Areas (DRFP A.3.7.1 (b)) and also for the Past Performance references to showcase the maximum number of Representative Areas (DRFP A.3.7.2 (a)). For providing the strongest RFP response, please confirm that Bidders may reuse REP examples for Past Performance References and within the Past Performance References across the set of Representative Areas.	This question is similar to question #5. This question is similar to question #5.
829	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	For DRFP A.3.7. (a), are bidders to assume 1. applies to Categories A, B, and C and is the only requirement instruction applicable to Category C?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>828</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>DRFP A.3.7 states, "If any reference to documentation is made by the offeror such documentation shall be cited at the page, section, and paragraph level. The cited offeror documentation shall be included in the proposal and counts against the page count". Per the DRFP, commitment to sustainability; environmental policies; policies and procedures for SCRUM, and other type documentation are applicable and important per content instructions and associated evaluation criteria. If Bidders were required to include the documents, or even significant portions of them, within the proposal and count against page limits, there would be limited space to provide meaningful content regarding the understanding and approach to performing the requirements of the SOW. Please confirm references to these documents is acceptable or whether Appendices could provided for ease of evaluation and not counted against page limits.</p>	<p>As stated yes, if any reference to documentation is made by the offeror such documentation shall be cited at the page, section, and paragraph level. However, as stated under A.3.7.2(b) The offeror shall provide detail of sustainability management policy, management system to control sustainability issues (e.g., environmental management system and corporate commitment to sustainability). At a minimum, the offeror shall address 7 areas. No supporting documents are being requested.</p>
------------	---	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

827	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	DRFP A.4.1.1 states, “upon completion of each phase of evaluation, the Government will make a firm down-select and notify each Offeror if they are no longer are eligible for award along with providing a brief rationale statement. Offerors that do not receive a notification from the Government are to assume their proposal has proceeded to the next phase of the evaluation.” Please confirm that a single proposal submission will be used to evaluate each phase or whether each Phase is submitted separately. If separately, what is the anticipated timeline for the individual phases so Bidders that have passed each Phase down-selection know when to submit subsequent phase proposals?	A single proposal submission will be used to evaluate each phase.
826	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Please update Exhibit 2, Past Performance Questionnaire, to allow for an answer in Item 1, H, Basis of Selection (Technical; Cost/Price).	Any changes will be reflected with the Final RFP.
825	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>Much of the DRFP still reads like it’s for product acquisition. For example, the Technical Approach requires, “The offeror shall provide a list identifying the providers for which the offeror is an approved reseller and provide the full suite of products and services from each identified provider for the mandatory sub-area.</p> <p>Will the Government update the above language to align submission requirements with the scope of an IT professional services’ vendor?</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

824	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Please consider scaling back the requirements in Exhibit 2, Past Performance Questionnaire. Based on our experience, we are concerned that a 12-page questionnaire will present an administrative burden to the government evaluator completing it. There are also requirements in the main solicitation that the Offeror will respond to in their proposal that appear redundant in the questionnaire (e.g., Section 4, SOW Elements, and Section 6, regarding contract expenditures).	This question is similar to question #368. Please see the answer to question #368.
823	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Within the DRFP, it states: "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation due date to be considered recent." Will the Government please confirm that the 3-year timeframe is not applicable to the REPs (Volume 1 Mandatory Experience).	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
822	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	Will the government permit the admission of a REP from a subcontractor?	This question is similar to question #564. Please see the answer to question #564.
821	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	The DRFP says, "in accordance with 13 CFR 124.513(e)(I), the SBA shall approve the 8(a) JV agreement prior to contract award." However, 13 CFR 124.513(e)(I), seems to only require the SBA's approval of the JV prior to sole source 8(a) awards, and not competitive 8(a) awards. Please confirm that the Government will not require JV offerors to obtain the SBA's approval prior to award.	The Final RFP will be updated for clarity.
820	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	Will the Government please confirm that the offerors may use the same REP across multiple mandatory sub-areas.	This question is similar to question #5. Please see the answer to question #5.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

819	(b) Mandatory Experience	For LBs in Category B, please consider reducing the contract/task order size to \$20M. The advent of Agile software development has reduced the size of product development substantially and \$20M is a more common task order size in this time of increased budget pressure.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
818	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUTABILITY VOLUME	A “Commitment to Sustainability” requirement makes sense for Category A; however, much of these “Commitment to Sustainability” requirements and questions within the Management Approach do not fully align to IT professional services in Category B and C. We recommend narrowing the Commitment to Sustainability requirement to Category A submissions only.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
817	(a) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	With the addition of Category B and Category C to the SEWP program, we recommend NASA require both “CMMI- Development (CMMI-DEV) and CMMI-Services (CMMI-SVC) Appraisal at Maturity Level 3 or higher for unrestricted vendors and Level 2 for small business vendors. This will facilitate receipt of proposals from the most highly qualified vendors.	This question is similar to question #552. Please see the answer to question #552.
816	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Category C, please confirm that a JV needs each REP to cover one mandatory experience sub-area and not all mandatory sub-areas. That 3 (or 2) REPs are all that is required, not 3 (or 2) for each of the 10 sub-areas, for a total of potentially 30 (or 20) REPs.	This question is similar to question #76. Please see the answer to question #76.
815	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Can an Offeror who is both Large Business and Small Business in certain NAICS Codes, example: 541715 (Research and Development in the Physical, Engineering, and Life Sciences (except Nanotechnology and Biotechnology) (Exception1) Provide a proposal in both the full and open and small business categories?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity to reflect that only one proposal per entity will be evaluated for a given category.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

814	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Would NASA Consider adding NAICS 541715 to the Category B (Enterprise Wide) and Category C (IT Professional Services) Offerings? This will enable Government Users to access companies specifically performing innovative Research and Development of IT Systems and Software using the SEWP Contract Vehicle.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
813	(b) Mandatory Experience	Please confirm that that in Category B, each REP should be tied to a single mandatory experience sub-area and that the 4 REPs do not need to cover all mandatory sub-areas.	This question is similar to question #76. Please see the answer to question #76.
812	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	From the industry day we understand NASA does not want to issue a revised DRFP. As there appears to be several important changes and clarifications would the Government consider posting a revised section L&M only?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
811	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Page 93 states in part “ For Categories A and B (only): 2. The offeror shall provide a list identifying the providers for which the offeror is an approved reseller and provide the full suite of products and services from each identified provider for the mandatory sub-area” As this references a requirement for Category A and B Offerors, it appears there is an expectation for Category B Offerors to also be an approved reseller along with being an Enterprise-Wide IT Solutions Provider? This approach appears to limit competition for many Enterprise-Wide IT Service Providers. Please clarify?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
810	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	For Joint Ventures, can the past performance be covered by any of the JV partners?	This question is similar to questions #692 & #67. Please see the answer to questions #692 & #67.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

809	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Page 90 last sentence states in part "Offerors identified as a Large Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate)". There does not appear to be a discussion regarding Offerors submitting a Category B proposal only. Is there an intent that all offerors submit Category A Proposal?	Offerors identified as a Large Business in Category B shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate).
808	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Joint Ventures, can the mandatory experience be covered by any of the JV partners?	This question is similar to questions #692 & #67. Please see the answer to questions #692 & #67.
807	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	For Joint Ventures, can the certification requirements be met by any of the JV partners?	This question is similar to question #230. Please see the answer to question #230.
806	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>The draft RFP states: "Offerors shall include the letters of authorization for each mandatory Sub-area provider and OEM point of contact who can verify that information."</p> <p>In many cases, the relationship will be between the OEM and their distributor, and the Offerors are authorized through distribution. We request that the Government please allow for distributors to be POCs for confirmation of Offeror's Authorization for OEMs, as well as for Letters of Authorization.</p>	This question is similar to question #54. Please the answer to question #54.
805	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Page 88 states in part Prime offerors shall provide up to 3 of our most recent similar contracts that are completed or on-going "within three (3) years of the solicitation due date...". With many Government contracts spanning 8-10 years and the length of time in between opportunities will the Government consider modifying this requirement to allow past performance reference completed within five (5) years?	This question is similar to question #644. Please see the answer to question #644.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

804	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Page 87, Category B states in part “Category B: A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas.”. Is the expectation an offeror has to provide 4 REP’s for each of the 10 Mandatory Experience Sub-areas, for a total of 40 REP’s? Or does an offeror have the ability to chose 4 of the 10 sub-areas and provide one REP for each chosen sub area? In the same light can an Offeror pick one sub area and supply 4 REP’s for that single area?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #76. Please see the answer to question #76.</p>
803	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	<p>Page 59, Paragraph A.1.35 Titled AbilityOne Subcontracting states in part “All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes” ...“have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes.” Is there an expectation regarding utilization levels (e.g. 4 of 10 FTE)? or is there a consideration regarding impact to timely proposal submission and execution while we work with AbilityOne to ascertain availability of the necessary skill sets to be competitive in the proposal submission?</p>	<p>The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.</p>
802	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>Offerors identified as Large are required to include in their proposals a suite of technology that covers at least 50% of the additional non-mandatory Technical sub-areas. Please confirm.</p> <p>Also, does this mean that--of the minimum required 12,000 CLINS for Category A--that 6000 must be in the non-mandatory Technical sub-areas?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #459. Please see the answer to question #459.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

801	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Page 79, Paragraph III, Is there an intent for offerors to provide a Small Business Plan with their final proposal? It is anticipated individual T.O.'s will drive specific achievable small business goals. Is it anticipated offerors propose generic small business goals? Please clarify.	Other than Small Businesses are required to submit a commercial subcontracting plan not an individual subcontracting plan.
800	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	RFP states "The proposal must include a suite of technology that covers at least 50% of the additional non-mandatory Technical sub-areas." QUESTION: Does the Government consider "non-mandatory" to be synonymous with "additional sub-areas"? If so, how does the Government want Offerors to designate between mandatory CLINS and "non-mandatory" in its submission?	This question is similar to question #459. Please see the answer to question #459.
799	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Re: Attachment A: Section A.5.3 states that "hard and soft copy ordering guides" must be dispatched on a timely basis. Are hard copy ordering guides required? If so, what is considered "timely" for delivery of a hard copy ordering guide? We respectfully request that hard copy ordering guides be removed as a requirement in Category C.	Thanks for the suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
798	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	What is the anticipated due date for Phase 1 of the down-select evaluation process?	It is contingent on the number of proposals received. Any updates will be provided after proposal submission.
797	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Re: Section A.3.7.3 (b) (4) (iii), are small businesses required to support OCONUS opportunities? This is not currently part of our business model as our predominant business area is federal government contracting.	OCONUS opportunities are established at the Order Level, therefore a Contract Holder can decide to forgo submitting a quote on that opportunity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

796	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>Item 9 states: "Recent customer evaluations of past performance including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, Annual Performance Evaluation Forms, or any other written performance feedback. (Excluded from the page limitation)."</p> <p>QUESTION: Please define more specifically as we are not familiar with these types of evaluations. Are these merely examples, if applicable? Or does the government mean CPARs?</p>	Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, and Annual Performance Evaluation Forms are various types of customer evaluations.
795	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Re: Section A.3.7.3 (b) (2) (iv) and (v), many aspects of these sections pertain only to hardware. Please explain how small businesses submitting a proposal under Category C should tailor their response to this area when hardware will not be provided as part of the scope of work.	This section will be clarified in the RFP.
794	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Section A.3.7.3 (b) addresses a requirement to describe "ancillary products required for performance of specific tasks and corporate risks associated with SCRM (e.g., availability and security of corporate resources)." Do "ancillary products" include support services by Information Security professionals who are employed by the offeror?	This question is similar to question #674. Please see the answer to question #674.
793	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>Item 8 states: "Identify and explain completion successes and delays, including adherence to program schedules. Provide an assessment of the performance (technical and schedule) on these past programs and support these assessments with metrics such as award or incentive fees earned".</p> <p>QUESTION: Can the Government please provide an example of assessments? Does this mean CPARs?</p>	No examples will be provided, as these assessments are associated with a distinct contract type.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

792	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Will subcontractor capabilities be considered within Subfactor A of the Mission Suitability Volume?	Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
791	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Please clarify the following statement: "The questionnaire respondent shall be a representative from the technical customer and responsible Contracting Officer with direct knowledge of your firm's performance." Is the respondent to be a Contracting Officer's Technical Representative or a Contracting Officer?	Offerors may utilize any appropriate source for their past performance that meets the requirements of the RFP. The POC for Past Performance is typically the COR or CO.
790	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	At the Industry Day on 10/18/23, during the question/answer session, it was stated that the individual contract award value (ceiling) will be \$20,000,000,000.00 for each offeror. Considering the performance of similar GWACs and contract vehicles surrounding Enterprise-Wide IT Solutions, it's respectfully suggested that the Program Office consider a ceiling value for each prime contractor of \$30,000,000,000.00 or more for Category B and/or C. Given the dynamics of enterprise-wide IT programs, the SEWP Program Office should anticipate that the scale and scope of these solicitations will likely result in significantly higher award values (\$1B+) in comparison to solicitations under Category A (historical SEWP).	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
789	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	How many past performance questionnaires are required?	This question is similar to question #486. Please see the answer to question #486.
788	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Re: Table 1, it would be helpful if NASA could provide an excel template as an attachment in the final RFP to collect this information.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

787	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Re: #12, how many past performance references is a Small Business pursuing Category C required to provide in each of the three (3) content representative areas?	This question is similar to question #439. Please see the answer to question #439.
786	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	If one offeror utilizes the same REP across separate proposals (in categories A, B or C), does the Government consider that a duplicate response? Is there a restriction using the same REP across multiple categories?	This question is similar to question #5. Please see the answer to question #5.
785	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	It appears that #11 and #12 do not belong within the numbered list because they do not pertain to the three recent similar contracts. Does #12 refer to a narrative summary of past performance separate from the three similar contracts; does it include those three contracts and additional similar contracts? Is the intent of #12 to provide a narrative description that maps the three similar contracts to the content representative areas?	Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below A.3.7.2(a) 1-12 for up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent.
784	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	<p>Questions regarding section "Technical Area 8c: NETWORK SERVICES" of this requirement.</p> <p>Can offerings include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.API-integration to government systems and if so, which ones or types of APIs 2.Single pane(s) of glass on multi-tenant systems for government personnel to interact with provisioning systems by the offeror 3.Field Service Representatives (FSRs) or program managers that are responsible for end-to-end provisioning 	<p>API integration is fully in scope.</p> <p>Panes of glass as described are likely not in scope - that would be determined by review of the Agency customer requirements.</p> <p>FSRs and Program managers may be in scope depending on the specific Agency customer requirements.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

783	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Is the following statement correct or should “all past/current contract references” be changed to “up to three (3)” as stated in the first paragraph of this section?: “The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror.”	This question is similar to question #700. Please see the answer to question #700.
782	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	<p>Questions regarding the "Technical Area 1b: ENTERPRISE-WIDE NETWORK SERVICES" section of this requirement.</p> <p>Are there specific standards recommended, certifications, or compliance of platforms to be sold under this RFP that the contracting officer or subject matter experts can address for items such as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extending of LANs / WANs across commercial infrastructure such as Home Internet, 5G/LTE networks, or other non-government owned infrastructure 2. Specific SD-WAN, SASE, VPN-based, or other technology preferred 3. Redundant transport methodologies 4. Ability to offer commercial infrastructure in government-facilities to augment or extend existing commercial infrastructure IP-networking technology as CPE 	All of the noted technology and solutions should be included in offerings by the SEWP VI Contract Holders. The specific requirements will be detailed in individual issuing Agency customer requirements and subsequent delivery orders.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

781	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Per the Industry Day held 10/18/23 in College Park, MD, small business offerors responding to Category C are required to submit 3 REPs in total for 3 of the 10 mandatory sub-areas; each REP must have a minimum value of \$2M. Please confirm that \$2M is the total value of the single task order or contract. This is consistent with mission/program-level requirements. Making \$2M an average annual value will preclude many small business offerors from qualifying under Category C, reducing the pool of applicants.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.</p>
780	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	<p>"Any proposal found to be a duplication or replica of another offeror will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award and will not be evaluated by the Government." During the Industry Day, it was stated that if any section of two proposals are duplicates, both proposals will be disqualified. What about proof of certifications and the Environmental Sustainability, both which would remain static as a corporate policy or certification and would be duplicate information?</p>	<p>The RFP will be revised for clarity.</p>
779	<p>Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512</p>	<p>Regarding the "Technical Area 4a: SUPPORTING TECHNOLOGY/SECURITY TECHNOLOGY" section.</p> <p>1. Do items that consist of inline content filters based upon directly attached end user equipment qualify in this area of the RFP? Or does only software installed directly on end user equipment or physical security appliances qualify? Some devices such as cellular equipment can be wired or wirelessly connected to a specific network that assists in implementation of security and/or virus detection or otherwise capabilities.</p>	<p>Items noted in this question can be offered in either or both technical areas</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

778	(b) Mandatory Experience	Do REPs have the same requirement to be “completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation due date” as the past performance projects?	This question is similar to question #376. Please see the answer to question #376.
777	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Is ISO 9001 certification required for all offerors (re: Section A.3.7.1 (a))? Please consider removing this requirement for small business offerors to increase the pool of small businesses eligible for this contract vehicle. In particular, please remove ISO 9001 certification requirement for Category B and C offerors that possess the appropriate CMMI certification.	This question is similar to question #110. Please see the answer to question #110.
776	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	Please clarify the meaning of this sentence in paragraph 2: “All Contractors shall be utilized for services provided by AbilityOne nonprofit agencies that employ people who are blind or have significant disabilities and are affiliated with the SourceAmerica or the National Industries for the Blind (NIB), central nonprofit agencies under the AbilityOne Program (www.abilityone.gov). The beginning of the sentence is unclear.	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

775	<p>Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512</p>	<p>Regarding the "Technical Area 2a: NETWORKING TECHNOLOGY/ MOBILITY & COMMUNICATIONS section"</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does SEWP have requirements on separation and/or isolation of data traffic on 5G networks or is it up to the offeror to propose those requirements? 2. Are there service level objectives (SLOs) and/or service level agreements (SLAs) that should be offered or targeted as far as uptime, monitoring, or performance? 3. Does SEWP expect all networking equipment or solutions to be sold as a service or with some title transfer or a mixture based on the type of service? 	<p>Requirements will be provided at the individual agency RFQ and order level. The offerings at the Contract level should be prepared to fulfill all possible in scope requirements.</p>
774	<p>A.1.14.3 Strategic Catalog:</p>	<p>two statements appear to conflict regarding the process of adding contract holders to the Strategic Catalog. The following sentence refers to periodic reviews "at least yearly" by the OCO to add new contract holders: "The Strategic Catalog's CH can opt in or out of the catalog before its establishment and then a recurring review at least yearly the OCO can conduct reviews to add new contract holders that meet eligibility requirements after the catalog is established." This sentence refers to a continuous process: "SEWP CHs will be continuously added if they meet the initial selection criteria or removed if they no longer meet the criteria." Is the process for addition or removal of contract holders to Strategic Catalogs going to be conducted during periodic reviews (e.g., yearly) or will the process be conducted continuously?</p>	<p>The final RFP will be updated to: "The SEWP CH can opt in or out of a catalog and contract holders that meet eligibility requirements will continuously be given the option to be added if they meet the initial selection criteria and removed if they no longer meet the criteria."</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

773	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	In lieu of submitting past performance questionnaires (Exhibit 2), can the Government verify that Offers may instead submit CPARs, if available?	This question is similar to question #161. Please see the answer to question #161.
772	A.1.26 CONTRACTOR COLLECTION OF AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING FEE	Correction: Please note that as currently drafted the administrative handling fee appears to only apply to SEWP V.	This was a typo that will be corrected in the Final RFP to reflect SEWP VI.
771	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Category B, the DRFP lists 10 Mandatory Experience Sub-areas. Attachment A lists 11 mandatory experience sub areas (includes Program management/Ancillary Services and supplies). Please remediate discrepancy.	This question is similar to question #665. Please see the answer to question #665.
770	(b) Mandatory Experience	Is the Category B REP a minimum of 4 total OR per mandatory experience sub-areas, which is 40 minimum projects over \$30M in TCV?	The Final RFP is being revised for clarity.
769	(b) Mandatory Experience	Draft RFP states: "Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." Please consider accepting REPs that had a minimum of \$10M in total value size of a single order or contract.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
768	A.1.42 ON-RAMP ACTIVITY	If a Contractor is awarded on SEWP VI as a Prime to support Category A activities ("Pass Through Reseller"), can they be on-ramped later after award to support Categories B and C (Development and Services) if they are able to provide past performance and certifications? If so, when would the Contractor be eligible for bidding in other Categories?"	This question is a duplicate of question #749. Please see the answer of question #749.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

767	(b) Mandatory Experience	What is the timeframe for corporate experience REPs? (Only past performance currently states timeframe). We recommend 5 years from completion considering the diversity of mandatory sub areas.	This question is similar to question #376. Please see the answer to question #376.
766	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Attachment A SEWP SOW A.3.1: Will the Government consider a NAICS code with size consideration based on employee count, such as 334111, 334112, 423430, 423690, 571151 or even the exception on the ITVAR 541519	This question is similar to question #746. Please see the answer to question #746.
765	A.1.1 GSFC 52.211-90 DELIVERABLE REQUIREMENTS (APR 2023)	Given the Supreme Courts Affirmative Action Ruling (SFFA v. Harvard and SFFA v. UNC, 2023), does the government still require the DEIA Plan?	Yes, DEIA is a requirement of NASA for all contracts that are over \$5million or greater, for Service-related contracts.
764	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can an offeror use the same REP across multiple mandatory sub areas?	This question is similar to question #5. Please see the answer to question #5.
763	(b) Mandatory Experience	Requiring all experience to come solely from the prime contractor will reduce competition and limit the government's ability to achieve best value. When evaluating proposals, it is crucial to consider the depth and breadth of experience brought by a team rather than solely relying on the prime contractor's experience.	This question is similar to question #3. Please see the answer to question #3.
762	(b) Mandatory Experience	Draft RFP states: "Category B: A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas." Please confirm we can use a single REP project in more than one sub-area for each category.	This question is similar to question #5. Please see the answer to question #5.
761	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will the Government accept Commercial and State and Local references for past performance and corporate experience?	This question is similar to question #271. Please see the answer to question #271.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

760	A.1.14.3 Strategic Catalog:	Will CORs/OCOs still be able to down select to a selected number of awardees for their Catalogs under the new set-up explained in the DRFP for Strategic Catalogs?	This question is similar to question #499. Please see the answer to question #499.
759	(b) Mandatory Experience	The requirement for UNSPSCs for at least 12,000 products is unduly burdensome for proposal submission. OEMs and distributors do not typically provide UNSPSCs to Offerors with their product offering, making this a tedious, largely manual effort. Please remove this requirement for proposal submission and make it a post-award requirement.	This question is similar to question #599. Please see the answer to question #599.
758	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Relevant Experience, for Category B & C states "...mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order..." and category B states "... a single order or contract..." These could potentially mean different things. Will the Government accept a GWAC / IDIQ / BPA as a "contract"?	This question is similar to question #990. Please see the answer of question #990.
757	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	Out of the NAICS codes required for use of Ability One Subcontracting, is there a percentage of work that must flow through this service? If Ability One is unable to supply a subcontractor to perform the work, are we able to use our own subcontractors?	This question is similar to question #489. Please see the answer to question #489.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

756	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	<p>"Could you please provide a detailed explanation of the content and evaluation process of the proposal?</p> <p>The instructions for the proposal seem to be ambiguous. The evaluation criteria are outlined in sections A.3.7.3 (a)(1) through A.3.7.3 (a)(7), which assess the effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency of the proposed technical approach.</p> <p>Additionally, there's a stipulation to include a summary that addresses the SOW- Scope Category Descriptions, specifically focusing on the Mandatory Technical subareas and the proposed Additional Technical Sub-areas. This summary should emphasize the scalability, extensibility, and the offeror's capability to deliver a comprehensive range of IT Solutions.</p> <p>Moreover, the offeror is expected to describe their IT-based solution services and elucidate how the architectural features pave the way for next-generation technology.</p> <p>It is not clear how the above instructions align with the ""(a) Proposal Format and Organization"" instructions.</p> <p>To ensure a comprehensive understanding of both the proposal's content and the evaluation process, could</p>	<p>The evaluation process is outlined in A.4 not A.3.7. The Instructions for the Offerors are in A.3. Any changes will be included in the Final RFP.</p>
755	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Category B: Is there a minimum size limit (e.g., number of personnel or budget) to any given "Agency/Enterprise" in order to determine relevancy under Category B?</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question #754. Please see the answer to question #754.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

754	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Category B: Is there a minimum size limit (e.g., number of personnel or budget) to any given “Agency/Enterprise” in order to determine relevancy under Category B?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity in section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume.
753	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	We recommend that the Government maintain an employee size NAICS code for SEWP VI. Changing the NAICS to 541512 could have a significant impact on small businesses (SB). With a \$30 million annual revenue limit for 541512 to qualify as an SB, winning just one task order on SEWP VI could rapidly push a small business out of that NAICS category. Changing SEWP VI to NAICS 541512 could hinder the current high-performing SB primes and limit the Government's access to their services and products.	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
752	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>The draft RFP states: "Note that a provider and their corresponding CLINs may only be used for one Technical Mandatory Sub-area and cannot be duplicated within a given sub-area."</p> <p>Please confirm that where an OEM has products that cover multiple Category A - Mandatory Experience sub-areas, we are allowed to use that same OEM again in the applicable sub-area. For example, HPE manufactures IT Computer Systems/ Storage Devices (sub-area 1) as well as Networking Technology (sub-area 2). Please confirm we can propose their products in multiple applicable sub-areas.</p>	The RFP will clarify that you cannot use the same manufacturer in multiple areas to satisfy the mandatory requirements.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

751	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Ref A.3.6(b). We concur with the Government’s desire to minimize the proposal and review burden. To this end, we recommend further limiting the Mission Suitability Volume to 10 pages or less for each Category. Category B and C offerors will likely still be expected to respond with more depth and relevancy at the Task Order level – to do so at the MAC level is redundant.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
750	(b) Mandatory Experience	Ref A.3.7.1(b) states “A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas.” Based on the Industry Day discussions, we understand that the Government intends to reword the statement to read “Up to four (4) REPs for no less than four (4) of the mandatory experience sub-areas.” Please confirm that a single REP could meet the requirement by including at least four (4) mandatory experience sub areas.	This question is similar to question #748. Please see the answer to question #748.
749	A.1.42 ON-RAMP ACTIVITY	If a Contractor is awarded on SEWP VI as a Prime to support Category A activities (“Pass Through Reseller”), can they be on-ramped later after award to support Categories B and C (Development and Services) if they are able to provide past performance and certifications? If so, when would the Contractor be eligible for bidding in other Categories?”	An Offeror can compete for multiple categories. NASA reserves the right to conduct on-ramp activity as needed and determined by SEWP PMO data analytics demonstrating a low volume of Contract Holders being re-certified as a Small Business or advancement of industry or technology.
748	(b) Mandatory Experience	For large businesses, both Category A and Category B will require a minimum of 4 REPs valued at \$30M. Can a single REP be used for both categories assuming the work performed falls under the appropriate sub-areas?	This question is similar to question #5. Please see the answer to question #5.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

747	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>The size standards for NAICS 541512 is \$34 million. This dollar size threshold will place most small business in the large business category and disqualify them from bidding on Category B due to the current requirement of 4 REPs of \$30 million. Would you consider reducing the REP dollar threshold to \$10 million each or allow the 4 REPs to total \$30 million?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.</p>
746	<p>Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512</p>	<p>In line with the Government’s intent to maximize Small Business participation and to encourage Ordering Agencies to participate by including a broad range of NAICS at the Task Order level, we recommend the Government consider a NAICS for Categories B & C at the MAC level that allows for a higher revenue or employee count ceiling. For example, NAICS 541512 is limited to \$34M revenue, while NAICS 541330 (exception for Military and Aerospace) is \$47M. Individual TOs could then use NAICS with lower thresholds as applicable.</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question #745. Please see the answer to question #745.</p>
745	<p>Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512</p>	<p>In line with the Government’s intent to maximize Small Business participation and to encourage Ordering Agencies to participate by including a broad range of NAICS at the Task Order level, we recommend the Government consider a NAICS for Categories B & C at the MAC level that allows for a higher revenue or employee count ceiling. For example, NAICS 541512 is limited to \$34M revenue, while NAICS 541330 (exception for Military and Aerospace) is \$47M. Individual TOs could then use NAICS with lower thresholds as applicable.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

744	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Will set-asides be determined at the RFQ level based on the chosen NAICS from the list of applicable NAICS Codes per Category. If so will a company awarded in an Unrestricted contract have visibility to solicitations posted under NAICS in which they are registered as Small?	This question is similar to question #578. Please see the answer to question #578.
743	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Categories B & C, will the Government consider the relevant experience and past performance of an offeror's proposed subcontractors, regardless of whether the offeror is a CTA defined under FAR 9.601(1) or FAR 9.601(2)? The rationale is that all service-based GWACs allow for teaming/subcontractors, of which even the large system integrators rely on and would most likely come into play on most task orders post award.	This question is similar to question #564. Please see the answer to question #564.
742	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Will the Government consider extending past performance timeframe from 3 to 5 years from completion?	This question is similar to question #107. Please see the answer to question #107.
741	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	Would the Government consider releasing a Microsoft Word version of the RFP?	No.
740	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	We noticed that the NAICS code for the SEWP VI contract has been changed from 541519 to 541512. SEWP has historically been a products contract, so the new code of 541512 for 'Computer Systems Design Services' seems inconsistent. Could you explain the rationale for using a services NAICS code instead of a products code for this RFP? We're concerned this change may preclude mid-sized resellers and VARs who have traditionally participated in SEWP as product suppliers.	Any changes to NAICS codes will be reflected in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

739	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	If an agency specifies "Small Business" will the selection be similar to SEWP V, where "Small Business" will include SDVO, HUBZONE and WOSB by default?	Yes, if an agency specifies "Small Business" the selection will be similar to SEWP V; only the businesses that represent as Small for a given NAICS code will be reflected.
738	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>A.3.7.3(a)(5) Mission Suitability Volume, Subfactor A, states that, "Offerors identified as a Large Business shall provide technology solutions for all 6 technical sub-areas with each sub-area proposal consisting of a minimum of 2,000 CLINs in an excel document for the purpose of establishing an administrative database.</p> <p>Each CLIN shall have a unique part number and include provider price and UNSPSC code and remain available for purchase by the Government for at least the first year of the contract unless a proposed refreshment of that is approved. Overall, a minimum total of 12,000 proposed CLINs for the entire proposal is required. The proposal must include a suite of technology that covers at least 50% of the additional non-mandatory Technical sub-areas."</p> <p>Given the current rate of change of technology, supply chain issues, and customer needs, CLINs commonly evolve and change over a 12-month period. During the period between proposal submission and contract award, there is a strong likelihood that the 12,000 CLINs (per awardee) will need review and revision post award. We believe this places significant administrative burdens on the Government during the first year of contract performance.</p> <p>Will the Government consider adjusting the number of CLINS to 3,000 (500 per subcategory for a total of 3,000 across all categories)?</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

737	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	For Ability One is it something that is requested to be used post award? Or is it mandated that Ability One is used and report against it?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
736	A.1.30 SUPPLY CHAIN RISK	If and when the DOD implements CMMC certifications. Is it the SEWP PMO's intent to enforce or require any and all contract holders to be CMMC certified to bid on any DOD RFQ?	Yes.
735	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Would the NASA SEWP VI team consider providing regular opportunities throughout the life of the contract to on-ramping an organization that was awarded in one Category area but later meets the contract requirements of another Category area. Say an organization is awarded on Category A but expands its offerings in services and can meet the requirements of Category C. The opportunity to on-ramp throughout the life of the contract would be very beneficial for expanding competition and offerings in those areas.	NASA reserves the right to conduct on-ramp activity as needed and determined by SEWP PMO data analytics demonstrating a low volume of Contract Holders being re-certified as a Small Business or advancement of industry or technology.
734	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	Are there any OEMS that the government is looking for specifically? Or are you looking for LOAs from as many providers as possible that are listed in the Enclosure? Will having more providers be rated more favorably?	SEWP Is interested in potential Contract Holders having as many IT solutions as possible and services readily available for government use.
733	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Category C- IT Professional Services ICT and AV Services – NAICS 541512 Group C1 – Small Business Set Aside We respectfully request that this category be expanded to enable emerging large businesses to bid this category.	Thank you for your comment. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

732	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Please reconsider the usage of 541512 as the NAICS code for Category A. As the majority of this request is product, we would suggest 334111 is more appropriate. Using 334111 will also increase the competition of small businesses who would not be considered small under 541512.	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
731	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	How specific do the Past performance References needs to be?	The information required for Past performance is outlined in Section A.3.7.2(a).
730	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Can a GWAC such as SEWP at the contract level be used for either a REP or Past Performance or is a Delivery Order under the contract required?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
729	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	NAICS 541330. Please confirm that 541330, Exception 1, Exception 2, or Exception 3 is included with this listed NAICS.	The exceptions are not included.
728	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Please explain the difference between a REP and a Past Performance.	This question is similar to question #87. Please see the answer to question #87.
727	(b) Mandatory Experience	Relevant Experience Project – How long can the Period or Performance be for a REP?	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that Offerors shall furnish relevant experience projects that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent and be from a different requirement.
726	(b) Mandatory Experience	Category C – How will a small business have 3 REPs that would total over 90 million dollars and still be considered small under 541512?	The Final RFP is being revised for further clarity.
725	(b) Mandatory Experience	Category C – minimum of 3 REPs for each sub-area. Are you therefore requesting 30 examples?	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.
724	(b) Mandatory Experience	Category B – minimum of 4 REPs for each sub-area. Are you therefore requesting 40 examples?	This question is similar to question #211. Please see the answer to question #211.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

723	(b) Mandatory Experience	Category A: is there a requirement for different OEMs within the minimum 2,000 CLINS?	A provider and their corresponding CLINs may only be used for one Technical Mandatory Sub-area and cannot be duplicated within a given sub-area. Offerings from one OEM can be provided in all appropriate technical areas. However, the OEM can only be cited as the mandatory offering for one of those technical areas.
722	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Please reconsider the CMMI requirements for Category C. Obtaining CMMI status is very expensive and cumbersome for a Small Business. Consider requiring ISO 20000 which is a standard for the requirements of an IT service management system. ISO 20000 covers Information Security, whereas CMMI-SVC does not.	This question is similar to question #592. Please see the answer to question #592.
721	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Will the Government please provide a copy of Attachment D - In Scope SEWP VI UNSPSC Codes in Microsoft Excel?	Thank you for the suggestion, the Government will take it under consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
720	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Will the Government permit the use of 11 x 17 pages to accommodate larger graphics (e.g., organizational charts, schedules, CONOPS)? If so, will these pages count as one or two pages?	Electronic foldout pages count as an equivalent number of 8-1/2" x 11" pages.
719	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Will the Government permit a Glossary of Acronyms to be included within the volumes. If so, will the Government please exclude the Glossary of Acronyms from page count?	This question is similar to question #106. Please see the answer to question #106.
718	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Will the Government permit the submission of a cover letter? If so, will the Government permit the document to be included as part of Volume I and exclude from page count or provide instruction regarding the preferred location?	This question is similar to question #717. Please see the answer to question #717.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

717	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Will the Government permit a cover page for Volume I? If so, will the Government please confirm that this will be excluded from page count?	A Cover Page for Offer Volume I is not part of the Proposal Component- Offer Volume I. A Cover Page is only allowed for Past Performance Volume II, Technical Approach Volume III-A and Management Approach Volume III-B.
716	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Will the Government permit a minimum of 10 pt. font for graphic captions?	Reference the answer provided to question #191. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
715	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Will the Government permit a minimum of 10 pt. font for headers and footers?	Reference the answer provided to question #191. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
714	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will the Government please confirm that HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors are required to only submit 2 REPs for each mandatory experience sub-area for Category C?	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.
713	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can the Government clarify pricing of labor/services? Should they be bundled into increments of hours, months or even years?	Pricing of products, labor/ services will occur at the order level.
712	(b) Mandatory Experience	Reference: Category A: The proposed offeror shall provide an excel document reflecting at minimum 2,000 different CLINs with solutions for each sub-area along with the pricing. Is it the Government's intent for the contractor to include labor pricing in these CLINs? If not, will the Government please provide additional guidance regarding the requirements for the 2,000 different CLINs?"	A full suite of technology solutions from the designated provider should be proposed and must constitute at least 2,000 CLINs if the offeror is a large business and 1,000 CLINs if the offeror is a small business in each of the proposed Areas on Exhibit 3 reflecting the different CLINs with the pricing, not inclusive of any associated costs for shipping or payment methods.
711	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Will the Government consider accepting the CMMI certification from a subcontractor?	This question is similar to question #580. Please see the answer to question #580.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

710	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>Section III., page 79</p> <p>The first paragraph of the Instructions states: All offerors that are not small businesses must submit a subcontracting plan. Offerors are reminded that FAR clause 52.219-9, "Small Business Subcontracting Plan" of this solicitation states (CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT STATUTES OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS-COMMERCIAL ITEMS), at the conclusion of paragraph (c), that "Failure to submit and negotiate the subcontracting plan shall make the offeror ineligible for award of a contract".</p> <p>Please confirm which volume this Small Business Subcontracting Plan should be submitted, and page count, if any.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #388. Please see the answer to question #388.</p>
709	<p>A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME</p>	<p>A.3.7.2</p> <p>An Offeror's past performance record indicates the relevant qualitative aspects performing services or delivering products similar in content and scope to the requirements of this acquisition. The Offeror shall provide, at a minimum, the following information in support of its proposal to facilitate the evaluation of the offeror's past performance as related to the requirements of the proposed contract. An Offeror that fails to provide the minimum requirements of the past performance volume will result in the contractor being excluded from competition.</p> <p>Please confirm CTA members may provide a recent similar contract for the Past Performance Volume</p>	<p>The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

708	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>A.3.7.1, A.3.7.1(b)</p> <p>A.3.7.1 states that “If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this order, a copy of the agreement must be provided. The CTA should include the names of the team members and a description of the responsibilities of each team member.”</p> <p>Category B: A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template</p> <p>Category C: A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>Please confirm CTA members may be used to satisfy the REPS for Mandatory Experience Requirements</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
-----	--------------------------	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

707	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>A.3.7.1(b)</p> <p>Category B: A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template</p> <p>Category C: A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>For Category B and C, may a REP be used multiple times to cover more than one Mandatory Experience Sub-area. (i.e., contract 12345, with ABC government agency customer, has work that covers Area 1, 4, and 7 of Category B). Should we duplicate Exhibit 1 REP template for each area, or describe all 3 areas in a single REP template?</p>	This question is similar to question #5. Please see the answer to question #5.
706	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	<p>A.3.7.1, A.3.7.1(a)</p> <p>A.3.7.1 states that “If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this order, a copy of the agreement must be provided. The CTA should include the names of the team members and a description of the responsibilities of each team member.”</p> <p>Please confirm that a CTA member may be used to satisfy A.3.7.1(a) ISO 9001 or CMMI requirements</p>	Please see the answer to question #230.
705	A.1.6 GSFC 52.217-92 EFFECTIVE ORDERING PERIOD (JAN 2014)	As SEWP VI will be a 10-year contract, will the Government clarify if/how the re-representation requirement (FAR 52.219-28) will apply?	Contract Holders are required to re-represent their size standard IAW FAR 52.219-28.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

704	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p style="text-align: center;">A.3.7.1</p> <p>“If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this order, a copy of the agreement must be provided. The CTA should include the names of the team members and a description of the responsibilities of each team member.”</p> <p>Please specify what type of CTA is required? FAR 9.601(1), or FAR 9.601(2)</p>	An Offeror can consider either a CTA as defined by FAR 9.601(1), or FAR 9.601(2).
703	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	At the top of the page of exhibit 1, it states “Refer to Section A.3.5.1 (b) of the solicitation for further information.” We cannot locate Section A.3.5.1(b). Is this a correct reference?	This question is similar to question #246. Please see the answer to question #246.
702	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Regarding Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ), “The questionnaire respondent shall be a representative from the technical customer and responsible Contracting Officer with direct knowledge of your firm's performance.” Is a single PPQ from either the customer technical rep or responsible CO acceptable OR is the Government expecting two PPQs for each contract (one each from the tech rep and CO)?	The RFP will be revised for further clarity to reflect only one questionnaire is required per past performance reference.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

701	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>“Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of relevant experience project. The information shall match the past performance information with the relevant experience identified in paragraph (a)(13) of this section. Offerors are advised that the matrix is a summary of the referenced contracts identified in paragraph (a)(13) above. The required matrix information below is only provided as an example. In the first column of matrix, insert the Contract Identifier – either a contract number, customer name, or other unique identifier that clearly identifies the contract and matches it with the past performance information submitted pursuant to the instructions of paragraph (a)(13).” Are the references to “(a)(13)” correct and, if so, where can “(a)(13)” be found?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #77. Please see the answer to question #77.</p>
700	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>“The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror:” By “all past/current contract references,” does this only include the required up to 3 most recent similar contracts being cited for past performance or all similar scope efforts meeting the above criteria performed by the prime offeror (and/or affiliate) within the past 3 years?</p>	<p>As stated in A.3.7.2.(a) Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent. The submission shall include information addressing 1-12.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

699	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Offerors may provide past performance of affiliate company. Then goes on to state "The offeror shall provide the following information on all past/current contract references that meet the above criteria for the prime offeror:" By "prime offeror," are we correct to understand this also includes affiliate company(ies)?	No, Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror where the Offeror's proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror.
698	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For Small Businesses proposing in Categories A & C, contracts must have average annual value of at least \$500k and, for Category B, a minimum average annual value of at least \$1M. Is this average annual value based on expenditures to date or total contract value?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

697	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	<p>During the afternoon session of the October 18, 2023 Industry Day, Joanne Woytek indicated that Technical Approach requirements that were listed for Categories A and B was done in error. Can the government confirm if the following requirements on page 93 of the Draft RFP will be required for Category B?</p> <p>For Categories A and B (only):</p> <p>2. The offeror shall provide a list identifying the providers for which the offeror is an approved reseller and provide the full suite of products and services from each identified provider for the mandatory sub-area. A list of approved SEWP Providers is provided as Enclosure 1. Offerors should not limit their offerings to only the companies listed in Enclosure 1. Offerors shall include the letters of authorization for each mandatory Subarea provider and OEM point of contact who can verify that information.</p> <p>3. If the offeror is the OEM, then that is equivalent to the offeror being an Approved Reseller and the POC information should note a POC within that offeror's company. The POC must be a US Citizen, and identifiable as either the provider's federal sales representative or equivalent. A valid phone, email and physical address must be provided. The Government reserves the right to verify the POC is in fact a current representative for the provider and authorized to</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
696	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can an Offeror use a Large Agency/Enterprise-Wide REP for a Category C REP and, vice versa, a Mission/Program level REP for a Category B REP?	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect a REP provided by an Offeror shall best meet the mandatory experience technical area for the category being proposed.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

695	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Categories B and C, each project used as an REP must have a minimum of \$30M in total value size. Would the Government consider significantly reducing this threshold for Small Business offerors to accommodate greater small business participation, especially by those in socioeconomic categories (e.g., WOSB, SDVOSB, 8a, HUBZone)?	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.
694	(b) Mandatory Experience	Is there a recency requirement and/or minimum performance period for the Category B and C REPs?	This question is similar to question #376. Please see the answer to question #376.
693	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Categories B and C, relevant experience from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or considered. This is a limiting factor to competition, especially for small businesses. Would the Government reconsider removing this restriction?	This question is similar to question #564. Please see the answer to question #564.
692	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	8(a) Joint Ventures can submit an offer. Will the Government clarify what is acceptable in terms of the JV entity and its venture partners in satisfying Section L requirements (e.g., certifications, mandatory experience, past performance)? (For instance, if submitting an offer as a Mentor/Protégé 8a JV, can the ISO 9001/CMMI certifications as well as the Categories B and C Mandatory Experience and Past Performance be satisfied by the JV entity and/or either member of the JV? Can either venturer use affiliate company(ies) for Past Performance? Does Past Performance History include the JV entity and/or each JV member?)	This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer to question #67.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

691	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Requirement states to “Provide information addressing all of the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume).” FAR 9.104-1 has seven elements. Are we correct to understand financial resources, integrity and business ethics, controls and technical skills, equipment and facilities, and qualified/eligible to receive an award would then be addressed here in Vol I (Offer) while delivery/performance schedule and performance record would be addressed by Vol II (Past Performance)?</p>	The information in support of FAR 9.104 is to be provided in the Offer Vol I.
690	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	To give Agencies full flexibility for use of large businesses, would the government consider adding Technical Area 10c: In-Scope Training to Category B?	This question is similar to question #687. Please see the answer to question #687.
689	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Will the government please define what they mean by "ancillary products" in this context?	This question is similar to question #674. Please see the answer to question #674.
688	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	The proposal content table for the Management Approach Volume does not align with A.3.7.3 (b), Management Approach (Subfactor B) on pg 94. For instance, the proposal content table refers to a Phase-In Plan and Small Business Utilization while the Management Approach (Subfactor B) section refers to Product and Services Diversity, Supply Chain Management, Sustainability, and Program Management. Will the Government clarify the requirements?	Thank you this was a typo and is not required, the final RFP will be updated to remove reference of a phase-In Plan and Small Business Utilization.
687	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	To give Agencies full flexibility for use of large businesses, would the government consider adding Technical Area 9c: Database Services to Category B?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

686	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	The NAICS tables for Categories A, B, and C have an asterisk denoting those codes requiring use of Ability One as subcontractors. These are not exactly the same codes as those identified in A.1.35 on pg 59 or Attachment H (block 10). Will the Government clarify which NAICS require use of Ability One subcontractors?	The Final RFP will be revised for clarity.
685	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Section A.1.2 identifies Technical Areas for each Category along with Sub-areas specifically for the Category A Technical Areas. Will the Government be sure to clarify in Section L when it is referring to Technical Area(s) vs Sub-areas?	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
684	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Even though Category B is for services, does the government want the offeror to demonstrate product relationships aligned to all 10 sub-areas?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity, as the offeror should respond based on the instructions provided within Section A.1.3.
683	(b) Mandatory Experience	Recommend that similar language from the A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume regarding meaningful involvement of affiliated companies be added to the Mandatory Experience requirement. Allowing meaningful involvement in past performance and not in mandatory experience is not consistent with the Government's approach and could create a significant barrier to competition for small businesses.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
682	A.1.10 FAR 52.216-19 ORDER LIMITATIONS. (OCT 1995)	Is it expected that orders will exceed the \$11M combination of items value as it relates to Category C – IT Professional Services?	This question is similar to question #681. Please see the answer to question #681.
681	A.1.10 FAR 52.216-19 ORDER LIMITATIONS. (OCT 1995)	Is it expected that orders will exceed the \$11M combination of items value as it relates to Category B Enterprise-wide IT Solutions?	This is determined at the order level.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

680	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Will the Government please clarify what information is deemed acceptable and provide a list of acceptable supporting documents to address the requirements under the FAR 9.104 provision?	This question is similar to question #251. Please see the answer to question #251.
679	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Will the Government please consider removing the CMMI certification requirement as this requirement may exclude certain small businesses from bidding?	This question is similar to question #30. Please see the answer to question #30.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>678</p>	<p>A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE</p>	<p>Would the Government please update the NAICS in accordance with the SBA Table of Size Standards effective 19 DEC 2022?</p> <p>541512-Computer Systems Design Services is now 511210</p> <p>335139-Stage Lighting Equipment Manufacturing is now called Electric Lamp Bulb and Other Lighting Equipment Manufacturing</p> <p>334515 Battery testers, electrical, manufacturing is now 335910 Battery Manufacturing</p> <p>335312 Storage battery chargers (except internal combustion engine-type) manufacturing is now called Motor and Generator Manufacturing</p> <p>516210-Subscription Services, Video On Demand, Television Networks is now called Media Streaming Distribution Services, Social Networks, and Other Media Networks and Content Providers</p> <p>518210-Computing Infrastructure Providers, Data Processing, Web Hosting, and Related Services is now 541511</p> <p>519290-Web Search Portals is now called Web Search Portals and All Other Information Services</p> <p>541511 Custom Computer Programming Services is now 511210</p> <p>541512-Computer Systems Design Services is now 511210</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #78. Please see the answer to question #78.</p>
------------	--	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

677	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	The designated NAICS for Categories A, B, and C is listed as 541512. Section A.1.34 (pgs 57-59) goes on to list other NAICS associated with each of these categories. Must an Offeror be a small business under NAICS 541512 to submit an offer and qualify for a Small Business Set Aside award under Groups A2 (Cat A), B2 (Cat B), and/or C1 (Cat C)?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
676	(b) Mandatory Experience	During the industry day on October 18th, the staff indicated that offerors should pick 4 REPs, align each of them to one sub-area for a total of 4 REPS and 4 sub-areas, and that would suffice. Based on the Draft RFP statement, it would indicate that an offeror could pick up to 10 REPs to align to each of the sub-areas. What is the minimum alignment to the sub-areas that the government will consider for pass through to Phase 2?	The final RFP will be updated for clarity. Category B: For Other than Small Businesses: A minimum total of four (4) REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas. For Small Businesses (including prime small business offerors and first tier Subcontractor, if applicable): A total of three (3) REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas. For HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a, SDB offerors (inclusive of first-tier subcontractors, if applicable): A total of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience technical areas. Category C: For Small Businesses (inclusive of first-tier subcontractors, if applicable): A total of three (3) REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas. For HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a, SDB offerors (inclusive of first-tier subcontractors, if applicable): A total of 2 REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas.
675	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Pgs. 94-95. How do offerors address the All Categories (iii-v) if we are not providing products and only professional services?	This section will be re-written in the RFP to provide clearer details and information.
674	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Pg. 94. Paragraph ii, for Category B & C, it states, "The Offeror shall describe ancillary products required for performance of specific tasks..." If offerors are only providing services, how do we respond to the ancillary products?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

673	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Pg. 93. For paragraphs 2-4, how do offerors respond if we are not reselling products for Category B?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
672	(b) Mandatory Experience	Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience file. If offerors are required to provide the project PWS, is it considered part of the page count for the REPs?	No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template. The Final RFP will be revised for clarity.
671	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	To reduce the burden on small businesses bidding on Group B and C to develop multiple proposals, to reduce the number of proposals the government has to review for SEWP VI, to reduce the number of Categories the government has to manage after award, and to make the process of selecting a Category and Group easier for SEWP VI customers, would the government consider combining Categories B and C into one (1) category? We suggest the scope of the combined category be inclusive of the current scope for B and C as outlined in Attached A. We also suggest the Groups under this combined Category be: Group B1- Unrestricted Group B2- Small Business Set Aside	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
670	(b) Mandatory Experience	Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience file. Part III states “You may also highlight or tag the location in the supporting documents...” Are offerors required to provide copies of the project PWS to demonstrate our work? If so, it is not addressed in the Instructions to Offerors in the DRFP.	This question is similar to question #526. Please see the answer to question #526.
669	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Pg. 91. Under the Content Representative Areas, there are only 10 areas listed for Category B and C. In the Scope of Work, there are 11 areas listed on pg. 32 and pg. 35. Will Program Management/Ancillary Services be added to the Content Representative Areas?	This question is similar to question #665. Please see the answer to question #665.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

668	A.4.5 Prospective Contractor Responsibility	<p>Will the Government please remove the requirement of an “Other than Small Business” to provide a “Commercial Small Business Subcontracting Plan” in lieu of an Individual Small Business Subcontracting Plan? Many other than small businesses do not hold a commercial subcontracting plan and therefore would provide an individual subcontracting plan in response to this solicitation in accordance with FAR 52.219-9. Utilization of an individual subcontracting plan will allow for more accurate projections of small business utilization under this contract, as well as more accurate tracking of performance against goals on the SEWP VI GWAC.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #279. Please see the answer to question #279.</p>
667	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Pg. 87, Category B & C. Please confirm our understanding that for SBs proposing in Category B and Category C any REPs or PP references must come from the SB prime and cannot come from any teammates they may have for SEWP VI.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #3. Please see the answer to question #3.</p>
666	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Pg. 87, Category C. Under the Mandatory Experience Sub-areas, there are only 10 areas listed. In the Scope of Work, there are 11 areas listed on pg. 35. Will Program Management/Ancillary Services be added to the Mandatory Experience Sub-areas?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #665. Please see the answer to question #665.</p>
665	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Pg. 87, Category B. Under the Mandatory Experience Sub-areas, there are only 10 areas listed. In the Scope of Work, there are 11 areas listed on pg. 32. Will Program Management/Ancillary Services be added to the Mandatory Experience Sub-areas?</p>	<p>Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

664	(b) Mandatory Experience	Pg. 87, Category C. The Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) Industry Day slides for Category C stated \$2M value for Small Business and HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB. The DRFP states “each project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size...” Please clarify the project value for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB.	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.
663	(b) Mandatory Experience	Pg. 87, Category B. The REPs Industry Day slide stated \$5M value for Small Business REPs. The DRFP does not address the value of Small Business REPs. Please clarify the project minimum total dollar value for REPs from Small Business offerors.	The Final RFP will be updated for clarity.
662	A.1.7 AUTHORIZE CONTRACT TYPES	Many current NASA IT service acquisitions are cost plus. To give NASA full flexibility for use of the SEWP VI GWAC, would the government consider adding Cost Plus Fixed Fee (CPFF) and Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF) as contract types?	No, SEWP VI is a commercial contract utilizing commercial contract types.
661	(b) Mandatory Experience	Pg. 87, Category B. The Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) Industry Day slides for Category B showed Small Business requires 3 REPs (from 3 of 10 Mandatory areas). The DRFP does not address how many REPs are required from Small Business. Please clarify the minimum number of REPs are required for Small Business offerors.	For Small Businesses (including prime small business offerors and first tier Subcontractor, if applicable): A total of three (3) REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas. For HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a, SDB offerors (inclusive of first- tier subcontractors, if applicable): A total of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience technical areas. An update will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

660	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	Our understanding is that "mission level implementations" means that task orders under Category C will be less than \$10M. Can the government please confirm?	This question is similar to question #657. Please see the answer to question #657.
659	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	To reduce cost for industry, will there be a standard list of evaluated criteria for RFQs under Category C? If yes, what will that include? For example, Key Personnel, past performance, pricing, staffing plan, management approach, and technical approach.	This question is similar to question #658. Please see the answer to question #658.
658	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	To reduce cost for industry, will there be a standard list of evaluated criteria for RFQs under Category B? If yes, what will that include? For example, Key Personnel, past performance, pricing, staffing plan, management approach, and technical approach.	The instruction to Offerors is provided in Section III and how Offerors will be evaluated is found in Section IV.
657	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Our understanding is that "large agency/enterprise-wide implementations" means that task orders under Category B will be multi-year and \$10M+. Can the government please confirm?	There is no specific requirement in terms of size or dollar amount. That determination will be made by individual issuing agencies.
656	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Does the statement for duplication or replica apply only to same proposals in the same Category and socio-economic designation, i.e., a large business submitting under unrestricted and a small business MP SBA approved JV that the large business is mentor submitting for SB set-aside will not be disqualified for award if both proposals are highly similar?	As stated in Section A.3.6(b)(6) Any proposal found to be a duplication or replica of another offeror (company) or have a section that is duplication or replica of another offeror (company) will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award and will not be evaluated by the Government.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

655	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	At the Industry Day held on October 18, 2023, Small Businesses Offerors were told that in an upcoming revision to the RFP, they will not have to have ISO 9001 and CMMI certifications, as applicable, at the time of proposal submittal, but they do have to be in process, and certification(s) achieved within 12 months. Additionally, per the Cover Letter issued with the Draft RFP, the current anticipated contract award date is October 2024, with a May 1, 2025, contract effective date. Will the Government please confirm that Small Business Offerors must have their ISO 9001 and CMMI certifications, as applicable, within 12 months of the contract effective date?	This question is similar to question #105. Please see the answer to question #105.
654	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	At the Industry Day held on October 18, 2023, Small Businesses Offerors were told that in an upcoming revision to the RFP, they will not have to have ISO 9001 and CMMI certifications, as applicable, at the time of proposal submittal, but they do have to be in process, and certification(s) achieved within 12 months. Will Small Business Offerors be required to submit anything within their proposal showing certification(s) are in process, and if so, will the Government please provide what information will be required?	This question is similar to question #586. Please see the answer to question #586.
653	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	If Offerors are to address the A.3.7.2 requirements in addition to the Exhibit 1 attachment, Industry recommends increasing the Past Performance Volume page count from 10 pages to 20 pages.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

652	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	The Government provided Exhibit 1, Relevant Experience Project Table, and indicated on the form that Offerors are restricted to no more than 3 pages per reference. In Draft RFP Section A.3.7.2 (Pages 89 & 90) the Government also provides an extensive list of requirements Offerors shall address within the volume. Can the Government clarify whether Offerors are to address the A.3.7.2 requirements within the Exhibit 1 form or shall they be provided separately?	The Final RFP will be revised for further Clarity.
651	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	The Government provided Exhibit 2, Past Performance Questionnaire, and indicated that Offerors should have each of its references returned the completed form. For those contracts who have CPARs accessible in PPIRS, are Offerors permitted to submit CPARs in lieu of questionnaires?	This question is similar to question #161. Please see the answer to question #161.
650	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Can the Government provide further explanation on the ordering process for service-only RFQs under Categories B and C?	Ordering COs will submit solicitations to contract holders using the SEWP RFQ tool for contract holders to respond to bids. Any further detail regarding the ordering process will be provided at the time of onboarding successful SEWP VI awardees.
649	A.1.27 NFS 1852.232-80 SUBMISSION OF VOUCHERS FOR PAYMENT. (APR 2018)- NASA TASK ORDERS ONLY	Are there established contract reporting requirements or template formats utilized today? Are requirements defined within the current SEWP contract that can be reviewed by potential vendors?	IAW A.1.27 the Contractor shall submit on NASA Task Orders only all vouchers and invoices using the steps described at NSSC's Vendor Payment information Web site at: https://www.nssc.nasa.gov/vendorpayment .
648	A.1.26 CONTRACTOR COLLECTION OF AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING FEE	Please note that as currently drafted the administrative handling fee appears to only apply to SEWP VI.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

647	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	After the NASA SEWP VI Industry Day, we ask that the 4 REPs that need to be one sub area are provided more page count to allow for adequate responses. The concern is the current format appears to be for self-scoring and are designed for another source selection approach. We respectfully request that the page allocation per REP be increased to 5 pages.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
646	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Would the NASA team consider the O-TTPS certification as an alternative or replacement to the CMMI certification?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
645	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Contract Holder Users Manual (CHUM): Pages 16-17:</p> <p>The CHUM specifies 3 types of quote request types:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Request-For-Quote (RFQ) 2. Request-For-Information (RFI) 3. Market-Research-Request (MRR) <p>Can the government provide samples of each type of request, including detailed information about the system(s) currently in use to request and collect this data?</p>	Thank you for your comment, however we will not be providing samples.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

644	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	We respectfully request a change from "3 years of the solicitation due date to be considered recent" to 5 years. As a small business we have relevant past performance as well as Excellent and Very Good CPARS reviews as a Prime contractor, however our past performance does not meet the current timeline. We qualify for all three categories and have both ISO 9001:2015 and CMMI SVC/3 certifications.	This question is similar to question #451. Please see the answer to question #451.
643	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Please confirm that small businesses from the list of relevant NAICS codes may compete for a contract as small, and not just small businesses under NAICS 541512.	That is correct. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
642	(b) Mandatory Experience	We recommend reducing the minimum project value for Mandatory Experience projects under Category C be reduced to \$5M. Requiring three projects of \$30M will make it very difficult for even the most experienced small businesses to qualify, since \$30M is a substantial size for projects for services. Can the Government consider reducing the minimum to \$5M, which will still demonstrate the ability to support a larger more complex project but would be more realistic for a small business?	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.
641	(b) Mandatory Experience	May a bundle of task orders, all awarded under a single IDIQ, be used as a single mandatory experience project?	This question is similar to question #69. Please see the answer to question #69.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

640	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience for Category C requires 3 Reps of \$30M each (reduced to 2 REPS of \$30M each for socioeconomic offers)</p> <p>But A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume For Small Businesses proposing in Categories A & C- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an average annual value of \$500,000 (Five Hundred Thousand) for size to be rated relevant (Moderate).</p> <p>It seems incongruous that Past Performance only needs to be \$500K but REPs need to be \$30M. Shouldn't a small business project that qualifies for past performance at \$500K also qualify as a REP? Why is the REP value standard 60x higher?</p>	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.
639	<p>II. FAR 52.212-5 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT STATUTES OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS—COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (JUN 2023)</p>	<p>52.212-5 CONTRACT TERMS and CONDITIONS has not selected 52.225-1, 52.225-3, or 52.225-5. How are these clauses excluded when at least one is required by 25.1101? Are these to be inserted according to contract value and set aside at the task order level? If so, that should be clearly stated.</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
638	<p>A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE</p>	<p>Should include the following NAICS: 541519 - Other Computer Related Services</p> <p>This is often used for Audio Visual Maintenance Services.</p>	This question is similar to question #462. Please see the answer to question #462.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

637	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	<p>Technical Area 5a: A/V EQUIPMENT AND ACCESSORIES</p> <p>Should include:</p> <p>Video Walls (LCD and LED)</p> <p>Visualization systems</p> <p>Stereoscopic and 3D Visual Systems</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
636	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	<p>Technical Area 5a: A/V EQUIPMENT AND ACCESSORIES</p> <p>seems to be missing: digital sound processors (DSP), amplifiers, ceiling or wall speakers</p> <p>(only mention of speakers in Category A is computer speakers)</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the final RFP.
635	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will NASA SEWP accept a Letter of Authorization (LOA) from the OEM issued to the parent company of the entity submitting a response? Or does the LOA must be from the OEM and issued to the entity responding to the RFP?	The Final RFP will be revised accordingly for further clarity.
634	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	In this section it states for large business a minimum total of 12,000 proposed CLINs for the entire proposal is required. The proposal must include a suite of technology that covers at least 50% of the additional non-mandatory Technical sub-areas. However, on page 87, (b) Mandatory Experience Category B states the 12,000 CLINs , 2000 per sub area is required for the Mandatory sub areas. It is recommended that a smaller number of CLINs, suggestion 50 or under total. If the CLINs are for the Mandatory Experience what is required for the non-mandatory technical sub areas?	This question is similar to question #459. Please see the answer to question #459.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

633	(b) Mandatory Experience	This section states a proposed offeror shall provide a minimum of four (4) REPs that have a minimum of \$30M in total value size for a single order or contract for each mandatory sub area. Is it correct to assume that the minimum total of REPs to meet all 10 sub-areas would be 40 for the Sample Category B Mandatory Experience requirement? If this assumption is correct we would recommend a smaller number of REPs, 5 total. Also is there a time frame that the REPs need to be performed?	This question is similar to question #376. Please see the answer to question #376.
632	(b) Mandatory Experience	This section states a proposed offeror shall provide an excel document reflecting at minimum 2,000 different CLINs with solutions for each sub-area which would add up to 12,000 CLINs in total. It is recommended that a smaller number of CLINs, suggestion 50 or under total. Also is there a time frame that the CLINs need to be performed?	This will be clarified in the final RFP, however, please note that the current SEWP catalog is almost 4 million unique line items.
631	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Are Joint Venture (JV) that are not 8(a) JV allowed to submit a proposal for NASA SEWP VI? For example Large Business and 8(a)/SB JV or a group of non-SB JV.	Joint ventures are not excluded from competing.
630	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	Are contractors allowed to reference other volumes for cross referencing or is each volume a stand alone volume?	Each volume is a stand-alone volume.
629	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	The Mission Suitability Volume III, Technical Approach Volume III-A table shows 90 Pages under Page Limitations column however the * note states only 30 Pages required per category. Please clarify the 90 Pages?	90 pages refers to the maximum number of pages if 3 proposals are submitted - 30 pages for Category A; 30 pages for Category B; and 30 pages for Category C.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

628	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	This section states "Note: Instructions for how to submit proposals will be provided at the time of the final solicitation release". We recommend that the government release another DRFP prior to the release of the Final RFP with this section completed so contractors can review and provide comments.	Thank you for your comment. Note that Offerors will have the opportunity to provide comments when the final RFP is released.
627	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	Will NASA please release the contact information of industry attendees for teaming purposes, including name, email, and or phone number?	The List of attendees to Industry Day is published on the SEWP VI Home page.
626	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	This technical area is covered in Category C, Technical Area 6c, we recommend deleting the requirement from Category B. It aligns more in Category C since it is more focused on Mission as opposed to an Enterprise Agency service.	This question is a duplicate of question #611. Please see the answer to question #611.
625	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Both the Technical Area 4a SUPPORTING TECHNOLOGY/SECURITY TECHNOLOGY and Technical Area 5a A/V EQUIPMENT AND ACCESSORIES, include the Mandatory Sub-area Security monitoring and control systems. We recommend that Mandatory Sub-area Security monitoring and control systems be deleted from Technical Area 5a A/V EQUIPMENT AND ACCESSORIES since its requirements are more aligned to Technical Area 4a SUPPORTI	This is a typo and will be clarified in the final RFP.
624	A.1.9 FAR 52.216-18 ORDERING (AUG 2020)	Recommendation: Add into RFP a requirement for customers to utilize the AWARD option on the SEWP CHOP once they award.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into final RFP.
623	A.1.9 FAR 52.216-18 ORDERING (AUG 2020)	Recommendation: Add into RFP a requirement that after award of an RFQ customers reference which RFQ the award is based on.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into final RFP.
622	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	For Category A and B, Contractor recommends that the Government delete the requirement for CMMI certification to provide a more competitive environment.	This question is similar to question #30. Please see the answer to question #30.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

621	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	<p>For Category B and C, Contractor suggests that offerors be permitted to submit and take credit for CMMI certifications held by corporate affiliates, such as parents and subsidiaries, that will meaningfully participate in the offeror's SEWP VI performance. Many contractors utilize separate divisions for its services/development offerings to customers that have different processes and certifications specific to their operation. This revision would allow offerors to reach across their organization to provide complete solutions to the government without having to submit multiple SEWP VI proposals for each division.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #519. Please see the answer to question #519.</p>
620	A.1.28 INVOICES – SUBMISSION OF	<p>Typically for Category A and B would not be invoiced monthly and the contract allows for a variety of variables which would put a hardship on the SEWP PMO and the Contractor. Contractors which utilize the SEWP Contract as a primary contract vehicle may have in excess of 30,000 orders, and with the ability to partial invoice will create # times the volume of orders. As a result the contractor would need to modify their billing systems to accommodate this additional requirement which will increase the costs associated with managing this contract. Please provide an explanation why the Contractor will be required to submit invoices to the SEWP PMO for Category A order award value at \$6 Million and over and all Category B and C awards.</p>	<p>The Final RFP will be revised for clarity.</p>
619	A.1.27 NFS 1852.232-80 SUBMISSION OF VOUCHERS FOR PAYMENT. (APR 2018)- NASA TASK ORDERS ONLY	<p>The DRFP is not a Cost Type contract, whereas the clause is incorporating breakdown of labor costs. This contractor suggests that this clause be removed.</p>	<p>This clause is applicable to all contract types, not just cost-type contracts.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

618	A.1.26 CONTRACTOR COLLECTION OF AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING FEE	The DRFP introduces the ability for customers to negotiate a fee cap. Is there a fee cap? If so, what is the fee cap, and how is this communicated/negotiated with the customer and does it require preapproval from the SEWP PMO? Furthermore, how will this be received on Quarterly fee reporting?	The clause leaves open the option for the program office to provide different fee schema. Currently the fee is a flat fee and that is anticipated to be the same throughout SEWP VI.
617	A.1.26 CONTRACTOR COLLECTION OF AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING FEE	Please confirm the fee % is .34% not 3/4% of total price.	Please refer to the answer to question 205.
616	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Do the REPs need to be within 3 years?	This question is similar to question #376. Please see the answer to question #376.
615	A.1.17 NFS 1852.246-72 MATERIAL INSPECTION AND RECEIVING REPORT (APR 2015)	Should the DD250 be noted on each order as a requirement? If not, this contractor recommends that commercial packing slips be attached to the outside of the packages being delivered, as this is standard commercial practice, unless otherwise stated on each order.	This question is similar to question #154. Please see the answer to question #154.
614	A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 REPORTS OF WORK (IDIQ/BPA) (MAR 2022)	Is this requirement for all categories, or is it limited to Category C - IT Professional Services as mentioned on Page 32? Recommendation: Limit the requirement for Reports of Work to only Category C - IT Professional Services.	The Final RFP is being revised for clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

613	A.1.23 TECHNOLOGY REFRESHMENT	<p>Is it the intention that contractors provide a breakout of their cost and rates for non-product related CLINs?</p> <p>If yes, we recommend that this requirement be broken out based on the different Categories. For Category A and B only specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers 1) Travel and 2) Credit would apply since they are product related categories. For Category C all specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers would apply since Category C involves mostly non-product related services.</p>	<p>There is no statement requiring contractors provide a breakout of their cost and rates for non-product related CLINs however the Government may require more detailed information on an as needed basis.</p>
612	<p>FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)</p>	<p>SEWP's previous contract structure required CLINs for all products and services associated with an item to be sold on SEWP. How is the contractor and the SEWP PMO to differentiate material or other direct cost (ODC), that are to be sold at actual cost which would utilize the GOVERNMENTAL - Z, ODC - Z, OVERHEAD - Z, OVERHEAD - Z, AND GENERAL ADMINISTRATION - Z CLINS (pages 48 and 49), unless the Contractor has Government approved rates, which are typically audited by the Government?</p>	<p>The rates will be determined at the order level.</p>
611	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	<p>Attachment A Statement of Work: Scope Category Descriptions - A.3.2 Area 10b</p> <p>This technical area is covered in Category C, Technical Area 6c, we recommend deleting the requirement from Category B. It aligns more in Category C since it is more focused on Mission as opposed to an Enterprise Agency service.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be included in the Final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

610	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment A Statement of Work: Scope Category Descriptions - A.3.2 and A.3.3 Category B and C are similar with the only difference in the reading the task area is Category B is Agency oriented open to Small Businesses (SB) and Unrestricted and Category C is Mission oriented open only to SB. We recommend that you also include mission level support in Category B so customers are also able to order either, agency or mission level support depending on their requirements.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be included in the Final RFP.
609	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment C SEWP Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM) - Small Business Jobs Act of 2013 This contractor suggests that this paragraph be re-examined and corrected to comply with SEWP VI vs. SEWP V. For example SEWP VI no longer includes Group D.	This question is similar to question #608. Please see the answer to question #608.
608	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment C SEWP Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM) - NAICS Codes This contractor suggests that this paragraph be re-examined and corrected to comply with SEWP VI vs. SEWP V. For example SEWP VI no longer includes Group D.	The CHUM will be revised for the RFP.
607	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment C SEWP Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM) - Sharing of RFQ Information Suggest that SEWP add the ability for Contract Holders to utilize a 3rd party service to automate their ability to receive and respond to RFQ's issued via the CHOP.	The CHUM will be revised for the RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

606	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	<p>Attachment C SEWP Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM) - Variably Priced CLINs</p> <p>There is an inconsistency between the CHUM and the solicitation Section A.1.23.1 Technology Refreshment. Section A.1.23.1 includes 3 Additional CLIN's, ODC-Z, OVERHEAD-Z, and GENERAL & ADMINISTRATION - Z.</p> <p>Please ensure consistency between the Solicitation/resulting award, and the CHUM.</p>	The CHUM will be revised for the RFP.
605	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	<p>Attachment C SEWP Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM) - Fee Calculation</p> <p>When an ESI is awarded under SEWP ESI imposes a fee on top of the price of the products being sold.</p> <p>Recommendation: SEWP does not apply a fee on top of the products plus the ESI fee.</p>	The SEWP fee is based on the total invoiced amount of an order inclusive of all costs.
604	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	<p>Attachment C SEWP Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM)</p> <p>Recommendation: SEWP continues to provide a SEWP Surcharge report.</p>	The CHUM will be revised for the RFP.
603	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	<p>Attachment C SEWP Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM)</p> <p>How will a fee cap on a particular task order be communicated to the SEWP PMO. Please add instructions to the CHUM.</p>	While we allow for a change in how the fee is calculated, it is expected that the fee will continue to be a flat fee with no cap.
602	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	<p>Attachment C SEWP Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM)</p> <p>Recommendation: Update NAICS codes in Contract Holder User Manual from SEWP V to SEWP VI requirements.</p>	The CHUM will be revised for the RFP.
601	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	<p>Attachment F Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (DEIA) DRD- Applicable for Categories B & C</p> <p>Please provide clarification on what type of information would be required in the plan.</p>	Please reference Attachment F for Clarification, the Final RFP will be updated for additional clarification.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

600	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment H AbilityOne Data Reporting This report was not required for SEWP V. Please provide clarification regarding differing NAICS codes which vary from the Prime Contract NAICS.	SEWP VI is different than SEWP V and requirements have changed. Any Changes to NAICS codes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
599	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment D IN SCOPE SEWP VI UNSPSC CODES Recommendation: Use category if UNSPSC code is not available and recommend that UNSPSC code only be used at Agency level. Additionally, limit use of UNSPSC to Strategic Catalog.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the Final RFP.
598	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment C SEWP Contract Holder User Manual (CHUM) Description of a TR: Increase or Decrease pricing on a CLIN. This is currently NOT permitted on SEWP V. Recommendation: Technical Refreshes should allow for increase or decrease in pricing on a CLIN.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the Final RFP.
597	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment B SEWP Program Performance - Category 3 Contract Adherence Order of precedence on quoting requirements. Agreement on Strategic Catalog requires budgetary quoting direct to customer prior to renewal year of enrollment. We do not actually fulfill on these quotes and the customer should not be forwarding them to SEWP. Recommendation: This should not count against the contractor if there's an agreement between agency and contract holder.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the Final RFP.
596	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION-COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	For clarification from the October 18th Industry Day: It is understood that the initial proposal responses for Categories B and C will not contain pricing information. For Category A, pricing is required for all products proposed? Will the pricing be evaluated at the cumulative total level? What is the priority of price in the evaluation criteria?	There is no price evaluation. The pricing in Category A will only be used post-award to fill in the initial SEWP catalog.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

592	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	For small businesses, will NASA consider making the first quality certification requirement ISO-9001 and the second a CMMI Maturity Level 2 appraisal OR ISO-20000 certification? ISO 20000 is an IT Service Management certification that has significant overlap with CMMI for Services.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
591	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	In accordance with 13 CFR § 125.8 and 13 CFR § 125.9, will the Government please confirm that when individual companies responding as a prime AND partner within an SBA MP JV, they can provide REPs or past performance references with the same or similar information in prime AND JV proposals across multiple categories?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
590	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	In accordance with 13 CFR § 125.8 and 13 CFR § 125.9, please confirm that certification for ISO 9001:2008, ISO 9001:2015, CMMI-DEV Level 2, and CMMI-SVC Level 2 may come from either the mentor or protégé as part of an SBA approved joint venture.	This question is similar to question #230. Please see the answer to question #230.
589	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	As a secure supply chain is critical for Category A performance, will NASA consider making the ISO/IEC 20243 certification a requirement for vendors on both unrestricted and small tracks? This will validate that approved vendors have an additional focus and understanding of supply chain risks.	This question is similar to question #53. Please see the answer to question #53.
588	(b) Mandatory Experience	For REPs in Cat B. Can a Parent company's REP be utilized (enterprise capability)?	The Final RFP is being revised for clarity.
587	(b) Mandatory Experience	For REPs in Cat B. Do all REPs have to be from the Prime Offeror, or can a contractor teammate's REP be utilized?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

586	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	During the October 18th Industry Day, it was mentioned that for small businesses pursuing Category B and/or C, companies had to have an active ISO-9001 certification and CMMI Maturity Level 2 appraisal OR proof that achievement was "in process". Can you please explain what documentation is required to prove the "in process" status?	This will be clarified in the RFP.
585	(b) Mandatory Experience	Would the government clarify the required minimum value required across Categories, and how it may be calculated, especially for Small Business? Under Categories B and C, it is defined as \$30M in total value of a single order or contract. However, for fair competition for Small Business, would the government consider a collection of TOs combined to meet the value requirement?	The Final RFP is being revised for further clarity.
584	A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 REPORTS OF WORK (IDIQ/BPA) (MAR 2022)	Please provide clarification as to who, where and how Monthly Progress Reports (separate report for each task order) should be sent to. Should they be sent to the task/delivery order issuing agency CO, the SEWP CO or both?	The Final RFP is being revised for clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>583</p>	<p>Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512</p>	<p>Traditional Category A - IT Solutions vendors and capabilities are significantly different from “Drones/Robotics”; evaluating them together will prevent government customers from accessing the breadth of robotic and drone capabilities during the SEWP VI period of performance.</p> <p>To capitalize on the vast potential of robotics and drones though SEWP VI, we recommend that robotics and drones be pulled out into a discrete Category focused on more complex hardware and engineering solutions and products that operate independent of traditional computers, cloud, and software products. They should not be submerged within the Category A - IT Solutions (Products) section, as this placement will create a barrier for downstream procurement opportunities for government customers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Businesses, whether large or small, capable of offering robotic or drone solutions, will be unable to compete under the defined evaluation criteria for Category A - IT Solutions (Products). This difficulty arises from the stringent requirements, such as providing a comprehensive technical approach across all technical sub-areas and meeting past performance criteria without the support of subcontractor qualifications aligned with the Category A - IT Solutions (Products) industry. <p>Traditional Category A – IT Solutions vendors poised</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be incorporated into the Final RFP.</p>
------------	---	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

582	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	What is a Group? Categories and Technical Areas are defined in detail but "Group" is not.	The Groups are defined within the RFP; for example GROUP A1 is the group of Unrestricted business sizes proposing in Category A and A2 are the Small Businesses proposing in Category A.
581	A.1.8 PROCEDURES FOR ORDERS	What labor categories and rates will contractors use for pricing labor costs when responding to an RFQ/RFP under Category B and C?	For orders with labor services based on labor rates, the issuing CO placing an order against the contract is responsible for considering the labor hours and mix of labor proposed to perform specific tasks being ordered and for deciding that the price of those services is fair and reasonable.
580	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Can the government clarify if the requirement for CMMI certification must be satisfied by the Prime or can this be satisfied by a teaming partner?	This question is similar to question #519. Please see the answer to question #519.
579	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	During Industry Day, the briefer and slides alluded to the CMMI requirement going away for large businesses, and that the ISO9001 was the firm requirement. Can you confirm? ISO9001 makes sense, but requiring both will severely hinder competition across both large and small spectrums.	This question is similar to question #188. Please see the answer to question #188.
578	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	The SEWP VI awardee may be a large business under the main 541512 NAICS Code, however, may also qualify as a small business under other NAICS codes available under Category A. Since SEWP VI allows for multiple NAICS Codes at the order level, will awardees of Category A, Group A1-Unrestricted have visibility to all RFQs that are issued under Category A, including RFQs issued under Group A2-Small Business Set Aside?	Contract holders within a Category will have access to and be able to quote on all RFQs submitted that match the selected NAICS code and associated business size.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

577	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	How much time is the SEW PMO going to provide for proposal submission after Final RFP release?	The deadline for proposal submission will be released at the time of the final RFP release.
576	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, (a) Information From the Offeror, For Small Businesses Proposing in Categories A and C, pg. 89. Will the Government please verify the minimum size Past Performance submitted by an offeror shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an average annual value of \$500,000.This appears to contradict Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume, (b) Mandatory Experience, Category C, pg. 88, which indicates the mimimum value of each REP submitted by HUBZone, SDVOSB and EDWOSB offerors is \$30 million.	This question is similar to question #567. Please see the answer to question #567.
575	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Original Comment #566 - (Oct 19,23 10:44 AM EST) - Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume, (b) Mandatory Experience, Category C, pg. 88. Will the Government please verify the minimum size of each REP submitted by HUBZone, SDVOSB and EDWOSB is \$30 million? The requirement for offerors to submit two (2) REPs valued at at least \$30 million each for each of the ten (10) mandatory experience sub-areas is excessive. First, it amounts to a requirement for each offeror to provide \$600 million in mandatory experience, which very few companies in the cited socioeconomic categories will have. Second, few small business offerors will have the mandatory experience covering all ten (10) mandatory experience sub-areas.	This question is a duplicate to question #566 and is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

574	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Small business that were solid performers on SEWP V, are now graduated and in the mid-tier, large Business unrestricted space. How will the SEWP VI acquisition strategy be structured to all these good performing SEWP V companies to prime on SEWP VI?	Decisions on how the SEWP VI is structured are fully independent of SEWP V (or any other activity).
573	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	Kindly request SEWP PMO to change the requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations from "Mandatory" to "Highly Encouraged".	This question is similar to question #537. Please see the answer to question #537.
572	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	Does the mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as subcontractors, where indicated, mean exclusive use of same and does not allow Small Business Prime Offerors to subcontract to other teaming partners at the task order level?	This question is similar to question #570. Please see the answer to question #570.
571	FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)	Hello. At the industry day event yesterday Oct 18, it was stated "please do not duplicate questions that have already been posted" but it was also said there were over 500 questions submitted, yet there are only about 20 Q&A currently showing. We understand that the SEWP office is working diligently to answer all questions, but would you please consider posting all submitted questions, even if answers are still pending, in an effort for Industry to not duplicate questions?	Thank you for your comment, the Government was unaware of the technical glitch. All submitted questions are now able to be seen by registered users.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

570	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	Is the requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations applicable to Small Business Prime Offerors?	All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes: 518210 Data Processing, Hosting & Related Services, 519190 All Other Information Services, 541513 Computer Facilities Management Services, 541512 Computer Systems Design Services, 541519 Other Computer Related Services, and 334112 Computer Storage Device have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes. All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP order using Product Service Codes (PSC): D321 - IT and Telecom- Help Desk, DE01 - IT and Telecom - End User: Help Desk; Tier1-2, Workspace, Print, Productivity Tools (Labor), DE10 - IT and Telecom - End User As A Service: Help Desk; Tier 1-2, Workspace, Print, Productivity Tools, 7E20 - IT and Telecom – End User: Help Desk; Tier 1-2, Workspace, Print, Productivity Tool (HW/Perpetual SW), have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors utilizing any of the referenced Product Service Codes.
569	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will the government allow for 8(a) specific set-asides under Group B and Group C task order competition?	This question is similar to question #1014. Please see the answer to question #1014.
568	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Delete all references to DUNS and replace them with the registered SAM.gov UEI (Unique Entity Identifier).	The final RFP will be updated to reflect the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI).

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

567	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, (a) Information From the Offeror, For Small Businesses Proposing in Categories A and C, pg. 89. Will the Government please verify the minimum size Past Performance submitted by an offeror shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an average annual value of \$500,000.This appears to contradict Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume, (b) Mandatory Experience, Category C, pg. 88, which indicates the minimum value of each REP submitted by HUBZone, SDVOSB and EDWOSB offerors is \$30 million.	Relevant Experience Projects and Past Performance are two separate proposal areas with different requirements. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
566	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume, (b) Mandatory Experience, Category C, pg. 88. Will the Government please verify the minimum size of each REP submitted by HUBZone, SDVOSB and EDWOSB is \$30 million? The requirement for offerors to submit two (2) REPs valued at at least \$30 million each for each of the ten (10) mandatory experience sub-areas is excessive. First, it amounts to a requirement for each offeror to provide \$600 million in mandatory experience, which very few companies in the cited socioeconomic categories will have. Second, few small business offerors will have the mandatory experience covering all ten (10) mandatory experience sub-areas.	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

565	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume, (b) Mandatory Experience for Category B and C, pg. 87. Will the Government reconsider and allow Mandatory Experience input from subcontractors and affiliates? Limiting Mandatory Experience to input from the offeror only will severely limit the ability of small businesses to compete for a contract and will exclude many small businesses from competing.. This is particularly true for Tribal companies which typically have multiple affiliates that are capable of providing support to the effort.	This question is similar to question #564. Please see the answer to question #564.
564	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Can a prime offeror use REPs and Past Performance references from a subcontractor?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
563	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	As an SDVOSB, since 541512 has a revenue cap of \$27.5M, what happens when you exceed that threshold, do you graduate that NAICS code and get listed as a large business and can you still compete as a SDVOSB company.	If a business outgrows their size standard they will be laterally on ramp to the appropriate group as specified in A.1.42.
562	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	The size standard in the solicitation for Category A as stated in the Draft is 541512. Will any other size standard be acceptable such as 541519 (value added Resellers) which seems to meet the Product Based Service description and/or 334411 in which products may be provided with associated services if requested by the customer. Because it appears that not all purchase orders under Category A will always include services and will the non-manufacturer rule apply to this contract?	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

561	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Request the government consider making (a) 2 - 4 to be applicable to only Category A.	Thank you for your comment, the applicability across all categories will be clarified in the Final RFP.
560	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Can a GSA ASMPP approved joint venture bid as a prime in addition to the joint venture member bidding as a prime in the same category? I don't know how this differs from the question above. Is this really related to a large business joint venture member?	This question is similar to question #559. Please see the answer to question #559.
559	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	May a GSA ASMPP approved joint venture who is bidding in Category B2 (small business) submit as a JV prime while the lead JV small business member is also bidding as a prime in the same category?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

558	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>The current draft solicitation briefly discusses 8(a) joint ventures in A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, but does not address the SBA’s All Small Mentor Protégé Program (ASMPP). Per 13 CFR 125.8(e), “When evaluating the capabilities, past performance, experience, business systems and certifications of an entity submitting an offer for a contract set aside or reserved for small business as a joint venture established pursuant to this section, a procuring activity must consider work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture as well as any work done by the joint venture itself previously. A procuring activity may not require the protégé firm to individually meet the same evaluation or responsibility criteria as that required of other offerors generally. The partners to the joint venture in the aggregate must demonstrate the past performance, experience, business systems and certifications necessary to perform the contract.” (emphasis added). We suggest that the government specifically address these requirements and how it will evaluate offers by the ASMPP JVs. Because as it stands, the draft RFP’s statement, that “Relevant experience from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration” does not appear to comply with the requirements of 13 CFR 125.8(e). We suggest that the government simply add a statement that, for</p>	<p>This question is similar to questions #86 & #84. Please see the answer to questions #86 & #84.</p>
557	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	<p>Is cross teaming allowed and if so, can references be used across those teams even if they are in the same category?</p>	<p>The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

556	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	Based on the comments made at the SEWP VI Industry Day regarding duplicate language appearing in multiple bids, which will result the removal of the bids, how does this apply to a JV and its members. As an example, a prime JV and its member(s), who could individually bid separately too, would potentially have the same content given that the same personnel could be working on both sets of bids.	Thank you for your question. Any clarification will be provided in the Final RFP.
555	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	May a small business use a large business subcontractor reference?	Refer to question #7.
554	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	May a small business reference be used in multiple bids outside the same category (e.g. Category B2 and Category C1)?	Yes.
553	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	May a small business reference be used in multiple bids within the same category (e.g. Category B2)?	Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
552	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	SEWP VI is intended to be a best in class, however, the minimum standard for CMMI is level 2. Given that most best in class vehicles focus on CMMI level 3 or higher, we recommend NASA consider changing the minimum from CMMI level 2 to level 3.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

551	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Logistically, if an organization is awarded under 541512 as Large (due to the revenue based small business requirement) and then a TO comes out under NAICS code 541519 where the organization is an ITVAR could be considered a small business under footnote 18. Would the organization receive the notification of this TO opportunity since the award on the overarching contract is considered Large under NAICS code 541512? Not allowing the organization to compete under its Primary NAICS code of 541519?	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
550	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	The impact of NAICS code change to 541512 - as a current SDVOSB awardee on SEWP V (through footnote 18 ITVAR exception): This NAICS change would force us to compete as a large business based on the revenue standards and not the employee count standard. Reducing the number of potential small businesses being able to respond in each of the SEWP VI categories and restricting the opportunities of achieving Small Business Objectives for all agencies. Please consider adding 541519 footnote 18 to the acceptable NAICS codes for each category - thus expanding the small businesses able to compete on potential TOs.	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
549	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	Size Standard The size standard for as stated is 541512. Will any other size standard be acceptable such as 541519 (value added Resellers) which seems to meet the Product Based Service description and 334411 in which products may be provided with associated services if requested by the customer.	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
548	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Will the government consider publishing the requirements for mandatory CLIN items now so vendors can begin sourcing since there are likely to be several hundred, possibly thousands?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

547	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Some Cloud Solution Providers offer solutions which could fulfill both Mandatory and Additional Sub Areas under Technical Area 3a: Software and Cloud Technology. Please confirm if an offeror can propose the same Provider, but different solutions/CLINS for multiple sub-categories for the Technical Area 3a: Software and Cloud Technology.	This will be clarified in the final RFP.
546	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	If a TO is released under Category A but the solution includes services from Category B and the contractor is not on category B but can provide the service for the solution, can the contractor still bid on the TO released under category A?	If a task order in one category includes requirements for a different Category, then the RFQ will need to be broken into two requirements to align with the categories.
545	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	In section (a) for large businesses, it states the past performance needs an annual value or \$2.5M minimum. In section A.3.7.1 the past performance value states \$30M. Can the government please clarify the minimum past performance contract value?	The Final RFP is being updated for clarity.
544	(b) Mandatory Experience	Does the contractor need to provide 4 REP's for each Mandatory sub area or do the 4 REP's need to incorporate all mandatory sub areas?	The RFP Will be revised for clarity.
543	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	Can the Government provide it's strategy for pricing evaluation for services at the GWAC IDIQ level?	The Source Evaluation Board will conduct evaluations in accordance with FAR 15.304 (c)(1)(ii)(A) and not evaluate price.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

542	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Pg. 88 Mandatory Experience for Category C indicates: "A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." There are ten (10) Mandatory Experience Sub-Areas under Category C. Question: Is it the Government's intent to require that non HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB companies provide 30 (three (3) times ten (10) Mandatory Experience Sub-areas), each with a minimum value of \$30M, and that have been performed solely by the Prime offeror (not affiliates or subcontractors)? Feedback: If this is the Government's intent, this severely limits competition - there is a very small number of companies who can meet this Requirement, if any. This Requirement does not allow for fair and open competition and should be heavily reconsidered. The Government should consider allowing offerors to provide experience in five (5) of the Mandatory Experience Sub-areas and provide only one (1) REP for each of the five (5) Sub-areas to gain access to the greatest number of contractors and not limit this Category from experiencing fair competition.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.</p>
-----	----------------------	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>541</p>	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME</p>	<p>Pg. 87 Mandatory Experience for Category B indicates: "A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." There are ten (10) Mandatory Experience Sub-Areas under Category B. Question: Is it the Government's intent to require that companies provide 40 REPs (four (4) REPs times ten (10) Mandatory Experience Sub-areas), each with a minimum value of \$30M, and that have been performed solely by the Prime offeror (not affiliates or subcontractors)? Feedback: If this is the Government's intent, this severely limits competition - there is a very small number of companies who can meet this Requirement, if any. This Requirement does not allow for fair and open competition and should be heavily reconsidered. The Government should consider allowing offerors to provide experience in five (5) of the Mandatory Experience Sub-areas and provide only one (1) REP for each of the five (5) Sub-areas to gain access to the greatest number of contractors and not limit this Category from experiencing fair competition.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #76. Please see the answer to question #76.</p>
------------	-----------------------------	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

540	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Pg. 87 Mandatory Experience for Category A indicates "The proposed offeror shall provide an excel document reflecting at a minimum 2,000 different CLINs with solutions for each sub-area along with pricing." Feedback: This will require Contractors to get quotes for similar parts from multiple OEMs which is burdensome on the Contractor and on OEMs.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government consider allowing pricing for only one part corresponding with sub-area parts, thereby adjusting the Requirement from 2,000 CLINs to the number of sub-areas provided in the SOW?</p>	The requirement will be clarified in the final RFP.
539	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>Enclosure 1 - SEWP VI Providers lists our Parent Company as a Provider. Question: Does our Parent Company being a Provider prohibit us from being a Prime Contractor on SEWP VI or have any implications we should be aware of prior to pursuit of a Prime Contract under the SEWP VI Vehicle?</p>	No. The draft and final RFP indicate how an OEM who is also the offeror should notate that information in their proposal.
538	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	<p>The SEWP VI DRFP doesn't indicate whether Contractors who hold a contract in one category may be eligible to bid in another Category - for example, Contractor is awarded a contract for Category A Group A1 UR but not Category B or C. Question: Can the Contractor in the example who does not hold Category B or C awards bid on Category B and/or Category C RFQs?</p>	Each Category is a separate competition and separate proposal. There is no linkage between the categories. If an offeror is qualified in multiple categories, an offeror can propose in them accordingly.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

537	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	<p>Pg. 59 A.1.35 states NAICS and PSC Codes for which Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP Contract under have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes.</p> <p>Feedback: This requirement is burdensome on the Contractor who may have limited time to bid on an RFQ. The Contractor likely won't have adequate time to identify and get pricing from an AbilityOne organization Subcontractor. Please consider revising this requirement to allow Contractors to perform requirements without Subcontractors as fitting for the individual requirement.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration any reflect any changes in the Final RFP.</p>
-----	-------------------------------------	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

536

A.1.26 CONTRACTOR
COLLECTION OF AGENCY
ADMINISTRATIVE
HANDLING FEE

Pg. 50 Contractor Responsibilities states: "In providing quotations to agencies, the Contractor shall be responsible for including the SEWP fee within the total amount of the quote inclusive of all costs including handling, and travel costs. The fee may be rounded to the nearest whole dollar. The fee shall NOT be listed separately on quotes or orders. The fee must be included in the price of the quoted offerings. Additionally, Pg. 44 A.1.15 states: "The discount provided on the SEWP database of record must be equal to or less than the price for the same offering on the Contractor's current GSA Schedule after discounting for any GSA or other Government fee. If the product is not available on the Contractor's current GSA Schedule, then the SEWP contract price must be equal to or less than the same offering on the Contractor's current commercial price list and/or any Contractor's comparable Federal Government contracts unless any pricing difference can be justified. Question: Does the price inclusive of the SEWP fee need to be equal to or below GSA/Commercial price or is it the base price (exclusive of the SEWP fee) that needs to be priced equal to or below GSA/Commercial list price? Feedback: Will the Government consider allowing base prices (exclusive of the SEWP fee) to be equal to or lower than GSA/Commercial price?"

Since the GSA price includes a 0.75% fee, the SEWP price should be less than the GSA price since the SEWP equivalent CLIN has a lower fee included in it.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

535	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Pg. 26 of Attachment C Prohibited Technology Section indicates that "Drones and similar Technology" are prohibited. In the SEWP VI DRFP SOW, Category A Technical Area 4a lists drones as "Additional Sub-Areas" that are in Scope. Question: Please clarify whether or not drones are allowed under the Scope of SEWP VI or if they are prohibited. Feedback: If prohibited, please consider allowing drones to be purchased through the SEWP VI Vehicle.	Drones are in scope for SEWP VI. Attachment C - CHUM, will be updated with the final RFP.
534	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Category A - IT Solutions on Pg. 24-29 includes Mandatory Sub-Areas for all Technical Areas within Category A. Feedback: The Mandatory Sub-Areas may unnecessarily limit competition for this Category. Question: Will the Government consider removing Mandatory Sub-areas under Category A or consider making them more broad so they can be met by additional Contractors who have offerings under Additional Sub-Areas?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.
533	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Category C - IT Professional Services on the bottom of Pg. 32 indicates two Groups: Group C1 - Small Business Set Aside and Group C2 - Reserved. Question: What type of Contractors is Group C2 reserved for? Feedback: Will the Government consider changing Group C2 from Reserved to Unrestricted to allow for Full and Open competition and for Large Businesses to participate in Category C?	Group C2 Reserved is reserved for future usage to support changes in business size designations post contract award.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

532	A.1.13 FAIR OPPORTUNITY AND REQUESTS FOR QUOTES	<p>The last paragraph on Pg. 41 states: "If the Government issues a RFQ or a MRR, the Contractor may only respond with items available on their Contract. If the Contractor has insufficient items on their contract to fully repsond to the Formal RFQ, the Contractor must respond with a No Bid." Question 1: Can the Contractor add the items via a Technology Refresh within the bidding window and then bid the newly-added items? Feedback: If this is not the Government's intent, please consider allowing.</p> <p>Question 2: For all RFQs the Contractor either can't or doesn't intend to bid, are Contractors required to respond with a No Bid or does not responding qualify as responding with a No Bid? Feedback: If the Government's intent is that Contractors must respond to all RFQ, please consider removing this requirement as it is burdensome on Contractors - Contractors will be less burdened if they only need to respond to RFQs when they intend to submit a bid.</p>	<p>Question 1: As stated in Section A.1.13 Fair Opportunity and Requests for Quotes ""If the Government issues a Request For Information (RFI) as part of market research, the Contractor may provide items not yet listed on their SEWP contract as part of a market research quote if the contractor submits a technology refreshment request to add those products to their contract."</p> <p>Question 2: If the Contractor has insufficient items on their contract to fully respond to the Formal RFQ, the Contractor must respond with a No Bid as it is SEWP intent for Contract Holders to actively provide feedback to Federal users.</p>
531	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	<p>In the Mission Suitability Volume - for the Management Approach (Subfactor B), item (3) outlines requirements for Commitment to Sustainability. As this requirement is traditionally required for either OEMs and/or Offerors with physical office space, can the government outline their expectations for virtual companies that are only responding to Category C (IT Services) opportunity for SEWP VI?</p>	<p>The Final RFP is being revised for further clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

530	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	<p>In response to the requirements of the Mission Suitability Volume, the instructions for Offerors responding to Category C is limited. The Technical Approach (Subfactor A) provides no specific requirements for Category C respondents. Can the government elaborate on what specific requirements are needed to address Category C specifications?</p>	<p>The instructions for all Categories are clarified in A.3.7.3 - Mission Suitability Volume.</p>
529	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	<p>In the Offer Volume for Mandatory Experience, Offerors proposing against Category C must provide two Relevant Experience Projects (REP) that have a minimum of \$30m in total value size as a small business. This requires two projects of \$30m or higher for a small business.</p> <p>However, for the Past Performance Volume, small business Offerors are only required propose past performance that is 'at least an average annual value of \$500,000 for size to be rated relevant'.</p> <p>Will the government consider reducing the Mandatory Experience requirements for small business offerors to be more aligned with the size of projects awarded to small businesses and closer to what is required in the Past Performance Volume?</p>	<p>The REP requirements will be updated in the final RFP.</p>
528	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>The draft RFP states: "Category B: A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template. Is "total value size" equivalent to total dollar value (including unexercised options) for ongoing projects?"</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #122. Please see the answer to question #122.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

527	A.1.9 FAR 52.216-18 ORDERING (AUG 2020)	When an opportunity is released under a Category will it be sent to both subcategories (ex. A1 and A2; B1 and B2) or will ordering agencies only be allowed to select one category?	RFQs will be submitted to all contract holders in a category that meet the business size set-aside. If the RFQ is submitted as unrestricted in Category A, all contract holders in Category A will be included.
526	(b) Mandatory Experience	Exhibit 1 states "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents. You may also highlight or 'tag' the location in the supporting documents but doing so is not required." In the 3 pages provided per REP, are Offerors to complete using a narrative description of the project, which cites supporting information from contractual documents (PWS, deliverables, etc.), or is the Government requesting that Offerors cut and paste paragraphs from contractual documents directly into the "Part III Project Description" field?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
525	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Would a JV in the SB track for B be able to bid a TO in unrestricted, where a large business member of that JV is a prime in CAT B?	As a joint venture that wins a contract, they are required to compete on orders as 1 entity and not able to have the entities within a joint venture use the Joint Venture contract to compete for orders separate from the Joint Venture name.
524	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Section A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME under (a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A states that #2-4 are applicable to Categories A and B. There are requirements related to being a reseller and providing a full suite of products and services. In the statement of work IT products are listed as an ancillary item likely to be added based on the demand for a total solution versus qualified offerors on Category B being established resellers. Would the Government update this section to say #2-4 are applicable to Category A only?	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

523	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Could the Government please clarify what needs to be submitted to satisfy the requirement, " Provide information addressing all of the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume)."?	This question is similar to question #251. Please see the answer to question #251.
522	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME includes a calculation for determining past performance value that requests for latest contract expenditures from a cost/ fee report. Would the Government consider changing this calculation to be based on total anticipated value for ongoing projects and total funded value for completed projects based on FPDS-NG values? These values are easier to verify through publicly available sources such as FPDS.gov and are less likely to change over the bidding period so that the Past Performance Exhibit 2's can be signed by the Contracting Officer with no subsequent changes needed.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.
521	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	The SEWP program has a long history of being a contract vehicle with reliable suppliers for a broad spectrum of IT solutions. The Relevant Experience Projects do not have any minimum criteria establishing positive past performance, so we recommend the Government consider requiring the Past Performance examples to come from the Relevant Experience Projects submitted for Category B.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

520	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	For Categories B and C Mandatory Experience we recommend offerors needing to prove recent, relevant experience by a timeline of projects needing to be completed or ongoing within the last 5 years from the date the solicitation is issued.	This question is similar to question #107. Please see the answer to question #107.
519	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume includes section (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification that states the offeror must prove they hold these certifications. It is very common for an organization to hold these certifications at the parent level and then share business processes and resources across the entire organization to implement delivery with the certification. Would the Government please confirm that certifications for ISO 9001 and CMMI will be accepted from parent organizations and affiliates?	The RFP will be revised for further clarity.
518	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	is there a way to insert language limiting contract holders that serve a dual role? i.e. OEM and contract holder, Distributor and contract holder. There are currently OEMs and distributors on SEWP that are the sole source for specific hardware and software. There have been incidents where they have quoted contract holders and customers for the same opportunity. This is limits competition.	Thank you for the suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
517	A.5.6 FAR 52.219-1 SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAM REPRESENTATIONS. (MAR 2023)	Section (b)(1) recommend allowing bidders to insert the in scope NAICS code that best represents their company, this should be used as the size determination for the SEWP VI award.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

516	A.5.6 FAR 52.219-1 SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAM REPRESENTATIONS. (MAR 2023)	Section (b)(1)-would we insert the in scope NAICS code that best fits our company and the size standard or is 541512 the only acceptable response?	The scope of the contract is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Section A.1.33 lists all the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI. The Final RFP is being revised for clarity.
515	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	Phase I recommend requiring supply chain certifications for Category A	This question is similar to question #501. Please see the answer to question #501.
514	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Program Management-recommend including language disallowing contract holders to have contract agents or to require contract holders to ensure agents meet the same contract requirements that awarded contract holders have to maintain in order to receive and maintain a SEWP contract.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.
513	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Program Management-recommend including language that excludes the use of BOTs, software tools that automate the process of placing bids on online. BOTs subject the government to risk and allow for RFQ disclosure to non-contract holders.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.
512	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Commitment to Supply Chain Management recommend requiring a contract holder to have ISO 20243, ISO 27000, ISO 28000, ISO 31000 or 3rd party attestation to NIST compliance. Without objective evidence such as a certification or inspection of a contract holders supply chain and security plan artifacts the government is exposed to the risk of self certified supply chains.	This question is a duplicate of question #501. Please see the answer to question #501.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

511	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	LOAs-is a standard OEM LOA acceptable or do we need a letter that specifically references the SEWP VI solicitation?	The Letter of Authorization (LOA) must come signed from the OEM as part of the Offeror's proposal identifying that the OEM is aware and approves of the offeror proposing their solutions or the SEWP VI proposal. While the exact wording and format of the LOA can vary, the Point of Contact (POC) signing the LOA must include the name of the offeror; a reference to SEWP VI and the POC's position in the company.
510	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	LOA's are letters of authorization permitted to be issued buy an OEMs authorized distributor if the distributor also provides a letter from the OEM authorizing them to issue pass thru LOAs?	This question is similar to question #53. Please see the answer to question #53.
509	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)-are current or past SEWP contract holders permitted to use prior iterations of SEWP as past performance? if so who would be the appropriate POC?	Offerors may utilize any appropriate source for their past performance that meets the requirements of the RFP. The POC for Past Performance is typically the COR or CO.
508	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)-recommend allowing CPARs in lieu of questionnaires, less of a lift for the government if they have already done the work to submit to the CPARs system.	This question is similar to question #48. Please see the answer to question #48.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

507	(b) Mandatory Experience	Mandatory Experience Category B and C-language indicating the relevant past performance must be all services should be included. if a prospective contract holder submits a delivery order with an award value of 35M but only 500K is services that should not be deemed as meeting the mandatory experience requirements.	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume section a states "Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate). Offerors identified as HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least two (2) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate)." Please note that we are looking for relevant content areas.
506	(b) Mandatory Experience	Mandatory Experience Category B and C-the required project minimum contradicts the size standard on NAICS 541512 if a small has multiple past performances 30M or more they would not be small under 541512	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
505	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	For Category A recommend requiring a contract holder to have ISO 20243, ISO 27000, ISO 28000, ISO 31000 or 3rd party attestation to NIST compliance. Without objective evidence such as a certification or inspection of a contract holders supply chain and security plan artifacts the government is exposed to the risk of self certified supply chains.	This question is a duplicate of question #501. Please see the answer to question #501.
504	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING-if we use NAICS 541519, for example, are we permitted to define qualifications? For the majority of our DoD business subs must have an active FCL, they must be NIST compliant with a score entered in SPRS and must be ISO 9001 certified.	The RFP will be updated for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

503	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	please clarify the use of the NAICS codes as it pertains to size, all are in scope but are all eligible to determine the size of the contract holder at the SEWP VI IDIQ/GWAC?	The Final RFP will be revised to provider further clarity.
502	A.1.33 FAR 52.204-21 BASIC SAFEGUARDING OF COVERED CONTRACTOR INFORMATION SYSTEMS. (NOV 2021)	A.1.33 FAR 52.204-21 BASIC SAFEGUARDING OF COVERED CONTRACTOR INFORMATION SYSTEMS- recommend providing proof of compliance in the form of a posted SPRS score, 3rd party attestation or security plan with supporting artifacts.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.
501	A.1.30 SUPPLY CHAIN RISK	A.1.30 Supply Chain Risk, recommend requiring a contract holder to have ISO 20243, ISO 27000, ISO 28000, ISO 31000 or 3rd party attestation to NIST compliance. Without objective evidence such as a certification or inspection of a contract holders supply chain and security plan artifacts the government is exposed to the risk of self certified supply chains.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.
500	A.1.14.2 Strategic Storefront:	A.1.14.2 Strategic Storefronts, will these be the replacement for agency catalogs?	This question is similar to question #499. Please see the answer to question #499.
499	A.1.14.1 SEWP Marketplace:	A.1.14.1 SEWP Marketplace will SEWP VI still offer agency catalogs? there are several technologies such as investigative, law enforcement sensitive and satcom that are purchased via agency catalogs. These catalogs allow the government an opportunity to closely vet contract holders to ensure sensitive technologies and higher security requirements are handled properly.	Please see Section A.1.14.3 Strategic Catalog for information related to Agency Catalogs. Strategic storefronts will be the methodology used to provide strategic support to agencies.
498	A.1.4 OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY UTILIZATION	Other Federal Agency Utilization-do we direct customers to this site to submit comments or may they email sewp directly? We have several customers that have conveyed concerns regarding the NAICS code selection and Agency Catalogs	Government customers may submit comments using the comment tool or submitting comments to either help@sewp.nasa.gov or sewpvi@sewp.nasa.gov .

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

497	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	<p>Category B and Category C-recommend including language excluding ancillary hardware and software. Anyone bidding only Categories B and C is not required to provide proof of their capability to provide hardware and software. This would be unfair to bidders in Category A</p>	<p>The RFP will be revised for clarity to reflect that for all categories the offeror must provide a summary description of their offerings and capabilities as to the scope of the proposed Category as provided in A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016). The summary should provide detail as to how the offeror will support the four Acquisition Objectives including information in the following areas:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The scalability and extensibility of the offeror’s capabilities that demonstrates the offeror’s ability to deliver the fullest range of ITC/AV Solutions and Services for the proposed category. 2.The offeror shall describe their ITC/AV-based solutions and services and how the proposed features provide technological leadership in allowing for the next generation of technology in terms of both solutions and services. 3.The offeror shall describe their IT-based solution services and how the proposed architectural features provide technological leadership in allowing for the next generation of technology.
496	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>Offerors identified as HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least two (2) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate). Can Government add WOSB to the list of HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB business that shall provide minimum 2 references.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #96. Please see the answer to question #96.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

495	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	"If applicable, Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company" Can offerors use a subcontracting past performance where they were under another government prime contract?	Yes.
494	(b) Mandatory Experience	Does the minimum 2,000 CLINs specified in this section include hardware, software, and services?	Yes, the minimum 2,000 CLINs includes hardware, software, and services.
493	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Are ISO and CMMI certifications mandatory for small business offerors under Category A? Is the ISO third party certification a requirement for all categories A, B, and C? If yes does it apply to small businesses?	The RFP is being updated to clarify requirements.
492	A.1.46 NETWORK SAFETY AND CYBERSECURITY:	How does the government intend to verify the integrity and security compliance of hardware / software combination pieces.	The integrity and security compliance of hardware / software combination pieces will be handled at the order level.
491	A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 REPORTS OF WORK (IDIQ/BPA) (MAR 2022)	Is there a template available with the PMO or a template requirement for the M and F reporting?	Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
490	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Is there any accounting compliance requirement, like DCAA, for creating invoices.	Invoices will be handled in accordance with the Prompt Payment Act (31 U.S.C. 3903) and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) prompt payment regulations at 5 CFR part 1315.
489	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	What percentage of the contract has to be allocated to AbilityOne non-profit Organization?	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that the contractor shall allocate at least 2% of the overall contract value to AbilityOne subcontractors. If Ability One subcontractor is unable to perform the work, the contractor may utilize their own subcontractors or perform the work as the prime.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

488	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	For Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA), are there any ISO/CMMI certification requirements for PRIME vs Subcontractor?	The final RFP will be revised for clarity.
487	A.5.6 FAR 52.219-1 SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAM REPRESENTATIONS. (MAR 2023)	Is the Small business category assigned to any type of small business or its under socioeconomic conditions like 8(a), WOSB etc.	Businesses assigned under a categories' small business group is dependent on the business size standard per associated NAICS code.
486	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	How many past performances are required in each category to qualify as Prime and Subcontractor?	Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent. The RFP is being revised for further clarity.
485	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For a subcontractor's past performance, if CPARS are not available, what is the alternative to submit past performance details?	This question is similar to question #161. Please see the answer to question #161.
484	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	CMMI certification is a lengthy process, taking anywhere from 7-9 months to complete. Many small businesses do not currently hold CMMI certification and are pursuing CMMI certification specifically for SEWP VI. Assuming the final RFP schedule remains as is, would SEWP consider accepting an in-process status statement indicating the final appraisal schedule date, from the CMMI Institute Certified Lead Appraiser?	The RFP is being updated for clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

483	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	<p>Is it the SEWP PMO's objective to only have integrators and Value Added resellers as contract holders; thereby adding large business markup to pass thru product solutions from a provider with no real value-add? The Product and Services Diversity seems to focus on diverse availability of OEM providers, rather than allowing a product solution provider offer diversity of their products used to develop a solution delivery model. We recommend amending this VAR focused clause to allow solution providers to demonstrate diversity within their own product set to meet and exceed the sub-categories.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.</p>
482	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>Is the objective to limit contract holders to the largest Government System Integrators to compete against GWACS like Alliant? The five year \$100M contract requirement eliminates the most innovative product-based solution providers from competing for Category B (Product Solutions and Services). Most of the innovative solution providers have packaged product-based solutions to address the Governments strategy, design, and implementation using fixed price products. We recommend excluding this past performance minimum criteria for other than small businesses pursuing category B (Product-Based Solutions)</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

481	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	<p>Has the demarc for the scope of services changed since the reverse industry day? We were led to believe services do not include operations (services normally within scope of GWACS like GSA Aliant). Operational quality standards for professional services (T&M) based orders makes sense for Aliant, but apply less to design and implementation solution projects (excluding software development). Please consider eliminating this minimum qualification for mid-sized product-based solution providers for Category B (product solutions and services); we understand both be desired for Category C (Professional Services). Or at a minimum, allow offerors to demonstrate one of the families of quality management (ISO or CMMI) to eliminate the duplicate costs for mid-size contractors.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.</p>
480	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>Question: Will NASA consider including “NAICS 541519 Information Technology Value-Added Reseller” in the acceptable NAICS codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI for each Category A, B, and C?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.</p>
479	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Question: Will NASA consider reducing the Relevant Experience Project (REP) minimum total value for a single order in Category B and Category C?</p> <p>The \$30 million minimum value for a single task imposes significant restrictions on small businesses that could potentially compete for task orders in categories B and C in the future.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

478	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Question: Will NASA secure a Non-Manufacturer Rule waiver for all products that do not currently have a class waiver in place?</p> <p>Most set-aside contracts or task orders that include requirements for equipment manufactured by large businesses (such as HP, Dell, Apple) that is not subject to the very limited number of established class waivers would require small business contract holders to violate the non-manufacturer rule (NMR) unless each ordering contracting officer seeks and obtains an individual NMR waiver for that task order.</p> <p>Historically, this requirement adds administrative delay that discourages the use of set-aside task orders and will negatively impact small business participation on SEWP VI.</p>	Any changes will be reflected with the Final RFP.
-----	--	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

477	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>Question: Are companies in the “Unrestricted” group permitted to compete for set-aside task orders if they qualify under the NAICS code that applies to the task order?</p> <p>As NAICS code 541512 has a size standard of \$34 million, most of the small business contract holders under SEWP V will fall into the category of large businesses in SEWP VI. Consequently, they will need to submit proposals within the "Unrestricted" group. Section A.1.34 on page 57 of the solicitation specifies, "The Ordering CO has the responsibility to determine which predominant NAICS code applies to a task order solicitation, whether the task order is unrestricted or set-aside, including the type of socio-economic set-aside if applicable, and whether the solicitation is sole-source or competitive." Please clarify whether contract holders in the unrestricted group will be eligible to compete for set-aside task orders solicited under in-scope NAICS codes and corresponding size standards for which they otherwise qualify.</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
476	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	What is the expected RFP Due date to respond when it comes out?	The expected due date will be published at the time of the Final RFP release.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

475	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	What set-asides are reserved in Group C2-RESERVED?	This question is similar to question #354. Please see the answer to question #354.
474	(b) Mandatory Experience	Per A.3.7.1(b): Requiring a Category B or C Offeror to cover all of the Mandatory Experience Sub Areas across a minimum of 4 REPs without the use of subcontractors appears unduly restrictive, especially to Small and Mid-tier businesses. Meanwhile, section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume only requires an Offeror to address 3-4 Content Representative Areas to qualify. Would the Government consider updating section A.3.7.1(b) to allow an Offeror to cover 3-4 Mandatory Experience Sub Areas and/or allow Contractor Teaming Arrangements to address all 10 Sub Areas?	Thank you for the suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
473	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	Exhibit 1 – Relevant Experience Project Table, Part III: What supporting documentation will the Government allow as evidence in the event a SOW is unclear or does not align perfectly with SEWP Mandatory Experience Sub Areas?	The description provided by the Offeror should be such as it demonstrates the experience within the given Technical Area.
472	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	For Category B, please clarify the Relevant Experience Project (REP) requirement. Does each REP have to cover all 10 of the Mandatory Experience Sub Areas, or can a combination of REPs be used to cover the 10 Areas (e.g., an offeror could submit 10 REPs with each REP covering a different Area)?	This question is similar to question #340. Please see the answer to question #340.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

471	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Section III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM: Has the Government identified the subcontracting participation goals targeted for the NASA SEWP contract?	The SEWP PMO is not recommending small business goals and instead in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation 19.704 in SEWP VI will require prime contract holders that are large businesses to submit commercial subcontracting plans at the summary level only for agencies. The Final RFP will be revised to reflect this information.
470	(b) Mandatory Experience	Please lower the minimum of \$30 million in each REF, this requirement will eliminate many small businesses participation. SB provides fair competition.	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

469	A.1.46 NETWORK SAFETY AND CYBERSECURITY:	<p>Incident Response: Specify that the vendor must have an incident response plan in place in case of any security breaches or data leaks.</p> <p>Reporting and Notification: Define a clear reporting and notification process in case any unauthorized data transmission or security vulnerabilities are discovered. The vendor should be required to report such incidents promptly to the Government Agency.</p> <p>Security Auditing: Include a requirement for the software/hardware vendor to allow security audits and inspections by the Government to verify compliance with these provisions.</p> <p>Documentation and Transparency: Stress the importance of complete documentation regarding the software/hardware data handling and communication processes. This documentation should be readily available to the Government for review at any time.</p> <p>Penalties for Non-Compliance: Consider adding penalties or consequences for non-compliance with these cybersecurity provisions. This may include contract termination or financial penalties, which can incentivize the vendor to prioritize cybersecurity.</p>	Network and Cybersecurity reporting will be handled as needed at the order level.
468	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Please confirm bidders may elect to only bid Category A-IT Solutions.	Offeror may select to compete in one category, two categories or all categories if applicable.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

467	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Category Group A2-NAICS 541512 this size standard would be detrimental to government users who trust small businesses with large acquisitions. A small with 75 employees with 500 million in revenue can financially support a 150million single acquisition, A small with 75 employees and 20 million in revenue cannot. This NAICS code subjects the government to risk. Please consider changing to 541519	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
466	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Category Group A2-NAICS 541512 this size standard would be detrimental to government users and their small business goals. The government issues at times single awards in excess of 34million this would push the majority of small businesses out of the NAICS threshold limiting the pool of small businesses on SEWP VI. please consider changing to 541519	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
465	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Category Group A2-NAICS 541512 if 541512 is the size standard that SEWP VI awards are based on and a business is large based on 541512 but small under the other in scope NAICS codes, can contract holders receive small business set aside awards under the other in scope NAICS codes?	Offerors are eligible to compete for a SEWP VI contract under any of the in-scope SEWP VI NAICS codes for a respective category and will be grouped based on the companies' size standard associated with the given NAICS Codes. The RFP will be revised to provide this clarity.
464	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Category Group A2-NAICS 541512 based on the volume of business that flows through the NASA SEWP contract the majority of successful business would size out within the first 5 years of SEWP limiting the number of small businesses on SEWP, requiring a need to onboard a substantial a amount of small business mid contract. please consider changing to 541519	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

463	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Category Group A2-NAICS 541512 the size standard is very limiting, the majority of SEWP incumbents would be forced to submit as larges if 541512 is used. Impossible to meet the average of 34million average per year. please consider changing to 541519	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
462	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Category Group A2-NAICS 541512 is very limited in scope, please consider changing to 541519	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer to question #33.
461	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	<p>ISO 9001-2015 is a comprehensive quality management standard applicable to all industries, While CMMI is specialized for software-intensive systems, many of its guiding principles are inherently addressed within the ISO 9001-2015 standard. For smaller businesses, achieving both certifications can be a significant resource and financial burden.</p> <p>Considering the above points and the broad applicability of ISO 9001-2015, would the government consider waiving the CMMI requirement to reduce the burden on small businesses, while still ensuring high quality standards are met.</p>	This question is similar to question #105. Please see the answer to question #105.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

460	A.5.6 FAR 52.219-1 SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAM REPRESENTATIONS. (MAR 2023)	<p>We do not see FAR 52.219-33 Non Manufacturer rule reference.</p> <p>SEWP VI NMR Question - Category A</p> <p>Recognizing that the primary NAICS for SEWP VI is a services NAICS, the solicitation allows contracting officers to use different NAICS including product/manufacturing NAICS when conducting a procurement under SEWP VI.</p> <p>One of the key values of SEWP V for the Department of Veterans Affairs is the ability to easily solicit SDVOSB offerors for a broad range of hardware and software. Similarly, other Departments and Agencies could solicit among small businesses or specific socio-economic categories.</p> <p>Recent GWAC and Department-wide procurements like First Source 3 have obtained contract-wide Non-Manufacturer Rule waivers to simplify the post-award procurement process.</p> <p>To retain this capability in SEWP VI and keep it competitive with other GWACs and Departmental vehicles, recommend that NASA seek a blanket non-manufacturer rule waiver for SEWP VI.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.</p>
-----	---	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

459	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>1) Please clarify if the excel spreadsheet of the minimum 12,000 CLINS will be included in the limitation of 30 pages?</p> <p>2) Please clarify if 50% of the additional non-mandatory Technical sub-areas coverage is required per category, or will it be 50% of all additional Sub-areas?</p>	<p>1) The spreadsheet is not included in the 30 page limit.</p> <p>2) This will be clarified in the final RFP.</p>
458	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Are Professional Services Labor Category Catalogs (B and C) to be defined at IDIQ Level and if so, how will they be evaluated?	Yes, Professional Services Labor Category Catalogs (B and C) are to be defined at the IDIQ Level. They will be evaluated by the Issuing Agency.
457	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	<p>1) Recommend the government define the minimum requirement for Past Performance to be deemed relevant for content as 4 content representative areas across all required REPs.</p> <p>2) To minimize subjectivity, please be more specific regarding the minimum recency, relevance, and performance components to achieve High, Very High, Moderate, or Neutral Performance Ratings and minimum ratings to proceed to Phase 3 of evaluation. This will minimize protests at each of the three downselect phases.</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

456	A.4.2- Phase One-Certifications and Mandatory Experience	<p>1) Please clarify specifically how Pass/Fail evaluation will be determined for Phase 1 Category A Catalogues. Is there a required distribution of the minimum 2K CLINs across Sub Task areas? If all Mandatory Sub-areas per Sub Task-area are met, is this a Pass? Are a certain number or % of additional Sub-areas per sub Task area also required? Please specify.</p> <p>2) For Phase 1 Category B and C, please clarify specifically how is Pass/Fail status determined?</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.
455	A.4.1.1 Firm Down-Select Process	To eliminate confusion, recommend all Offerors be specifically informed if they are or are not eligible to proceed to the next phase.	This question is similar to question #408. Please see the answer to question #408.
454	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	A) What is the estimated timeline for each of the three Phases of Evaluation? B) Given the extensive requirements for Phase 3, we recommend 60 days from notification of downselect to Phase 3 proposal due date.	A) All deliverables for the proposal submission are due at the same time at proposal deadline. B) The timeframe is contingent on the number of proposals.
453	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	We recommend the Government avoid requiring GHG inventories, reporting, or target setting until such time that there is a decision on the GHG proposed rule.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.
452	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	A 9-page PPQ request (Exhibit 2) for each reference may be overly burdensome and time-consuming to request of offerors' clients. To reduce the burden and streamline offeror preparation and NASA evaluation, we recommend this requirement be modified to allow the most recent CPARs instead of the PPQ.	This question is similar to question #48. Please see the answer to question #48.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

451	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Given the 10-year timeline of the SEWP contract, we recommend the Government modify the past performance recency requirement to completed or ongoing within 7 years (instead of 3 years) of the solicitation due date.	This question is similar to question #291. Please see the answer to question #291.
450	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>1) How will the pricing be evaluated?</p> <p>2) Recommend the Government clarify that the REPs must collectively cover a minimum of four REP Category A mandatory experience sub-areas.</p> <p>3) Recommend the Government consider allowing the evaluation of 1st Tier Subcontractor REPs to ensure the broadest, most in-depth coverage across Categories.</p>	<p>1) The Source Evaluation Board will conduct evaluations in accordance with FAR 15.304 (c)(1)(ii)(A), and not evaluate price.</p> <p>2) Thank you for your comment. The government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.</p> <p>3) Thank you for your comment. The government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.</p>
449	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Given the expanded Category B and C SEWP VI requirements, recommend adding additional mandatory certifications as follows: ISO/IEC 27000:2013, Top Secret Facility Clearance, and DCMA-approved Purchasing System.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

448	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	<p>1) The Exhibit 1 document is incorrectly labeled as Exhibit 2 and appears to refer to the incorrect solicitation section reference. Exhibit 1 indicated there is a 3-page limit for each REP. Recommend table in A.3.6(b) page limitation be modified to match.</p> <p>2) To reduce offeror proposal preparation and Government proposal evaluation costs, recommend the Government consider reducing the page limitation to 15 pages for each Category (A, B, C).</p>	This question is similar to question #159. Please see the answer to question #159.
447	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Mid-Size companies (with \$30-250M in annual review) are flexible, innovative, and provide high-quality services to clients. We recommend providing a Mid-size category with a lower Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) TCV threshold (\$15M), enabling Mid-Size companies to provide nimble, responsive, and high-quality solution delivery for SEWP clients. This was an approach recently taken on the NITAAC CIO-SP4 acquisition.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.
446	A.3.5 PROPOSALS REQUESTED	We recommend the Government consider allowing Small and Large Businesses to propose only Category A (products) or only Category B (enterprise services) to ensure the most qualified Prime Competitors in each Category.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.
445	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	If the Government retains the ISO 9001 and CMMI certification requirements in the final RFP, would it be acceptable for only one or multiple, but not all, members of the consortium to have these certifications?	The prime offeror should hold the certificates.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

444

I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)

SOW Comments:

It is noted that similar, but different terminology is used between the SOW and the DRFP to refer to the same thing. For instance, "IT Computer Systems / Storage Devices / Compute facilities" is referred to in the SOW as "Technical Area 1a" but it is referred to in the DRFP as a "Category A – Mandatory Experience Sub-area." The SOW also has "Mandatory Subareas" and "Additional Subareas" but they are different from what is presented in the DRFP. Maintaining consistency in the use of these terms is desired to prevent confusion. For example, does the instruction to provide 2,000 different CLINs for each sub-area permit CLINs across Technical Area 1a as the DRFP would suggest or is it constrained to only the Mandatory Subareas (Laptops/Desktops/Tablets and Network-attached storage NAS) as a combination of the SOW and the DRFP would suggest?

It is noted that similar, but different terminology is used between the SOW and the DRFP to refer to the same thing. For instance, the list in the DRFP of "Mandatory Experience Sub-areas" are referred to as "Technical Areas" in the SOW. Also, they follow a different numbering convention (e.g., "1b", "2b" vs 1, 2) and the first two are in a different order.

Consistency to prevent confusion is desired.

It is noted that similar, but different terminology is used between the SOW and the DRFP to refer to the

Thank you for your comment, we will clarify this in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

443	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Category A (page 87). It is noted that similar, but different terminology is used between the SOW and the DRFP to refer to the same thing. For instance, “IT Computer Systems / Storage Devices / Compute facilities” is referred to in the SOW as “Technical Area 1a” but it is referred to in the DRFP as a “Category A – Mandatory Experience Sub-area.” The SOW also has “Mandatory Subareas” and “Additional Subareas” but they are different from what is presented in the DRFP. Maintaining consistency in the use of these terms is desired to prevent confusion. For example, does the instruction to provide 2,000 different CLINs for each sub-area permit CLINs across Technical Area 1a as the DRFP would suggest or is it constrained to only the Mandatory Subareas (Laptops/Desktops/Tablets and Network-attached storage NAS) as a combination of the SOW and the DRFP would suggest?</p>	Thank you for your comment, we will clarify this in the final RFP.
442	A.4.2- Phase One- Certifications and Mandatory Experience	<p>The text “includes a valid ISO 9001 and CMMI certification” implies that both are required, but in the instructions (bottom of page 86) indicates that CMMI is only required of Category B & C bidders. Please clarify.</p>	This question is similar to question #105. Please see the answer to question #105.
441	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	<p>Can GWACS/IDIQs, such as SEWP V and CIO-SP4, be used for REPs? For Past Performances? Are Delivery Orders/Task Orders awarded through GWACS/IDIQs acceptable as standalone REPs? Past Performances?</p>	Any relevant experience that fits the RFP instructions may be utilized.

440

A.3.7.2 PAST
PERFORMANCE VOLUME

The text “Offerors identified as a Large Business in Category B shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate). Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate).” Is unclear as to whether each past performance on its own must showcase at least 4 content representative areas to be considered relevant or whether the 4 past performances taken together must showcase at least 4 content representative areas. Please clarify. Also, the same question is asked for the Small Business Category B and C past performances where the language in the DRFP is the same. The related source selection section (starting at the bottom of page 98) contains the same ambiguous language.

Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected on the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

439	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	<p>Top of Page 91: The text “Offerors identified as a Small Business in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant.” is unclear on whether each past performance on its own must showcase at least 3 content representative areas to be considered relevant, or whether all three past performances taken together must showcase at least 3 content representative areas to be considered relevant (e.g., all three couldn’t just showcase a single content representative area.) Please clarify.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #440. Please see the answer to question #440.</p>
438	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Mandatory Experience: Category C. The text “A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.” Is \$30M really the intended size of projects required for small businesses? It wouldn’t take too many of these for smalls to exceed the NAICS size standard for this vehicle.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, this will be clarified in the final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

437	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	<p>Mandatory Experience: Category B. The text “A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.” seems to imply that each Category B Sub-area requires 4 REPs. If true, that would require Category B bidders to have 40 REPs over \$30M in size. Please verify that this is the intent. If so, can REPs be used more than once across Sub-areas or do all 40 need to be unique?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, this will be clarified in the final RFP.</p>
436	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	<p>The paragraph starts with “All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes 518210 ...” Is the ‘SEWP contract’ to which this referring to a SEWP Task Order issued under the SEWP vehicle or will the SEWP contract vehicle awards be issued at a granular NAICS level? If the latter, please explain how this will happen since the SEWP vehicle solicitation has a blanket NAICS of 541512.</p>	<p>That paragraph is referring to all Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes: 518210 Data Processing, Hosting & Related Services, 519190 All Other Information Services, 541513 Computer Facilities Management Services, 541512 Computer Systems Design Services, 541519 Other Computer Related Services, and 334112 Computer Storage Device have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes.</p> <p>The scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. Listed on page 58 under Section A.1.33 are the acceptable NAICS Codes that can be used within the scope of SEWP VI.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

435	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Category A and Categories B & C tables. The lead in paragraph to these tables mentions that the scope of the order is not restricted to only using NAICS Code 541512; other acceptable NAICS codes can be used within the scope of SEWP VI. This leads one to believe that NAICS 541512 is acceptable to all categories (A, B, and C) but it is not listed in the Category A table while it is listed in the Category B & C table. This is confusing. I'm not sure if my question should be why it isn't in the Category A table or why it is in the Category B & C table. Please clarify.	Section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016) lists all three categories under NAICS 541512. Any changes will be reflected in the RFP.
434	(b) Mandatory Experience	Mandatory Experience - Will Cat A CH's be able to quote services as part of a solution or will customer have to use two different RFQ's (A &B or A&C) and possibly companies?	Category A is ITC/AV Solutions which includes products and services. The scope of Category A is the full breadth and depth of ITC/AV technology under each technical area and therefore all similar and related technologies are within scope of this Category.
433	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	ISO and CMMI - Is CMMI only required for Categories's B and C? Please confirm.	Yes, CMMI is only required for Categories' B and C.
432	A.1.27 NFS 1852.232-80 SUBMISSION OF VOUCHERS FOR PAYMENT. (APR 2018)- NASA TASK ORDERS ONLY	Is this level of detail required for all NASA invoices or just those for professional services?	A.1.27 NFS 1852.232-80 SUBMISSION OF VOUCHERS FOR PAYMENT. (APR 2018)- is for NASA task orders only. Except for classified vouchers, the Contractor shall submit all vouchers and invoices using the steps described at NSSC's Vendor Payment information Web site.
431	A.1.8 PROCEDURES FOR ORDERS	Is the FP Incentive TO - Progress report in addition to the reports listed in section A.1.3? Doesn't it seem logical to automate through the CHOP. All the separate reports seem to be taking SEWP VI backward instead of forward.	Thank you for the suggestion. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

430	A.1.23 TECHNOLOGY REFRESHMENT	Please confirm the number of regular TR's permitted per day. There are conflicting guidelines provided in the CHUM. One places says one; another says two.	The attachment will be revised for further clarity in the Final RFP, with necessary guidance provided post-award.
429	A.1.20.1. Software Maintenance / Product Extended Warranty as a Product	Most OEM's only accept billed full in advance. If it is quoted that way, can the customer order it that way?	It is up to the Issuing Agency to determine the invoice and payment requirements of their orders.
428	A.1.20 WARRANTY	Please explain how the govt can randomly place an order on something that was never quoted? OEM's are not likely to accept that and it may not even be available.	All orders are based on pricing provided by the Contract Holder.
427	A.1.8 PROCEDURES FOR ORDERS	Is the reporting for Fixed Price Incentive Task Orders and Fixed Price Task orders only for services only orders or ALL orders, including product? What data elements are needed?	All orders utilizing the contract types of Fixed Price Incentive Task Orders and Fixed Price Task orders are required to comply with the reporting requirements listed in this clause.
426	A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 REPORTS OF WORK (IDIQ/BPA) (MAR 2022)	Will orders no longer be updated on the CHOP? Is this reporting required for all task orders or just the orders issued under Category B & C? Adding an additional report when the CHOP currently captures order status seems cumbersome and duplicative.	Forms for reporting will be detailed in the CHUM.
425	A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 REPORTS OF WORK (IDIQ/BPA) (MAR 2022)	What file format and data elements are included in this report? Will it be submitted via the CHOP?	The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
424	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For offerors identified as HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB in Category B or C, should an offeror be an SBA approved MPP JV can the past performance be provided by the mentor and protege firms, or must the past performance be in the name of the offeror.	This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer to question #67.
423	(b) Mandatory Experience	For REPs, is there a recency requirement (e.g., similar to the PP requirement of current or completed within 3 years of RFP date)?	This question is similar to question #2. Please see the answer to question #2.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

422	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A Category A and B requirements are nested together, and the language is specific to OEM and reseller agreements. Professional Service providers are not VARs and do not always have OEM or reseller agreements. Does this mean that professional service providers will only be considered for category b if they have at least one reseller agreement with an OEM?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, this will be clarified in the final RFP.</p>
421	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A Category A and B requirements are nested together, and the language is specific to OEM and reseller agreements. Professional Service providers are not VARs and do not always have OEM or reseller agreements. Does this mean that in order to bid category B one must also bid category A?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, this will be clarified in the final RFP.</p>
420	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>General Instructions If an offeror submits for category B, can they also submit for Category C and win access to both Categories?</p>	<p>Yes, Offerors can submit to more than one Category.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>419</p>	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME Offerors have to submit minimum 3 references for sub-areas in the Mandatory Experience volume. The past performance section states maximum 3 references. Both instances require substantiation of coverage of sub-areas within the category. What is the difference in each of these sections, as they seem to functionally request similar information? What is the rationale for limiting this volume to 3 references with much lower TCV thresholds? A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME Offerors have to submit minimum 3 references for sub-areas in the Mandatory Experience volume. The past performance section states maximum 3 references. Both instances require substantiation of coverage of sub-areas within the category. What is the difference in each of these sections, as they seem to functionally request similar information? What is the rationale for limiting this volume to 3 references with much lower TCV thresholds?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #1004. Please see response for question #1004.</p>
------------	---	--	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>418</p>	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience the mandatory sub-area requirement to cover all areas is unreasonable. Many quality vendors may cover multiple sub-areas but may not specialize in all areas because of unique needs and skills required to perform. For example, training companies and service desk companies may not offer full agile DevSecOps services, and reverse. The companies that can perform all areas are likely the largest of large contractors, or are companies shoehorning past experience into sub-areas, resulting in an overcommitment of unavailability capabilities, leading to poor end-user experience. We recommend designating sub-area access per awardee (see the 10 Task Areas on NITAAC SP3 as example) and allowing a lower threshold of sub-areas to be addressed by prospective bidders</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
<p>417</p>	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience the project experience threshold is unreasonably high and not an indicator of a contractor's ability to effectively perform the sub-areas (statement applies to both categories b and c). These requirements will disproportionately benefit the largest of large contractors and eliminate small and mid-tier companies with strong capabilities from being able to provide services. We recommend a \$1M project threshold for small business, and a \$5M for OTSB business.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>416</p>	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience"if it is the intent of SEWP to include small business service providers (as defined by NAICS) in SEWP VI we would highly recommend reducing the both the requirement for minimum TCV (to \$1M TCV) of REPs and reduce the number of mandatory experience sub-areas to four (4).</p> <p>A business capable of providing 2 or more \$30M+ contracts per sub-area (which would mean up to 10 potential example), by NAICS definition, would not be able to certify as small. Additionally, small businesses who specialize in IDA and Software Development services my not provide in-scope training or service desk services, as that is a very different talent base and skillset."</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration.</p>
<p>415</p>	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>A.3.2. Category B: Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512 A.3.3. Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512With a few exceptions, Category B and C have similar scope and sub-functions. The most obvious difference being the use of the term "enterprise". Is it the intent of the government to limit Category B to large, enterprise-level, "unrestricted" vendors, while category C will be reserved for smaller companies and companies claiming a socio-economic status?</p>	<p>No, that is not our intent. There is a small business set aside in both Categories B and C.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

414	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	A.3.2. Category B: Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512 A.3.3. Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512 There is significant overlap in Categories B and C. For example 6b and 2c read identically. Can the government further articulate the differences between the two categories?	As stated in the RFP, the scope of Category B is Enterprise-wide services and Category C is mission oriented professional services.
413	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	A.3.1. Category A: IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512 Please elaborate further on Technical Area 6a: Product-based services - does this cover similar product based services currently allowable under SEWP V. If there is a change in this requirement between SEWP V and SEWP VI, would the government please articulate in greater detail	The scope of Technical Area 6a: Product-Based Services consists of product-based services such as installation, training, maintenance, etc. and is as stated in the RFP.
412	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Section A.1.2, Category B, Technical Area 11b and Category C, Technical Area 11c lists Program Management and Ancillary Services in the scope of work. In Section A.3.7.1 (b) and (c), Program Management and Ancillary Services is excluded from the list of Mandatory Experience sub areas. Was this omission intentional or an oversight? Should the Offerors address Program Management and Ancillary Services in the REPs?	Ancillary Services are not part of the REP area lists and do not need to be addressed.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

411	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Section A.1.2, Category B, Technical Area 11b and Category C, Technical Area 11c lists Program Management and Ancillary Services in the scope of work. In Section A.3.7.1 (b) and (c), Program Management and Ancillary Services is excluded from the list of Mandatory Experience sub areas. Was this omission intentional or an oversight? Should the REPs address Program Management and Ancillary Services?	Ancillary Services are not part of the REP area lists and do not need to be addressed.
410	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	"Enterprise-wide Standardization of IT Service Management (ITSM) process, tools, and the way services to improve IT efficiency, effectiveness, customer experience, and reduce cost are delivered." This sentence appears to have a typo (or missing words). Can the government please update?	The final RFP will be updated to reflect, "Enterprise-wide Standardization of IT Service Management (ITSM) refers to process, tools, and the way services are delivered to improve IT efficiency, effectiveness, customer experience, and reduce cost."
409	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	In A.4.4(b)(4)iii, the Government will evaluate the Offeror's plan to support both CONUS and OCONUS opportunities, specified in Section A.3.7.3(b)(4)(iii) for effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency. Can OCONUS areas be further defined? Perhaps an example from SEWP V and where OCONUS locations were or will be supported (i.e. Combatant Commands, Stuttgart, DE, Aviano, IT, UK, Japan, Korea, NATO).	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
408	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	Offerors that do not receive a notification from the Government are to assume their proposal has proceeded to the next phase of the evaluation. Recommend all offerors receive notification whether or not they are proceeding to the next level of evaluation.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

407	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Will the Government please provide definitions for their evaluative adjectives: "effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency"?	Thank you for your comment, however we will not be providing definitions for these adjectives.
406	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Please confirm that items iii, iv and v apply to all three categories (A, B & C).	Yes, items in Section A.3.7.3 (b) (2) iii, iv, v apply to all categories.
405	A.1.32 GSFC 52.219-90 SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN AND REPORTS. (NOV 2021)- APPLICABLE TO LARGE BUSINESSES	Recommend the Government remove A.1.32(b) and (e) given that as per FAR 52.219-9 (j) "Subcontracting plans are not required from subcontractors when the prime contract contains the clause at FAR 52.212-5, Contract Terms and Conditions Required to Implement Statutes or Executive Orders-Commercial Products and Commercial Services".	This question is similar to question #279. Please see the answer to question #279.
404	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Statement 6 of Proposal Content & Page Limitations, the content states: Any proposal found to be a duplication or replica of another offeror will lead to all identified offerors being ineligible for award and will not be evaluated by the Government. Can the Government define what they would consider to be duplication/replication?	Duplication or replication means using the same information more than once in a way not permitted by the RFP.
403	(b) Mandatory Experience	In EXHIBIT 1, The instructions in the header say "Refer to Section A.3.5.1(b). There is no such section in the RFP. The correct reference is likely A.3.7.1 (b). Will the Government please reconcile?"	Thank you for your comment, this will be updated in the final RFP.
402	(b) Mandatory Experience	In EXHIBIT 1, The title within the PDF document shows "EXHIBIT 2". From the file name and RFP guidance, it appears it should be "EXHIBIT 1". Recommend the Government reconcile.	Thank you for your comment, this will be updated in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

401	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	In the listing of technical areas in A.1.2 on page 29 and 30, 1b is Enterprise Wide Network Services and 2b is IT Managed Services. On page 87 in A.3.7.1(b) Category 1 is IT Managed Services and 2 is Enterprise Wide Network Services. Recommend the Government reconcile.	Thank you for the suggestion. Any corrections made will be reflected in the Final RFP.
400	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	<p>Do the professional services offered need to be tied to a specific IT product or solution?</p> <p>Are there a limitation on teaming?</p> <p>Does a contractor have to have prior performance in all the technical areas under a Category?</p> <p>Does a contractor have to cover all areas of a Category?</p>	Services offered in Category A must have an associated product. Services in Category B and C do not have to have an associated product. Teaming, past performance and the areas need to be covered will be fully explained in the final RFP.
399	(b) Mandatory Experience	We would suggest that these requirements are far too difficult to achieve for a majority of small businesses. Expecting small businesses to have experience in all 10 areas of Category C is already going to be a very rare find, but further requiring that experience to exist on MULTIPLE contracts AND having a total value of over \$30 Million each is simply over the top on difficulty level. This eliminates so many good small businesses. We would recommend allowing partners past performance to count towards meeting this requirement and reducing the contract size. This will allow industry to build strong teams to meet the requirements, which will provide more quality contractors to NASA.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>398</p>	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>Paragraph A.3.7.1(b), Mandatory Experience includes Table 1, Sample Category A Mandatory Experience matrix. What UNSPSC code is expected in this table? Is it the lowest code available. As an example, Power sources has a Family code of 26100000, while Tool bags are clarified down to a Commodity code of 24111507. So, would we use the Commodity code of 24111507 when proposing on Tool bags, while using the Family code of 26100000 when proposing on Power sources in the matrix?</p>	<p>The full 8-digit UNSPSC code of the proposed item/service is required.</p>
<p>397</p>	<p>FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)</p>	<p>Will winning contract holders be given a training/review of the Contract Holder User Manual after contract award?</p>	<p>Yes, the winning contract holders will be given a review of the Contract Holder User Manual after contract award.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

396	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>The first full paragraph on page 91, reads: “ Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of relevant experience project. The information shall match the past performance information with the relevant experience identified in paragraph (a)(13) of this section.” End of Statement.</p> <p>However, there is no paragraph (a) (13). Is it the paragraph that states: “The Offeror shall provide a description of its relevant past performance history in meeting the technical and management requirements identified below (This list shall not be construed as indicating any priority ranking or order of importance):”?</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback. Reference in Section 3.7.2(a)(12) to "(a)(13)" is a typo and should reflect (a)(12) referring the content representative areas for Category B and/or C. The final RFP will reflect this update.</p>
395	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Is the Item Price the OEM's List Price minus the Offeror's discount, as specified in Draft RFP Section A.1.15 DISCOUNTS FOR TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT? Please define Item Price.</p>	<p>The item price is the contract price.</p>
394	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>"Security monitoring and control systems" is listed as a mandatory Sub-areas under Technical Area 4a and Technical Area 5a. Is this accurate?</p>	<p>Security monitoring and control systems is a mandatory sub-area in only Technical Area 4a. The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

393	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Section A.3.7.1(b) (Offer Volume - Mandatory Experience): For Category A, the draft states that a provider and their corresponding CLINs may only be used for one Technical Mandatory Sub-area and cannot be duplicated within a given sub-area. Does that mean, an OEM Provider products can only be listed under single Technical area? Or does this mean that an OEM Provider CLIN may only be used once?	A provider and their corresponding CLINs may only be used for one Technical Mandatory Sub-area and cannot be duplicated within a given sub-area.
392	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Section A.3.7.1(b) (Offer Volume - Mandatory Experience): For Category A, the draft asks for 2,000 different CLINs with solutions for each sub-area along with the pricing. Is "sub-area" within this section defined as the general Technical Area (e.g., IT Computer Systems/Storage Devices/Compute Facilities, Networking Technology/Mobility & Communications, etc.)? Does the offeror need to include the mandatory Technical Area sub-areas as listed under Section A.1.2? For example, Technical Area 1a (IT Computer Systems/Storage Devices/Compute Facilities) lists Mandatory Sub-areas of Laptops/Desktops/Tables and Network attached storage (NAS). Will the 2,000 different CLINS all be tied to these mandatory sub-areas, or can be made up of any sub-area as listed under the Technical Area?	Thank you for your comment, this will be updated in the final RFP.
391	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Are there live or previous contract #s or RFQ#s that have been issued in the past that would align with these functions	This question is unclear and therefore will not be answered.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

390	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	1.Are there call center, Backoffice functions related to NACIS 561422, within NASA that would justify me submitting a proposal for the SWEV VI?	Potential Offerors should review the full RFP to determine the requirements and their ability to meet those requirements.
389	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	Within Section III, FAR 52.212-1 Instructions to Offers, all offerors that are not small businesses must submit a subcontracting plan. Within A.3.3 Proposal Submission, there is no mention of where the subcontracting plan is required for submission. Please advise in what volume the subcontracting plan should be submitted.	This question is a duplicate of question #388. Please see the answer to question #388.
388	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Within Section III, FAR 52.212-1 Instructions to Offers, all offerors that are not small businesses must submit a subcontracting plan. Within A.3.3 Proposal Submission, there is no mention of where the subcontracting plan is required for submission. Please advise in what volume the subcontracting plan should be submitted.	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect the subcontracting Plan shall be provided in the Offer Volume I.
387	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	"...Category B is only intended to be utilized for large agency/enterprise-wide implementations." Can the government please provide a definition or metrics which qualify for "large agency" and "enterprise-wide"?	Reference the answer to Question # 226.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

386	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Exhibit 2 -- The questionnaire includes the sub area descriptions for all three categories, A, B and C. This is likely to confuse Government POCs who may not understand the differentiation between the categories. Request the Government provide individual questionnaires specific to each category.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
385	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	The Government identifies what is required to achieve a moderate confidence rating for REPs. What qualifies as a high confidence level regarding REPs? Recommend providing more clarification.	A high confidence rating is when the Government has high confidence that the Offeror understands the requirement, proposes a sound approach, and will be successful in performing the contract with little or no Government intervention.
384	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	There are multiple references to "experience identified in paragraph (a) (13) of this section". There is not a paragraph (a) (13) in this section, and it is not obvious what RFP section is being referred to. Request the Government clarify the submission requirements with a correct RFP section reference.	This question is a duplicate of question #383. Please see the answer to question #383.
383	(b) Mandatory Experience	There are multiple references to "experience identified in paragraph (a) (13) of this section". There is not a paragraph (a) (13) in this section, and it is not obvious what RFP section is being referred to. Request the Government clarify the submission requirements with a correct RFP section reference.	Thank you for your comment. This will be clarified in the final RFP.
382	(b) Mandatory Experience	The RFP states that a minimum of 4 REP's are required. Is there a maximum number required?	Please provide only a total of 4 REPs. The RFP will be revised to provide further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>381</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>[“SEWP+VI+DRFP+80TECH23R0001_v4_+09.18.2023_Update+1,” A.1.34-35, Pgs. 57-59] If an Offeror is considered a large business under NAICS Code 541512, but is considered a small business under one or more NAICS Codes listed on pages 57 – 58 of the RFP (i.e., the Offeror is considered small under NAICS Code 517121), in addition to submitting a proposal as a large business under Category A Group A1 (Unrestricted), is the Offeror eligible to submit a separate proposal for a SEWP VI award as a small business under Category A Group A2 (Small Business Set Aside)?</p> <p>[“SEWP+VI+DRFP+80TECH23R0001_v4_+09.18.2023_Update+1,” A.1.34-35, Pgs. 57-59] If a successful large business SEWP VI Contract Holder (“Contractor Holder”) under Category A Group A1 is considered a small business under several NAICS Codes that are listed on pages 57 – 58 of the RFP (i.e., the Contract Holder is considered small under NAICS Code 517121), will the Contract Holder be eligible to submit a bid as a small business for opportunities that come out under Category A group A2 if the opportunity is issued under one of the NAICS Codes listed on pages 57-58 and the Contract Holder qualifies as a small business under that NAICS Code?</p> <p>[“SEWP+VI+DRFP+80TECH23R0001_v4_+09.18.2023_Update+1,” A.1.34-35, Pgs. 57-59] If a successful large business SEWP VI Contract Holder (“Contractor Holder”) under Category A Group A1 is considered a small business under several NAICS Codes that are listed on pages 57 – 58 of the RFP (i.e., the Contract Holder is considered small under NAICS Code 517121), will the Contract Holder be eligible to submit a bid as a small business for opportunities that come out under Category A group A2 if the opportunity is issued under one of the NAICS Codes listed on pages 57-58 and the Contract Holder qualifies as a small business under that NAICS Code?</p>	<p>The RFP will be revised for further clarity.</p>
------------	---	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

380	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	The draft RFP says, "Prime Offerors shall indicate how the contracts are related to the proposed effort in content and scope. No information is requested for proposed subcontractors. Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated." If the offeror is a JV, will the experience of individual JV members be considered, or must the experience be in the name of the JV itself?	This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer to question #67.
379	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	The draft RFP says, "Prime Offerors shall indicate how the contracts are related to the proposed effort in content and scope. No information is requested for proposed subcontractors. Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated." Is the goal of this requirement to encourage the formation of joint ventures, so that the experience of multiple companies technically belongs to the "Prime Offeror"?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
378	(b) Mandatory Experience	Category B-Mandatory Experience Sub-Areas: In the SOW, these are the "Technical Areas" not the "Mandatory Sub-areas". Also, this list is different from the list of Category B Technical Areas in the SOW. Please fix.	This question is similar to question #377. Please see the answer to question #377.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

377	(b) Mandatory Experience	In response to Category A-Mandatory Experience Sub-areas Items 1-6, Category B-Mandatory Experience Sub-areas Items 1-10, and Category C-Mandatory Experience Sub-Areas Items 1-10: "Technical Area", "Mandatory Sub-area", and "Additional Sub-area" are given specific definitions in the SOW. According to those definitions, there are no mandatory sub-areas listed under the technical areas for Categories B or C in the SOW, and the list provided here is actually the list (though somewhat different) of the technical areas, not the mandatory sub-areas. Please fix here and in the SOW.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
376	(b) Mandatory Experience	Is there a recency requirement for mandatory experience REPs?	This question is similar to question #2. Please see the answer to question #2.
375	(b) Mandatory Experience	In section A.3.7.1 (b) Mandatory Experience Category A, the draft RFP says, "The proposed offeror shall provide an excel document reflecting at minimum 2,000 different CLINs with solutions for each sub-area along with the pricing." In this context, does "sub-area" refer to all (mandatory and additional) sub-areas or only the mandatory sub-areas? See SOW Section A.3 definitions.	The Final RFP will provide further clarity.
374	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	The draft RFP says, "The Offeror shall complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30 and the indicated Offeror required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments." Does this include all clauses included by reference?	Yes, the Offeror is required to fill-in in the clauses, provisions, and attachments.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

373	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Section A.3.6 (2) of the draft RFP says, "Line spacing or the amount of vertical space between lines of text shall not be less than single line (Microsoft Office Word's default line spacing)." Word's default spacing between lines is not an entire single line, which would imply double spacing. This could cause confusion. Recommendation: Require spacing to be single space.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
372	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Can a REP for mandatory experience also be used for Past Performance Experience?	There is no proposal connectivity between REP and Past Performance. Therefore, if an REP experience also fits the Past Performance requirements, then it can be used in each area.
371	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can a single Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience be used in Category B and C?	This question is similar to question #49. Please see the answer to question #49.
370	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Please explain what constitutes "effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency." Is the Government looking for cost, timeliness, customer satisfaction?	Thank you for your comment, however we will not be providing definitions for these adjectives.
369	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>1. The scalability and extensibility of the offeror's capabilities that demonstrates the offeror's ability to deliver the full range of IT Solutions for the proposed category.</p> <p>The terms "scalability and extensibility" refer to the properties of systems. #1 is required for all categories. Please clarify how this should be applied to "Services" for categories B and C.</p> <p>Please confirm that the Government is expecting a narrative for each of the Relevant Experience Projects (Mandatory Experiences from Volume 1) to cover all sub-areas for the corresponding Category.</p>	This section will be clarified in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

368	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Exhibit 2 part 6: request removing this from the past performance questionnaire. This is a burden to the evaluator/rater to complete. This could potentially cause a delay in submission.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updated will be included in the final RFP.
367	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Please confirm that both annual performance evaluations AND the Exhibit 2 are wanted for the past performance projects.	Section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume lists all the requested information from the offeror which includes recent customer evaluations of past performance including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, Annual Performance Evaluation Forms, or any other written performance feedback and the past performance questionnaires (Exhibit 2). Please review Section A.3.7.2 for all the other required requested information.
366	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Page 90. 9. If there is not a CPAR or other annual performance evaluation form(s), can a past performance questionnaire that has been completed for another proposal be considered an acceptable customer evaluation?	Offerors are required to have evaluators submit the SEWP VI PPQ as stated in A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME paragraph (b).
365	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Pages 89 - 90, the information requested in #1 (1/2 of 1) - #6 is also requested in Exhibit 2-PastPerfQues-SEWP VI. Please confirm that this is intentional.	Yes, this is intentional.
364	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	Table on page 84. Technical Approach Volume III-A references a SOW Compliance Matrix. The SOW Compliance Matrix is not included as an attachment and is not mentioned anywhere else in the DRFP. Please provide the content that is required.	The SOW Compliance Matrix will be removed in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

363	(a) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	<p>Section A.3.6 (4) of the draft RFP says, "The format for each proposal volume shall parallel, to the greatest extent possible, the format of the evaluation factors and subfactors contained in this solicitation."</p> <p>Typically, "section L" dictates the structure and format of the proposal. Using "Section M" instead will cause tremendous confusion unless the two sections, L&M, are perfectly identical. For example, the 12 elements of the past performance volume, listed in A.3.7.2, are not even listed in the evaluation criteria.</p> <p>We highly recommend using "section L" for the outline of the proposal and "section M" for the evaluation factors. We also recommend that the evaluation factors mirror the structure prescribed by "Section L."</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
362	(a) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	<p>Section A.3.6 (3) says, "Spreadsheets shall also be converted to PDF, in the most readable manner practicable, and submitted as part of a single PDF file." Section A.3.7 says, "Offerors identified as a Large Business shall provide technology solutions for all 6 technical sub-areas with each sub-area proposal consisting of a minimum of 2,000 CLINs in an excel document for the purpose of establishing an administrative database." Please be consistent about PDF vs. Excel. We highly recommend Excel for ease of use.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #138. Please see the answer to question #138.</p>
361	A.3.5 PROPOSALS REQUESTED	<p>The table on page 84 suggests, because of the 90-page limit, that all three categories can be bid on with a single proposal. Yet earlier in Section A.3.5 it says, "Only one proposal for each scope category per offeror will be accepted." Please clarify.</p>	<p>For the three categories the proposer is only required to submit a proposal for the category of choice. Therefore, the total number of pages is dependent on the number of categories with a submitted proposal.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

360	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	<p>A.3.7.1(a) Offer Volume</p> <p>The government requests “third party compliance verification with the ISO 9001 standard via a current ISO 9001:2008 or 9001:2015 Certification.”</p> <p>We suggest that the government leave this requirement to the judgement of the ordering Contracting Officer because the requirement may or may not apply at the order level. Consequently, the compliance should not be requested at the IDIQ level.</p>	This question is similar to question #141. Please see the answer to question #141.
359	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Will Attachment G be provided?	Attachment G- Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (DEIA) Plan- Applicable for Categories B & C is to be submitted by the Contract Holder within 30 days from contract execution.
358	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Will Attachment E be provided?	No, Attachment E will be provided after award if a Contract Holder has a technology refreshment done. Please see Solicitation Section A.1.23 TECHNOLOGY REFRESHMENT for further detailed information.
357	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>A.3.7.2(b) Offer Volume</p> <p>In the interest of efficiency, we suggest that offerors be allowed to submit their most recent CPARS reports where available to attest to confidence ratings for each reference.</p>	This question is similar to question #48. Please see the answer to question #48.
356	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>A.3.7.2(a) Offer Volume</p> <p>The annual value of past performance references is too high for Small Businesses in Categories B and C. In line with other recent GWACs we suggest a total contract value of not more than \$250,000.</p>	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

355	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>A.3.7.1(b) Offer Volume</p> <p>Many REP documentation sets that include certain subareas, will not make explicit reference to them. We suggest the government offer a template for REP Contracting Officers to confirm what subareas the project included. This method was successfully employed by the recent OASIS+ solicitation.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
354	<p>Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512</p>	<p>Page 32 states Group C2 is RESERVED. However, page 88 lists separate requirements for small business offerors vs. HUBZone, SDVOSB, and EDWOSB offerors. Please confirm that Group C2 will be set aside for HUBZone, SDVOSB, and EDWOSB or will actually be 3 sub-groups, one for each socioeconomic category.</p>	<p>Group C2 Reserved is reserved for future usage to support changes in business size designations post contract award.</p>
353	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>A.3.7.1(b) Offer Volume</p> <p>Many REP documentation sets that include certain subareas, will not make explicit reference to them. We suggest the government reduce the number of subareas need to be referenced to six to eight.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
352	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>A.3.7.1(b) Offer Volume</p> <p>The government requires a minimum of three or four REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. We understand that to mean we can re-use REPs for multiple subareas, and that the REP need only reference the subarea. Is our understanding correct? Would the government clarify in the final RFP?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. This will be clarified in the RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

351	(b) Mandatory Experience	Please provide clarification on the mandatory requirement for three or four REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Does this mean that the REPs must make focus on the subareas individually, or simply reference them?	Thank you for your comment. This will be clarified in the RFP.
350	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>A.3.7.1(b) Offer Volume</p> <p>For the Category C REPs, the government states, “A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. “</p> <p>To attain robust Small Business competition on SEWP VI orders, we encourage the government to require that Small Business REPs cover only four of the subareas. This would leverage the ordering Contracting Officers freedom to specify scope at the order level and evaluate experience accordingly. The requirement for SBs to have 75% of the REPs as other than small businesses, and the requirement to have the same breadth of coverage seems unrealistic. The recent edition of the long-running 8(a) STARS III GWAC required specific experience in only one of five NAICS codes to be relevant. This seems more reasonable for Small Businesses.</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #20. Please see the answer to question #20.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

349	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>A.3.7.1(b) Offer Volume</p> <p>For the Category C REPs, the government states, “A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. “</p> <p>To attain robust Small Business competition on SEWP VI orders, we encourage the government to reduce the number of REPs required to two (2). The most recent edition of the long-running 8(a) STARS GWAC required two (2) REPS.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #347. Please see the answer to question #347.</p>
348	A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES	<p>A.3.7.1(b) Offer Volume</p> <p>For Categories B and C Relevant Experience Projects (REPS, the government states, “Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract.” Note that the latest edition of the long running GSA 8(a) STARS GWAC required three to five REPs with a value of \$250K. The Polaris GWAC required only two REPs with a value of \$100K. We suggest REP values more in line with those similarly scoped contracts.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
347	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>For the Category C REPs, the government states, “A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. “</p> <p>To attain robust Small Business competition on SEWP VI orders, we encourage the government to reduce the number of REPs required to two (2). The most recent edition of the long-running 8(a) STARS GWAC required two REPS.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

346	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	For Categories B and C Relevant Experience Projects (REPs), the government states, "Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract." Note that the Past Performance requirements allow affiliate and predecessor contribution. This is more in line with other long-running GWACs such as Alliant 2, Polaris, 8(a) STARS III, and CIO-SP4.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
-----	---	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>345</p>	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME</p> <p>The government states that “information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration.” Given that affiliates are bound under a single legal owning authority, unlike CTA members (subcontractors and JV members), we encourage the government to consider affiliate information for all categories, or at least Category C provided that the “ Offeror shall demonstrate that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor company (its workforce, management, facilities or other resources) shall be provided or relied upon for contract performance such that the parent or affiliate or predecessor will have meaningful involvement in contract performance.” This language appeared in two recent NASA RFPs: (1) NASA Research and Education Support Services-II (NRESS-II), RFP 80HQTR20R0001; and (2) NASA Software Engineering Services (SES III), RFP 80GSFC20R0019. Note that the Past Performance requirements allow affiliate and predecessor contribution. This is more in line with other GWACs such as Alliant 2, Polaris, 8(a) STARS III, and CIO-SP4.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #3, #7, and #46. Please see the answer to those questions.</p>
------------	---	--	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>344</p>	<p>FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)</p>	<p>A.1.12 GSFC 52.216-92 MINIMUM/MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUPPLIES OR SERVICES (FIXED PRICE) (MAR 2022) Does paragraph imply that the ceiling for each vendor contract is \$20,000,000,000? Please clarify where this ceiling applies.</p>	<p>Yes, the ceiling for each vendor contract is \$20,000,000,000.</p>
<p>343</p>	<p>FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)</p>	<p>A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 Reports of Work (IDIQ/BPA) (March 2023) Do the reports referenced in paragraphs a) and b) apply to the IDIQ level or the task order level?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The RFP is being updated for clarity.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

342	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Given our previous question and the SBA rule regarding the selection of the applicable NAICS Code for a MAC procurement, and that ordering activities must use the NAICS codes identified by product category to place orders, 13 CFR 121.406(b)(3) provides the following concerning the nonmanufacturing rule:</p> <p>The nonmanufacturer rule applies only to procurements that have been assigned a manufacturing or supply NAICS code, or the Information Technology Value Added Resellers (ITVAR) exception to NAICS code 541519. The nonmanufacturer rule does not apply to contracts that have been assigned a service (except for the ITVAR exception to NAICS code 541519), construction, or specialty trade construction NAICS code.</p> <p>As a result of NASA's election to use a service NAICS code in the draft SEWP VI RFP, the nonmanufacturing rule will not apply.</p> <p>Will NASA revise the Draft RFP to identify product or supply NAICS codes for product or supply categories as appropriate?</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for Clarity.
-----	--	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

341	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	In the draft RFP, there's a concern that the designated NAICS Code 541512 for Category A doesn't accurately represent its primary focus on acquiring products. According to 13 CFR 121.402(c), contracting officers should assign NAICS codes that align with the principal purpose of the acquisition. Will NASA revise the Draft RFP to divide it into more discrete categories with appropriate NAICS codes assigned to each category as required by 13 CR 121.402(c)?	Thank you for the suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
340	(b) Mandatory Experience	"Category B: A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." Based on the list of 10 Sub-areas, this equates to 40 REP's with a minimum value of \$30M each. Is this accurate? 40 REP's seems excessive and would eliminate a large number of small businesses.	The RFP is being revised for clarity.
339	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	Will SEWP utilize past performance ratings for existing SEWP contract holders in the evaluation process?	As stated in A.4.3 the Government may review and consider past performance information on other contracts that it is aware of or that are made available from other sources and inquiries with previous customers.
338	A.1.43 GOVERNMENT PURCHASE CARD	Will Contract Holders be allowed to show a purchase card fee on quotes? In essence allowing the customer to select which payment option they intend to use?	This question is similar to question #11. Please see the answer to question #11.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

337	(b) Mandatory Experience	Section A.3.7.1(b), p.87. In the Category B section, the draft RFP indicates that offerors must include “a minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas.” Are offerors permitted to present contracts they have performed as a lead or supporting member of a CTA/joint venture?	This question is similar to question #192. Please see the answer to question #192.
336	(b) Mandatory Experience	In Part III of Exhibit I, it says that offerors must “provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents.... You may also highlight or 'tag' the location in the supporting documents but doing so is not required. Use an Arial font, no smaller than 10 points.” May offerors use the Highlight/Comment feature in PDF to tag the location of relevant information (rather than typing on the face of the document)?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
335	(b) Mandatory Experience	In Part III of Exhibit I, it says that offerors must “provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided and identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents....” Will the Government clarify what types of supporting information/evidence are allowable (e.g., FPDS report, contracts, SOWs, PWSs, invoices/progress reports, proposals, etc.) and whether the Government requires the full document, full section, or an extract of the document that contains the relevant information?	This question is similar to question #283. Please see the answer to question #283.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

334	(b) Mandatory Experience	Exhibit 1 instructions specify that offerors include supporting documents to validate the information provided in the template. The instructions also indicate that the completed template may not exceed a total of 3 pages. Having responded to several solicitations that require supporting documents, we know that it is often necessary to include numerous pages of supporting document(s) to fully validate claims. Would the Government confirm that the 3-page limit does not include the supporting documents?	No supporting documents are needed for Exhibit 1. Just the cover page and up to two pages for the narrative a total of three pages.
333	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	Section A.4.3, p.98. How does the Government require offerors to calculate the average annual value for a contract?	Please reference section A.3.7.2(a)4. as there is an example provided for how to calculate the average annual value for a contract.
332	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	"Offerors shall include the letters of authorization for each mandatory Sub-area provider and OEM point of contact who can verify that information." How does SEWP plan to allow for OEM's that do not require a formal relationship or LOA, but are available through distribution, as is very common in the VAR industry? LOA's for every OEM is very "GSA-like" and could substantially shrink the pool of contract holders able to respond to opportunities.	The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

331	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Section A.3.7.3(b)(1), p.94. The draft RFP indicates that “the offeror shall describe their teaming relationships with other vendors, manufacturers, and service providers such that the Government can assess the extent to which a wide variety of technologies and services is proposed and will be maintained that will support, interconnect and enhance the full range of products and services in scope for SEWP.” Would the Government please confirm that it is not referring to subcontractors, but to product and service providers that offerors have relationships with?	Section A.3.7.3(b)(1) is referring to product and service providers.
330	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Section A.1.2, Category B, pp. 29-32. Would the Government please confirm that it is not necessary for a contract to demonstrate all aspects of the description for a technical sub-area?	The sample list of representative service areas is not meant to be all-inclusive, but are indications of types of in-scope services-based solutions. Other services which adhere to the definition of ITC/AV services and encapsulate an enterprise-wide solution, are within scope and may be provided to meet an agency’s particular mission needs. Note that the while the general service areas of Category B services in some cases overlap with Category C, Category B is only intended to be utilized for large agency/enterprise-wide implementations.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

329	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Section A.3.6(b), p.83. On page 83 of the draft, there is a page limit of 30 pages for the Mission Suitability section of each of the Category proposals. That seems to be insufficient space given some of the content that is required for those sections. For example, for Category A (Section A.3.7.3, pp.92-94), the draft RFP dictates that each sub-area proposal should consist of a minimum of 2,000 CLINs in an Excel document. That alone would exceed 30 pages. Could the Government please clarify what content is included in the 30-page limit for each of the Category proposals, and what content is excluded?	This question is similar to question #300. Please see the answer to question #300.
328	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	"remain available for purchase by the Government for at least the first year of the contract unless a proposed refreshment of that is approved." With the rapid pace of technology updates, how is it expected that Category A members guarantee that products are available for a period of one year?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity with this phrase being removed.
327	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	These contracts (if any) must meet the above "recent" and minimum average annual cost/fee expenditures criteria to be evaluated. - Can you explain how this requirement is applicable to Category A?	Past Performance will be evaluated for Categories A, B, and C in accordance with the RFP.
326	A.1.35 AbilityOne SUBCONTRACTING	Section A.1.35. p.59. The draft RFP specifies that tasks under a number of NAICS Codes require the Contractor to use AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors. Would the Government confirm that offerors do not need to develop and propose these relationships as part of their proposals?	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that the Contractor should establish a formal agreement with SourceAmerica/NIB as proof of commitment to meeting the mandatory requirement to utilize non-profit organizations.
325	A.1.7 AUTHORIZE CONTRACT TYPES	Section A.1.7. p.37. Can there also be Hybrid orders?	Orders under SEWP VI do not exclude hybrid orders, which is determined by the Ordering CO. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

324	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Section A.3.7.2(b), p.92. The draft RFP indicates that the “offeror shall provide the questionnaires provided as Exhibit 2 to this draft RFP...” Would the Government consider allowing offerors to provide completed CPARs to the Government in their proposals in lieu of the PPQs?	This question is similar to question #161. Please see the answer to question #161.
323	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Section A.3.7.2(a), p.89. The draft RFP specifies that “if applicable, Offerors may provide the past performance of a parent or affiliated or predecessor company to an Offeror where the Offeror’s proposal demonstrates that the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor will affect the performance of the Offeror.” Will the Government confirm that offerors may also include contracts from a subsidiary?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
322	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Section A.3.7.2(a), pp.90-91. The draft RFP indicates that “Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of relevant experience project. The information shall match the past performance information with the relevant experience identified in paragraph (a)(13) of this section. Offerors are advised that the matrix is a summary of the referenced contracts identified in paragraph (a)(13) above.” There does not appear to be a paragraph (a)(13). Is the Government referring to (a)(12), the unnumbered paragraph beginning at the bottom of page 90, or a missing item (a)(13)?	This question is similar to question #77. Please see the answer to question #77.
321	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	Can you confirm that CMMI is not applicable to Category A?	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect that Category A and Category C does not have a CMMI certification requirement.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

320	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Section A.3.7.2(a), pp.89-90. The draft RFP says that Offerors identified as a Large Business in Categories A and B shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate).“ Would the Government please clarify if each past performance has to cover 4 content representative areas, or if the 3 past performances can collectively cover the 4 content representative areas?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
319	A.1.37 FAR 52.216-3 ECONOMIC PRICE ADJUSTMENTS - SEMISTANDARD SUPPLIES. (NOV 2021)	Is it the intention to limit price increases to 10%, similar to the GSA model? GSA has long been hampered by their pricing limitations and were unable to adequately respond to the post-pandemic inflationary prices. Recommended that SEWP VI maintain current increase policies as the PMO is industry savvy and understands economic conditions fluctuate.	This question is similar to question #156. Please see the answer to question #156.
318	(b) Mandatory Experience	Section A.3.7.1(b), p. 87. In the Category B section, the draft RFP indicates that offerors must include “a minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas.” Large projects often address multiple sub-areas. Would the Government please confirm that a single contract may be used to show multiple sub-areas?	This question is similar to question #49. Please see the answer to question #49.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

317	(b) Mandatory Experience	Section A.3.7.1(b), p.87. Category A, and Categories B and C. The draft RFP notes in several places that “Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration. Since there are several places in the draft RFP that differentiate between an affiliate and a subsidiary, is it correct to conclude that information from subsidiaries will be evaluated? If so, if, for example, a contract is listed under the subsidiary’s name and UEI, what kind of documentation does the Government require to validate the relationship of the subsidiary to the bidder (e.g., a SAM report)?	The RFP will be revised for further clarity.
316	A.1.15 DISCOUNTS FOR TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT	Will GSA pricing be required on Technical Refresh's (TR's)?	No.
315	A.1.15 DISCOUNTS FOR TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT	Will there be a similiar pricing model to SEWP V where you have multiple discounts per product category?	No.
314	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Section A.3.7.1, p.86. One of the bullets in this section requires offerors to “provide information addressing all of the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume).” Would the Government please provide more detail about what information it requires in this section? The FAR clause provides only high-level guidance.	This question is similar to question #251. Please see the answer to question #251.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

313	A.4.2- Phase One-Certifications and Mandatory Experience	Section A.4.2, p. 98. Phase one Mandatory Experience will be evaluated Pass/Fail. For Category B, how will the 40 Relevant Experience Projects be assessed for a “pass” rating in terms of scope relevance? What standard of evaluation will be used? For example, how will NASA evaluate a REP to determine if it is relevant for “IT Service Management.”	The Pass/Fail for mandatory Experience is based on an Offeror providing proof in the form of submitting Exhibit 1- REP template that they have the relevant experience for a given technical area or they cannot provide the necessary REP reflecting they do not have the relevant experience.
312	A.1.15 DISCOUNTS FOR TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT	Are discounts based on product categories alone and set for the life of the contract vs the current MMR product discount structure?	There are no product category discounts referenced in the draft RFP. The section cited discusses discounts at the Item level.
311	(b) Mandatory Experience	Section A.3.7.1(b), p.88. For Mandatory Experience, Categories B and C, please define “total value size.” Is “total value size” determined differently for completed vs ongoing projects? For simplicity, we recommend that “total value size” be defined as total contract ceiling value regardless of whether the project is completed or ongoing (e.g., the “Base and All Options Value (Total Contract Value”) field in FPDS).	This question is similar to question #122. Please see the answer to question #122.
310	(b) Mandatory Experience	Sections A.3.7.1(b), p.87, and A.3.7.2.(a), p.89. For Mandatory Experience, page 87 indicates that Category B and C offerors cannot use experience from affiliates. For Past Performance, page 89 indicates that affiliate performance can be used if an offeror demonstrates meaningful involvement. We recommend that affiliate Mandatory Experience also be allowed if an offeror demonstrates meaningful involvement.	Thank you for the suggestion, the government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected on the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

309	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	It appears that the NAICS code is not at the contract level and the customer can select other "approved NAICS codes" for their specific RFQ. What if the task order CO selects a NAICS code that is not "approved". Does the SEWP PMO provide guidance to the customer?	Yes, an ordering CO can only use in-scope NAICS for their orders.
308	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Section A.3.7.3(a)2-4, p.93. Mission Suitability - Technical Approach. The RFP indicates that Category B bidders must include a reseller list and related information. How will this be evaluated for Category B? Is there a minimum required number of products? Will more products be evaluated more highly for Category B?	This question is similar to question #307. Please see response to question #307.
307	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Section A.3.7.3(a)2-4, p.93. Mission Suitability - Technical Approach. The RFP indicates that Category B bidders must include a reseller list and related information. Most Category B-type IT services/solutions providers are not resellers but offer full solutions including products via subcontracting the reseller component to small business. Is this approach acceptable? Will a Category B offeror who does not have a reseller list be ineligible for award or evaluated less highly?	This will be updated in the final RFP.
306	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	A.3.7.3(a)2-4, p.93. Mission Suitability - Technical Approach. The RFP indicates that both Category A and Category B bidders must include a reseller list – both providers and their products, letters of authorization, and relationship description. While this makes sense for Category A, we are not clear on this requirement for Category B, where products are ancillary. Please remove or clarify this requirement for Category B.	The Mission Suitability Volume applies to all categories. In the final RFP we will specify what we are asking for each of the approaches for the different categories.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

305	A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers	Overhead-Z and G&A-A- Are these CLINS specific to Categories B and C?	Overhead-Z and General & Administration-Z are not specific to Categories B and C.
304	A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers	For ODC-Z - please provide an example of what would fall under this task.	This is determined at the order level.
303	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Do Letters of Authorization need to be individual from each manufacturer on their letterhead or will one from distribution showing a reseller's authorized OEM's be sufficient?	This question is similar to question #54. Please see the answer to question #54.
302	(b) Mandatory Experience	Requiring 3 Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) for each of the 10 mandatory experience sub-areas for Category C will be difficult for small businesses to provide. We applaud the SEWP PMO for deciding to include Services as a standalone category and request the PMO to allow for consideration the use of subcontractor relevant experience to meet the REP proposal requirements and thus encourage more small businesses to pursue this contract.	Thank you for your comment, we will take it into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
301	FAR PROVISIONS:	Please confirm (in accordance with FAR 52.207-6) that offers will be accepted for SB Teaming Arrangements where the Prime is the Offeror and the Prime has agreements with one or more small business concerns to act as subcontractors to the Prime Offeror.	Yes, in accordance with FAR 52.207-6 offers from small business concerns and small business teaming arrangements or joint ventures will be accepted as long as they meet the mandatory certification and experience requirements.
300	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Section 5 - Please confirm the Excel CLIN list will be a separate document and not included in the Technical Approach 30-page count.	Yes, the Excel CLIN list will be a separate document and will not be included in the Technical Approach 30-page count.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

299	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Section 5 requires Offerors to provide a CLIN list that includes the unique part number, provider price, and UNSPSC code. Is the provider price the OEM's List Price? Please clarify.	Yes, provider refers to the Original Equipment Manufacturer/ Service Provider.
298	V. FAR 52.212-3 OFFEROR REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS—COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022)- ALTERNATE I (OCT 2014)	Accept all terms and conditions	Error submission
296	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Accept all terms and conditions	Error submission
294	FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) ALTERNATE I (NOV 2021) – ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Time and Material and Labor- Hour Orders)	Accept all terms and conditions	Error Submission

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>293</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>(a) Inspection/Acceptance (b) Assignment (c) Changes (d) Disputes (e) Definitions (f) Excusable delays. (g) Invoice (h) Patent indemnity (i) Payment (j) Risk of loss (k) Taxes (l) Termination for the Government's convenience (m) Termination for cause (n) Title (o) Warranty (p) Limitation of liability (q) Other compliances (r) Compliance with laws unique to Government contracts (s) Order of precedence (t) [Reserved] (t) [Reserved] (u) Unauthorized Obligations (v) Incorporation by reference.</p> <p>Accepted all the terms and conditions</p>	<p>Submitted in Error.</p>
------------	---	--	----------------------------

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>292</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>["Exhibit 1 – Relevant Experience Project Table," Part III, Pg. 1]</p> <p>The instructions indicate the completed template may not exceed 3 pages total; however, this editable Adobe file does not allow the narrative to continue beyond the first page. Will NASA be offering an updated template that allows for development of content across 3 pages or otherwise clarify how offerors should present this material?</p> <p>["SEWP+VI+DRFP+80TECH23R0001_v4_+09.18.2023_Update+1," Section A.3.7.1, Pg. 86]</p> <p>A.3.7.1 and Section K include references to joint ventures; however, the instructions and evaluation criteria appear to be absent on the rules and evaluation of information being provided through joint venture submissions. For example, offerors are required to demonstrate current ISO certification, and often in a joint venture submission, the government allows for the required certification(s) to be from either member of the joint venture or in the name of the joint venture itself. Is NASA allowing for certifications, experience, and other requirements to come from either member of the joint venture? And will these items be evaluated equally regardless of which side of the joint venture they are provided by?</p> <p>Does NASA have any limitations on joint venture submissions outside of the SBA approval described on</p>	<p>Past 2 of this question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer to question #67.</p>
------------	---	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

291	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Prime Offerors shall furnish similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation due date to be considered recent. Would the Government consider changing the recency requirement from three (3) years of the solicitation due date to three (3) years from the solicitation release date?	Thank you for your comment, we will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
290	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	With regard to the draft solicitation as a whole, but sections A.3.7, A.4.3, and A.4.4 in particular, would the Government please clarify if there are minimum proposal requirements from a Protégé company in a qualifying MP-JV? (e.g., must a certain number of the Relevant Experience or Past Performance projects be in the name of the MP-JV or the Protégé)	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
289	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For Small Businesses proposing in Categories A & C- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an average annual value of \$500,000 (Five Hundred Thousand) for size to be rated relevant (Moderate). Must each past performance reference have an annual value of \$500,000 or is \$500,000 a cumulate total across all references?	This question is similar to question #43. Please see the answer to question #43.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

288	A.1.7 AUTHORIZE CONTRACT TYPES	<p>3)With regard to draft RFP section A.1.7, we encourage NASA to consider cost-type contracting for Categories B and C. The envisioned IT Solutions based requirements could place significant performance risk upon the awardee - doing so under price-type contracts could result in technical risks to the Government, financial risks to the awardee, or deter certain offerors from participating in solicitations. The risk-sharing nature of cost-type contracting affords the Government greater flexibility in establishing technically challenging requirements while reducing financial risks to industry through the cost-reimbursable performance (and at lower profit margins than price-type contracts.)</p>	SEWP VI is a commercial contract and therefore will not be utilizing cost reimbursement orders.
287	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	<p>While Industry understands and appreciates the NASA SEWP Program's commitment to the success of our small business partners, we recommend that the Program open Category C to unrestricted offerors. Across the Government, there are many Missions/Programs which are both large and broad in scope (e.g., USCG Rescue 21, TSA's IT Infrastructure Program) and limiting Category C to Small Business could deter certain Agency customers from using SEWP VI.</p>	This question is a duplicate of question #1001.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

286	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Would the Government please provide a definition of what constitutes Enterprise-Wide vs. Mission/Program level IT solutions? With SEWP VI being a Government-wide Acquisition Contract, this definitional guidance is important because the size and scope of an Enterprise and a Mission varies widely between Agencies (and in some cases, within Agencies). For example, certain DoD or DHS Missions could be both larger (e.g., # of end users, workstations, nodes, etc.) then other Agencies' Enterprise systems (e.g., U.S. Forestry Service, or National Telecommunications and Information Administration). Such definitional guidance helps industry understand the envisions requirements and thus propose high confidence past performance and management proposals, as well as inform bid / no-bid decisions on SEWP itself.	Thank you for the suggestion. Reference question #226 for the definition of Enterprise-wide level IT Solutions. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
285	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	The Relevant Experience Project (REP) form mentions supporting information/evidence and attaching documentation. Could the Government please clarify what supporting information or evidence is required and/or considered acceptable?	This question is a duplicate of question #283. Please see the answer to question #283.
284	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Can the government confirm that offerors are permitted to use the same project across multiple REP forms to meet the Category B sub-areas requirements of a minimum of 4 projects required?	Thank you for your comment. This will be clarified in the final RFP.
283	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	The Relevant Experience Project (REP) form mentions supporting information/evidence and attaching documentation. Could the Government please clarify what supporting information or evidence is required and/or considered acceptable?	Thank you for your comment. This will be clarified in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

282	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Within the Category B Representative Areas, there are 10 areas to respond to. However, in the SOW scope and category description, there is an "11b" area for Program management/Ancillary Services Supplies. Could the government clarify if 11b should be part of the overall response, or if this requirement is not needed?	This question is similar to question #27. Please see the answer to question #27.
281	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	In regards to evaluation criteria, the DRFP specifies that past performance projects with an annual size of \$2,500,000 will be considered moderate. Could the government please provide the criteria with specific dollar amounts for what would be considered Very High, High, etc.?	No, additional clarification will be provided.
280	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will the Government please provide a text document (PDF or Word) of the draft RFP? The PDF posted is not formatted as text, so it is not searchable. Being able to search would help greatly in our review of the 133-page document.	This question is similar to question #19. Please see the answer to question #19.
279	A.4.5 Prospective Contractor Responsibility	In order to not limit the potential pool of qualified offerors, will the Government consider removing the word "Commercial" from A.4.5 and allow the submission of a qualified Subcontracting Plan in accordance with FAR 52.219-9?	SEWP is commercial contract and therefore only commercial subcontracting plans are to be submitted.
278	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Can the Government please update the joint venture requirements to remove the outdated requirement for SBA to approve the JV agreements prior to contract award?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

277	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Would the Government consider updating the Past Performance Section to allow for CPARS in lieu of past performance questionnaires?	This question is similar to question #48. Please see the answer to question #48.
276	A.3.2 COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION	Would the Government consider allowing more than two individuals per company to the Industry Day?	Thank you for your comment.
275	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will the Government please confirm that REPs may be used across more than 1 Category area?	See response to Question #5.
274	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Can the Government please clarify which Categories ISO 9001 certification is required for?	ISO 9001 certification is required for all categories.
273	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	In accordance with 13 CFR § 125.8, recommend adding evaluation factors and eligibility considerations for SBA MP JVs certified as every socio-economic category.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
272	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Will contracts supporting state governments and local governments be permitted for the past performance projects?	Offeror shall provide past performance information from NASA contracts, other Government contracts, and commercial contracts. The final RFP will be revised for clarity.
271	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will contracts supporting state governments and local governments be permitted for the mandatory experience projects?	Yes, the final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
270	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will the Government consider lowering the required \$30M project values? Few Small businesses that provide Professional Services have multiple \$30M projects.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

269	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will the government be including instructions for a Pricing Volume?	There is no Pricing Volume in the RFP.
268	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Is this only for the current vendors who are in the NASA SEWP contract list or any vendors can be the part of this RFI who are new to the federal government and still were not be the part of any NASA SEWP contracts?	This question is a duplicate of question #267. Please see the answer of question #267.
267	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Can any vendors participate on this RFI who are new to the federal government or who were not in the list of NASA SEWP earlier?	Yes, any vendor with an active registration in SAM.gov is eligible to participate regardless of being new to the federal government or not previously having a SEWP contract.
266	II. FAR 52.212-5 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT STATUTES OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS—COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (JUN 2023)	Are hardware, software products required for Cat B? You do not provide a table or direction on how to provide.	Category B is for Enterprise-Wide ITC/AV Service Solutions.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

265	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	<p>Per Section A.3.7.3(b)(3)(1-7) page 95, Commitment to Sustainability – We recommend that the wording be changed to require them to have an Environmental Certification IAW ISO 14001:2015 vice a Plan. We have a certified ISO 14001:2015 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, covering our global headquarters, which supports the tracking and reporting of our greenhouse gas emissions. We recommend allowing an Annex to include the certification documentation, which addresses directly the first two questions and provides a structure to address the other five questions (if the ISO process determines they are material for a business's operations) listed under Commitment to Sustainability and allows for NASA SEWP program office to use the certification as an additional criteria for down selection if desired.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.</p>
-----	---------------------------------------	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

264	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	<p>In Section A.3.5, the DRFP states "Only one proposal for each scope category per offeror will be accepted. Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category..."</p> <p>The table in Section A.3.6(b), shows the Technical Approach Volume having a 90-page limit, breaking out each of the categories as having a 30-page limit, seeming that an offeror could combine up to three categories in a single technical volume. Please clarify whether a separate proposal is required for each category an offer is bidding on with a technical page limit of 30 pages or if the offeror may submit one technical volume combining up to three categories; each limited to 30 pages for a total of 90 pages if all three categories are bid.</p>	<p>This question is a duplicate of question #263. Please see the answer of question #263.</p>
-----	---	---	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

263	A.3.5 PROPOSALS REQUESTED	<p>In Section A.3.5, the DRFP states "Only one proposal for each scope category per offeror will be accepted. Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category..."</p> <p>The table in Section A.3.6(b), shows the Technical Approach Volume having a 90 page limit, breaking out each of the categories as having a 30 page limit, seeming that an offeror could combine up to three categories in a single technical volume. Please clarify whether a separate proposal is required for each category an offer is bidding on with a technical page limit of 30 pages or if the offeror may submit one technical volume combining up to three categories; each limited to 30 pages for a total of 90 pages if all three categories are bid.</p>	This question is similar to question #74. Please see the answer of question #74.
262	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Would the Government consider adding Monitoring Services to this section as they are critical to IT Operations and delivery of quality services?	The scope is inclusive of all Information Technical, Communication and AV Services. Therefore, Monitoring Services are in scope.
261	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Is the Small Business Subcontracting Plan excluded from page limitation?	Yes, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan is excluded from the page limitation.
260	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Is the Government using the term small business utilization (table in A.3.6(b) synonymously with the required small business subcontracting plan as mentioned on page 79, FAR Clause 52.219-9?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

259	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Could the Government please clarify what their expectations are for a Phase-In as it is listed as part of the proposal content requirements in Table in A.3.6(b) but nowhere else. Is the Phase-In response excluded from page limitations?	The Proposal Content Table in the Final RFP will be revised and does not include Phase-In requirements.
258	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Would regular script numbers suffice?	In Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix, if the Offeror performed as subcontractor, insert an "S" accompanied by a subscript number to indicate the subcontract tier. If the Offeror performed as a prime contractor, insert a "P" in the appropriate block.
257	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Paragraph (a)(13) appears to be missing.	This question is similar to question #77. Please see the answer of question #77.
256	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will the Government provide a template workbook or shall offerors create their own format?	Exhibit 1 REP template is provided. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
255	A.1.32 GSFC 52.219-90 SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN AND REPORTS. (NOV 2021)- APPLICABLE TO LARGE BUSINESSES	Can the Government please confirm the Small Business Subcontracting Plan will be applicable across all awarded Categories versus each Category awarded having its own Small Business Subcontracting Plan?	Each Category will have its own award and therefore require its own small business subcontracting plan.
254	(b) Mandatory Experience	In Phase II, past performance from affiliates is permitted. Why then is Mandatory Experience from affiliates disallowed? This instruction appears inconsistent with other similar DRFP requirements.	Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. The Final RFQ will be revised accordingly.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

253	(b) Mandatory Experience	This instruction could be interpreted as meaning: (1) 2,000 CLINs per sub-area * 6 sub-areas = 12,000 total CLINs; or (2) 2,000 CLINs total, with at least 1 CLIN per sub-area so all 6 sub-areas are covered. Is there a minimum number of CLINs per sub-area that offerors must propose?"	This question is similar to question #131. Please see the answer of question #131.
252	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Request the Government update pdf versions of the RFP to include OCR capability thus allowing contractors to search the document(s).	This question is similar to question #19. Please see the answer of question #19.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

251	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>FAR 19.04 requests information from offerors that will be present in other volumes of the proposal or federally managed data repositories. For example, FAR 19.04-1(c) states: "Have a satisfactory performance record (see 9.104-3 (b) and subpart 42.15). A prospective contractor shall not be determined responsible or nonresponsible solely on the basis of a lack of relevant performance history, except as provided in 9.104-2;". Offerors will demonstrate a satisfactory performance record by submitting Mandatory Experience during Phase 1 and Past Performance during Phase 2, and the Government may verify this record using CPARS and other available information (e.g. FAPIIS, past performance questionnaires, other information sources). Thus, what specific information is the Government expecting offerors to provide in response to the seven areas requested by FAR 9.104-1? Must offerors respond to all seven criteria or is there specific information the government is seeking from contractors (e.g., documentation of financial resources in response to FAR 9.104-1(a))?</p>	<p>As referenced under Section A.3.7.1 Offer Volume Contractors are to provide information addressing all the elements to demonstrate responsibility. Offerors shall address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume.</p>
250	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	<p>The past performance volume is expected to cover significant scope areas from the 10 sub areas. Ten pages at 12 font does not provide the ability to adequately present sufficient information for that determination. Request the Government increase the past performance page count to 20 or more pages.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

249	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	The RFP requires a matrix as part of the past performance submission. It is not clear whether this matrix is part of the counted pages. Request the Government exclude the summary table from the past performance page limitation.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
248	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	The RFP provides an example matrix for Category A submissions identified as "Table 1". It is not clear whether this is required for Category B or C submissions. Request the Government clarify requirements for Categories B and C.	All Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth in Section A.3.7.2 (a) Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of relevant experience project. Please note the required matrix information in this section is only provided as an example.
247	(b) Mandatory Experience	Part III of Exhibit 1, the instructions include a requirement to "identify where the supporting information/evidence is in the attached documents. You may also highlight or tag the location in the supporting documents..." The RFP instructions do not provide direction to include supporting documents with the REP form. Request the Government clarify whether REPs are stand alone documents or should include attached contract documents.	The exhibit will be revised for further clarity in the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

246	A.4.2- Phase One-Certifications and Mandatory Experience	<p>Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table. The title the actual file is "EXHIBIT 2"</p> <p>The Relevant Experience Project Table refers to Section A.3.5.1(b) of the solicitation. The location in the draft solicitation is Section A.3.7.1(b)</p> <p>Part III: Project Description Recommend changing "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service provided..." to "Provide a clear and concise description of the Mandatory Experience Sub-area supported..."</p>	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be provided in the final RFP.
245	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	The Government requires offerors in (1) Commitment to Product and Services Diversity to "describe their teaming relationships with . . . service providers" so that the Government can determine that "a wide variety of technologies and services is provided and will be maintained. . ." Yet in A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume the Government expressly states it will not accept or evaluate past performance from proposed subcontractors. Since subcontractors will undoubtedly play a significant role in Category C Services, shouldn't subcontractor past performance be a consideration in proposal evaluation?	This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer of question #67.
244	A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance	In the interest of ensuring all socio-economic offerors are evaluated similarly, we suggest consolidating this requirement for any small business offeror.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
243	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	To simply this objective, we suggest the Government require offerors to comply with the GHG protocols on Public Disclosure of Scopes 1 and 2 Emissions. This approach was successfully employed by similar BIC vehicles such as OASIS+.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

242	A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME	We suggest the Government remove requirements regarding approved reseller provider teaming for Offerors proposing against Category B. Based on outlined scope by Government for Category B, the participation of Reseller vendors should not be required for vendors to be credible on future task orders. This approach is also consistent with other separation of category specific requirements throughout the draft RFP.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.
241	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Are providers required to hold ISO 9001 and CMMI Certifications?	This question is similar to question #105. Please see the answer of question #105.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

240

A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME

We recommend the Government revise this requirement to align with RFP A.4.3, Past Performance Evaluation Criteria. As such, our recommendation is that vendors show a minimum of 3 REPs of \$500,000 minimum average annual value for Categories A and C and a minimum of 3 REPs of \$1,000,000.00 minimum average annual value for Category B.

A similar approach in requiring average annual value thresholds rather than total contract values has been successfully employed in other multiple agency BIC vehicles such as OASIS+. In taking this approach, the Government greatly reduces their risk of protest while aligning the RFP to the stated evaluation criteria.

Additionally, requiring 4 unique REPs over \$30M in total value size for Small Business IT Service providers is an unreasonably high threshold. Based on three year averages, any organization that can certify as small business today, while also possessing 4 unique REPs of \$30M total value size or higher, will be unable to re-certify as a small business at the task order level during the Period of Performance of SEWP IV. As such, the Government runs the risk of eliminating task orders from agencies that desire to contract with small businesses at time of task order award, not just at time of vehicle award.

Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be reflected in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

239	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	We recommend the Government revise this requirement to align with RFP A.4.3, Past Performance Evaluation Criteria. As such, our recommendation is that vendors show a minimum of 3 REPs of \$500,000 minimum average annual value for Categories A and C and a minimum of 3 REPs of \$1,000,000.00 minimum average annual value for Category B.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updated will be provided in the Final RFP.
238	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Please clarify small business offerors are not required to include small business utilization embedded into their Management approach Volume?	Any changes will be reflected on the final RFP.
237	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	If each Category is to be submitted as separate submissions, can the Government please clarify that the page limit for this volume should be 30 pages?	This question is similar to question #74. Please see the answer of question #74.
236	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	We suggest the Government leverage the Symphony submission tool. In doing so, the Government will be in alignment with other Best-in-Class (BIC) vehicles such as GSA Polaris and GSA OASIS+.	Thank you for the suggestion.
235	A.1.42 ON-RAMP ACTIVITY	We suggest requiring recertification for small business offerors at year 5. Due to various mergers, acquisitions, and growth, many businesses originally awarded the vehicle will have grown out of Small Business size standards at the conclusion of the SEWP IV Period of Performance. As such, we recommend the Government consider opening the Small Business pool for an onramping period to replace the vendors who have sized out.	Thank you for the suggestion, the government will take it into consideration. Any changes will be reflected on the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>234</p>	<p>(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR</p>	<p>Pages 88-89 state that "No information is requested for proposed Subcontractors. Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated."</p> <p>Please clarify why the Government will not consider the capabilities and past performance that subcontractors, particularly in Category C - Services, contribute to a prime contractor. This seems highly unusual in a small business set-aside with complex technical requirements that encompass eleven Technical Areas. Would it not be in the best interests of the Government to encourage small business primes to field teams that provide technical depth and diversity to assure that primes can respond effectively and timely to the Government's requirements?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer of question #67.</p>
<p>233</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>7. In Instruction A.3.7.1 on page 87-88, For Category B and C, Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template. However, In Instruction A.3.7.2, For Small Businesses proposing in Categories A & C- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an average annual value of \$500,000 (Five Hundred Thousand) for size to be rated relevant (Moderate). For Small Businesses proposing in Category B- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an annual average value of \$1,000,000 (One Million) for size to be rated relevant (Moderate). The Value requirements in sections A.3.7.1 and A.3.7.2 are different, would the government fix that in the final RFP?</p>	<p>The value requirements in Section A.3.7.1 and A.3.7.2 are being revised. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

232	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	6.In Instruction A.3.7.1 on page 87, For Category B, A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Can we use the same REP for different sub-areas?	For Category B you can only use a total of 4 REPs from 4 different technical areas out of the 10 listed. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
231	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	5.In Instruction A.3.7.1 on page 87, For Category B, A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Can the government allow minimum of 2 REPS for the small business?	For Category B you need a total of 4 REPs from 4 different technical areas out of the 10 listed in Section A.3.7 (b).
230	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Please confirm that each team member of a Small Business Contractor Team Arrangement must provide third party compliance verification with the ISO 9001 and Capability Maturity Model Integration level 2 or higher in their proposal submission.	ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification for Offerors proposing as Contractor Teaming Arrangements (CTA) or Joint Ventures (JV): Evidence shall be provided that the certification is in the name of the CTA or JV, or in the name of each company in the CTA or JV.
229	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Please confirm that each team member of a Small Business Contractor Team Arrangement must meet the SB size standard associated with NAICS 541512 - Computer Systems Design Services of \$34.0M.	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
228	A.3.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION	If a Contractor Teaming Arrangement document is needed, will it be excluded from any page allocation?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

227	(b) Mandatory Experience	Is there a timeframe that Offeror's should use when deciding which contracts/task orders they will submit for the Relevant Experience Projects?	Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
226	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Please confirm that the Government considers an enterprise as an agency or specific implementation activity within the Government.	Enterprise-wide is defined as a large-scale requirement involving multiple departments, locations, and customers.
225	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	Is the Government stating that Category C is only Group C1 and is only an SB set aside?	This question is a duplicate of question #189. Please see the answer of question #189.
224	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Since the ISO 9001 Certification standard is similar to and performs relatively the same function as the CMMI Certification standard, will the Government accept either ISO 9001 or CMMI ML 3 for this requirement?	This question is a duplicate of question #188. Please see the answer of question #188.
223	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Can bidders use a point type size no smaller than 10 in the headers and footers?	This question is a duplicate of question #191. Please see the answer of question #191.
222	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can the Government please confirm that REPs can be provided by either the offeror or members of an offeror team, such as subcontractors, CTA members, members of a joint venture, suppliers, or OEMs?	This question is a duplicate of question #192. Please see the answer of question #192.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

221	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Will the Government please confirm that past performance contracts must have a minimum of one year of performance to be considered relevant?	This question is a duplicate of question #193. Please see the answer of question #193.
220	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Incorporating a requirement for contracting officers to specify the Payment Method in the RFQ would be highly beneficial. This proactive step will result in cost savings for the government, as contract holders would no longer be required to account for unnecessary credit card payment provisions.	Thank you for your comment.
219	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Pages 98 & 99 Phase Two Past Performance: Should Relevant Project Experience (REP) and Past Performance for small business thresholds be the same for both?	No, Relevant Project Experience (REP) and Past Performance for small business thresholds should not be the same for both.
218	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 93 & 94 For Category A Only (6): Some mandatory sub-areas do not have a minimum of 2,000 CLINs such as laptops/desktops/tablets or Network Attached Storage (NAS), etc. Can this requirement be modified to meet the actual number of available products?	No, this requirement can not be modified to meet the actual number of available products.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

217	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 92 Prior customer Evaluations (Past Performance Questionnaires): Will a small business Value Added Reseller (VAR) be required to list every available product from large manufacturers such as IBM, HPE, Dell, etc. This will be hundreds of thousands of part numbers.	No. Clarification will be provided in the Final RFP.
216	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 89 Past Performance Small Business Sizes: Should Relevant Project Experience (REP) and Past Performance small business thresholds mirror each other? The title states, 'Categories A & C' but the following paragraph states, "Categories A & B". Which is correct?	Thank you for the question. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
215	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 88 PP Volume (a): Will SEWP consider allowing subcontractor past performance to be used for relevant past performance?	This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer of question #67.
214	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Past Performance pages 88-89 AND 99: Can affiliated or predecessor company experience be used for Relevant Experience Projects (REPs)?	This question is similar to question #192. Please see the answer of question #192.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

213	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 87 REP and PP The Relevant Experience Project (REP) pages 87-88: Will SEWP consider allowing small businesses to respond to a sub-section of mandatory experience areas for Categories B & C? Small businesses will find it difficult to respond to all 20 mandatory areas.	Thank you for the suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
212	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 87 REP and PP The Relevant Experience Project (REP) pages 87-88: When a contractor is a subcontractor on a task order, can the subcontractor claim the total amount of the award or only the portion supplied by the subcontractor? Will there be a lower threshold, smaller than \$30,000,000 for small businesses?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
211	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 87 REP and PP The Relevant Experience Project (REP) pages 87-88: Small Business Contractors submitting in Categories B&C must submit 4 REPs for each of the mandatory sub areas for Category B and 3 REPs for each mandatory sub area for Category C. This is a total of 40 REPs and 30 REPs for Categories B & C respectively and EACH REP must have a total order of contract of a minimum of \$30,000,000. How can a small contractor have a total of \$2.1 BILLION dollars with of Relevant Project Experience within the last three years?	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer of question #20.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

210	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 86 A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification: Will the government accept a plan for achieving CMMI Level 2 for small businesses rather than certification at time of aware? Will the government accept a plan for achieving ISO-9001 for small businesses rather than certification at time of aware?	This question is similar to question #17. Please see the answer of question #17.
209	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 84 (2) Proposal formatting: Formatting states, Time New Roman, minimum 12 Font, etc. However, it later states in the same paragraph specifically for Excel, Arial, 9 to 12 Font. Is the original font, Times New Roman, 12 font just for Word?	This question is similar to question #36. Please see the answer of question #36.
208	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 63 IT Supply Chain Risk (e) : How do you interpret the "substance of this clause" that must be included?	The clause is provided in full text. No additional information is available
207	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 56 Basic Safeguarding on Contractor Info (2) c: How do you interpret the "substance of this clause" that must be included?	The clause is provided in full text.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

206	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 53 Supply Chain Risk (d): How do you interpret the "substance of this clause" that must be included in all subcontracts involving the development or delivery of any information technology whether acquired as a service or as a supply?	Vendors should make every effort to minimize supply chain risks as much as possible.
205	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 50 A.1.26 CONTRACTOR COLLECTION OF AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING FEE: Is ¾% (0.75%) correct? The fee on SEWP V was 0.34%. Is there a cap?	An Agency Administrative Handling Fee, not to exceed .34% of the total price of the delivery order, shall be applied to all orders under the SEWP VI contracts. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
204	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 46 Partial Shipments: For products that become unavailable, can the contractor supply a substitution?	To supply a substitution for products that become unavailable, this would need to be negotiated and acceptable to the issuing agency. It cannot be done unilaterally.
203	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 46 Partial Shipments: Do all products within a purchase order have to ship together?	Yes, all products within a purchase order have to ship together unless the issuing agency agrees to a partial delivery.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

202	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 45 Material Inspection & Receiving Report: For Value Added Resellers (VARs) that coordinate delivery of supplies, is this requirement waived? VARs do not have access to the product; it ships direct from the manufacturer to the Agency.	No, the Material Inspection and Receiving Report is not waived.
201	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 41 Fair Opp & Requests for Quotes: Must contractors meet both (1) and (2) for RFI response where item on not yet listed on the contractors SEWP contract or is one OR the other acceptable?	The Final RFP will be updated to clarify in A.1.13 Fair Opportunity and Requests for Quotes that contractors must meet both (1) and (2) for RFI Responses where an item is not yet listed on the SEWP Contract.
200	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	SEWP VI Solicitation "x" DRFP Page 15 C (2) If the rebate is the only profit for the supplied product, can the contractor retain the rebate?	No, the contractor cannot retain the rebate. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
199	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment A: Statement of Work: We recommend the government to move Technical Area 1b - Enterprise-wide Network Services, Technical Area 6c - Digital Multimedia and Technical Communication Services, Technical Area 8c - Network Services, & Technical Area 10b - Enterprise-wide Digital Multimedia and Technical Communications Services to A.3.1 Category A as these would be better aligned.	Thank you for your comment.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

198	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment A: Statement of Work: A.3.2, pg 11 & A.3.3, pg 4: Will the government consider removing Digital Multimedia task areas from Category B and Category C as the specific services required as these do not align with the scope of the other services that are needed that are required in those categories?	Thank you for your comment.
197	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	Attachment C - SEWP User Manual - Page 31 - NAICS Codes. Can the government clarify the contract level NAICS 334111 for Group A and 541519 for Group B & C. This is different from SOW NAICS 541512.	Attachment C will be revised to reflect the eligible NAICS Codes in scope for SEWP VI is defined in the contract's terms and conditions Section A.1.33 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE.
196	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Can the Government please confirm that offerors only need to provide a technical approach for the categories in which they are offering, not all of the categories?	Yes, offerors only need to provide a technical approach for the categories in which they are offering, not all of the categories.
195	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can the government consider a minimum of 2REPs for Category B similar to Category C for Hubzone, SVOSB, EDWOSB firms?	Thank you for your comment.
194	(b) Mandatory Experience	(b) Mandatory Experience, Category B & C, Page 87/88: For Small Business and Socioeconomic offerors, will the government consider making the past performance value requirements similar to those listed on page 89 (average annual value of \$500,000/\$1,000,000)? The current total value size of contracts at \$30M will be extremely constraining amongst small business and socioeconomic offerors.	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer of question #20.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

193	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (a) Information From The Offeror - Will the Government please confirm that past performance contracts must have a minimum of one year of performance to be considered relevant?	This question is similar to question #2. Please see the answer of question #2.
192	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (b) Mandatory Experience - Can the Government please confirm that REPs can be provided by either the offeror or members of an offeror team, such as subcontractors, CTA members, members of a joint venture, suppliers, or OEMs?	This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer of question #67.
191	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION-GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS Item (2) - Can bidders use a point type size no smaller than 10 in the headers and footers?	As stated in A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION-GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS Item (2) no smaller than 12- point type font. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
190	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) - NAICS 541512 (Pg 39) - Please confirm that the Government considers an 'enterprise' as an agency or specific implementation activity within the Government.	Enterprise-wide is defined as a large-scale requirement involving multiple departments, locations, and customers.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

189	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016) (Pg 32) - Is the Government stating that Category C is only Group C1 and is only an SB set aside?	Yes, Category C is only Group C1 and is a Small Business set aside.
188	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification (Pg 86-86) - Since the ISO 9001 Certification standard is similar to and performs relatively the same function as the CMMI Certification standard, will the Government accept either ISO 9001 or CMMI ML 3 for this requirement?	No, all categories must provide a ISO 9001 certification and categories B and C must provide a CMMI certification in addition to the ISO 9001.
187	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	At the top of page 91 in section A.3.7.2(a)12, Offerors identified as Small Businesses in Category A are instructed to provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate). On page 93 in section A.3.7.3(a)6, Offerors as a Small Business [in Category A] are instructed to provide technology solutions for a minimum of 3 technical sub-areas. Are the 3 sub-areas mentioned in each of these sections required to be the same sub-areas, or is it acceptable for them to be two different sets of sub-areas?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

186	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	<p>In Section A.3.7.2(a)12, in the last paragraph of page 91 (and the continuation of this paragraph on page 92), there are three mentions of "paragraph (a)(13)".</p> <p>Could the CO kindly provide clarification on the specific paragraph being referred to, as we have been unable to locate it? Is this referring to paragraph (a)(12)?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #77. Please see the answer of question #77.</p>
185	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>The Draft RFP states that for the Mandatory Experience sections of Volume – Offer Volume that “Relevant experience from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration.” Will the Government consider revising this language to allow evidence of meaningful relationships so that Offerors operating as a single company under unified management can reference experience gained through acquisitions?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #3, #7, and #46. Please see the answer of those questions.</p>
184	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Will the Government confirm that there are no requirements for recency for the projects referenced in the Mandatory Experience section of Volume I – Offer Volume?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #2. Please see the answer of question #2.</p>
183	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Exhibit 1: The file is correctly named as “Exhibit 1 -...”, but the document header says “EXHIBIT 2:”</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #159. Please see the answer of question #159.</p>
182	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	<p>Category B/Tech Area 2B Managed Services. Is hardware expected to be included in the Managed Services or obtained through Category A Products?</p>	<p>Category B is a self contained category. Products related to managed services will be part of any Managed Service Requirement within that Category.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

181	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Is it a requirement to propose a solution in all the category/tech areas or only those where there is a mandatory requirement?	Please see Section A.3.7.1 (b) for all the requirements related to mandatory experience for each category.
180	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	Is NASA open to changing the arrangement of the Tech Areas in Category A to line up with the end user (PCs, Print, Conference Room, Accessories)? Items outside of the data center. -	Thank you for your comment however, no we are not open to changing the arrangement of the Tech Areas in Category A.
179	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 (b)88Question: If single REPs cover down on multiple Category B and/or Category C sub-areas, can those REPs be used multiple times in meeting the requirement of 4 Cat B REPs per sub-area and/or 3 CAT C REPs per sub-area?	This question is similar to question #49. Please see the answer of question #49.
178	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.582Question: If a SB submits as a Prime in any of the 3 Categories, may that SB also be a teammate on a Large Business who is submitting as a Prime in any authorized Category?	Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
177	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 (b)88"Questions: 1. May a LB who submits a Category C proposal as Prime also be part of a CTA and/or JV submitting a proposal in any Category? 2. If so, can the LB REPs that were submitted in the LB Prime proposal also be used in the CTA or JV proposal?"	Category C is a total small business set-aside.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

176	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.3 (a)93Question: Is it acceptable for an Offeror who is not an authorized reseller to use a subcontractor team who are either OEMs and/or authorized resellers of product to meet the CLIN requirements?	No. When the requirement is for an Authorized reseller, the contract holder must be authorized. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
175	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 (b)88Question: Excluding SDVOSB, HUBZone and EDWOSB, are only three (3) REPs in total required for Category C, or is the requirement for 3 REPs for each sub-area which would total 30?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
174	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 (b)88"DRFP states, ""Category B: A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas."" Question: Does the Government intend for Category B Offerors to submit a total of 40 qualifying REPs?"	No, the government does not intend for Category B offerors to submit a total of 40 qualifying REPs.
173	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 (b)88Question: May a LB compete in Category C?	No, a LB can not compete in Category C.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

172	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.1 (b)87"DRFP states, ""Note that a provider and their corresponding CLINs may only be used for one Technical Mandatory Sub-area and cannot be duplicated within a given sub-area."" Question: May a single subcontractor OEM be cited in multiple sub-areas providing the CLINs are not duplicated in different sub-areas? "	A single subcontractor OEM cannot be cited in multiple sub-areas for the mandatory solutions however, they can be for the other proposed solutions.
171	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Pg. 88 of DRFP - Category C: A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Question: Given that there are 10 Mandatory Experience Sub-areas Can the the same REPs be used for more than one Mandatory Experience Sub-area?	This question is similar to question #49. Please see the answer of question #49.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>170</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>Pg. 88 of DRFP - Category C: A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>Pg. 80 of DRFP - For Small Businesses proposing in Categories A & C- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an average annual value of \$500,000 (Five Hundred Thousand) for size to be rated relevant.</p> <p>Pg 99. of DRFP - In Category C, shall provide past performance references showcasing at least 3 content representative areas. HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB shall provide past performance references showcasing at least 2 content representative areas.</p> <p>Question: For SDVOSBs in Category C, please also clarify whether REPs are needed for all Mandatory Experience Sub-areas or for a least 2 sub-areas?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #76. Please see the answer of question #76.</p>
------------	---	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

169	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Pg. 88 of DRFP - Category C: A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>Pg. 80 of DRFP - For Small Businesses proposing in Categories A & C- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an average annual value of \$500,000 (Five Hundred Thousand) for size to be rated relevant.</p> <p>Pg 99. of DRFP - In Category C, shall provide past performance references showcasing at least 3 content representative areas. HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB shall provide past performance references showcasing at least 2 content representative areas.</p> <p>Question: For SDVOSBs in Category C, please clarify whether the offeror needs projects totally \$30 M or \$500,000?</p>	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer of question #20.
168	(b) Mandatory Experience	The \$30M minimum REP requirement means a small business would have a minimum of \$24M annual revenue which is pushing the small business threshold for NAICS 541512 and eliminates most small businesses from being able to compete. Would NASA SEWP VI consider changing the minimum REP requirement to \$5M?	Please see the answer to question #9 and #20.
167	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Could you clarify what Certification Bodies are acceptable for ISO 9001?	Certification can be done by any accredited certification body.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

166	(b) Mandatory Experience	Does 13CFR125.2 (g) apply for SB relevant to this statement? "Relevant experience from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluate or taken into consideration."	Please see the answer to questions 3, 7, or 46.
165	(b) Mandatory Experience	Can one REP demonstrate experience in more than one sub-area?	No, one REP can not demonstrate experience in more than one sub-area.
164	(b) Mandatory Experience	For SB JVs, does CFR125.2 (e)(4)(ii) apply?	Please see the answer to question #6.
163	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	As a review of exiting Questions submitted- #17 references the question of whether the bidder can be in process for ISO and CMMI. It is clear the answer for ISO must be certified at time of submission. Could you confirm whether CMMI can be in process at time of submission?	Thank you for your comment.
162	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	As stated in Section 3.7.1 (a), for both Category B and C, it is required to have either a CMMI-DEV or a CMMI-SVC Level 2. We respectfully request that the government reconsider this requirement for Category B. With our current experience with the success of the SEWP V contract, there are numerous customers that purchase both product and services together which Category B will enable- which may not require a significant amount of services that are beyond installation or support services. As a result, the specific prime bidder need for CMMI level 2 seems, at this time, unnecessary and not what is required for many of the tasks orders existing today or in future. We do understand and agree that Category C scope seems well placed but for Category B, we would request a reconsideration based on existing successful business with SEWP V.	Thank you for your comment, as stated in the Draft RFP, Category B is an Enterprise Wide IT Services Category. Please note that the Categories in SEWP VI are not the same as SEWP V.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

161	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	<p>Exhibit 2 -</p> <p>Will the Government confirm that CPARS is or is not acceptable as a rating verification for SEWP VI and only a Past Performance Questionnaire submitted by the customer will be evaluated?</p>	Any changes will be reflected in the RFP.
160	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	<p>Past Performance Questionnaire – The form requests the Cage and DUNS but not the UEI. Can the Government confirm whether it would like Offerors to include the UEI?</p> <p>1-Contract Info: Can the Government define the Total Contract Value? Including Option Years?</p> <p>1-Can the Government clarify H – Award Information – Basis of Selection – Technical __ Cost/Price ___?</p> <p>2-Description of Contract: Can the Government clarify what it means by Does a corporate or business relationship exist between the firm being evaluated and your organization? Does this apply to this solicitation?</p> <p>4-Ratings: Can the Government confirm that a SDVOSB bidding Cat C – only needs a minimum of 2 IT Solutions ICT and AV Blocks checked as “Performed” for the project to qualify as a REP?</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

159	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	Exhibit 1 REP is listed as Exhibit 1 but when opened it is titled Exhibit 2. Relevant Experience Project Table does not have a signature space for the Contracting Officer or Corporate Official. Can the Government confirm that this form is not required to be sent to the customer for signature and only the PPQ must be signed by the customer?	The typo on exhibit 1 will be corrected with the Final RFP. Per the REP instructions the form does not require a signature.
-----	---	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

158

A.3.7 PROPOSAL VOLUMES

Attach A SOW

A.3-A.3.3

DRFP A.3.7.1, Page 88

There are Mandatory Sub-Areas listed for Categories A but not for B and C. However there are Mandatory Experience Sub-areas for Category C in the DRFP on page 88. There are Content Representative Areas on page 91 that is the same list. Can the Government confirm that these lists are one in the same? If they are the same then each REP must address all 10 Sub-Areas and Past Performances would match and address all 10 content rep areas?

Can the Government confirm SB Offerors bidding on only Cat C must provide a min of 3 REPs that address all 10 Mandatory Experience Sub-areas? And must also provide Past Performance covering at least 3 content rep areas (which are the same as the Mandatory Experience Sub-Areas)?

SDVOSB bidding only Cat C must provide a min of 2 REPs that at some level meet each of the 10 Mandatory Experience Sub-areas? And at least 2 Past Performances meeting at least 2 content rep areas?

The final RFP wording will clarify that in Category C the 3 REPs are for 3 of the 10 areas for small businesses and the 2 REPs are for 2 of the 10 areas for SDVOSB.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

157	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Category A, states Offerors identified as a Large Business shall provide technology solutions for all 6 technical sub-areas with each sub-area proposal consisting of a minimum of 2,000 CLINs....” Is NASA requesting an aggregate total of 2,000 CLINs in each Technical Area to include CLINs for the mandatory subareas and 50% of the additional subareas as defined in the SOW?	This question is similar to question #131. Please see the answer of question #131.
156	A.1.36 FAR 52.216-2 ECONOMIC PRICE ADJUSTMENTS - STANDARD SUPPLIES. (NOV 2021)	Does the Economic Price Adjustment clause apply to all SEWP contract pricing thus limiting increases to 10% each year regardless of the increase in commercial list prices? Will this allow for increases of 10% each year?	FAR 52.216-2 ECONOMIC PRICE ADJUSTMENTS – STANDARD SUPPLIES. (NOV 2021) applies to only Fixed Price Economic Price Adjustment contract type orders. The RFP will be updated for further clarity.
155	A.1.28 INVOICES – SUBMISSION OF	Do invoices meeting the criteria stipulated need to be sent to the the SEWP PMO for all orders or just NASA orders?	All invoices shall be submitted to the “Designated Billing Office” and/or “Designated Payment Office” address specified in each delivery order. Additionally, monthly invoice copies for Category A order awards valued at \$6 million and over and all Category B and C order awards will need to be sent to the SEWP PMO via email to gsfc-dl-sewp-finance@mail.nasa.gov .
154	A.1.17 NFS 1852.246-72 MATERIAL INSPECTION AND RECEIVING REPORT (APR 2015)	Are DD250’s required for just NASA delivery orders, all orders, or just those including this clause in the orders?	DD 250s are required for NASA, the DoD, and other agencies who utilize them for deliveries.
153	A.1.7 AUTHORIZE CONTRACT TYPES	Is the Offeror eligible for award if they can offer some of the allowable contract types? Does the Offeror need to specify what types of task order/delivery order awards they can accommodate?	The Offeror is eligible for award if they offer some of the allowable contract types. The SEWP GWAC allows for Firm Fixed Price, Time and Material, Labor Hour, Fixed Price Award Fee, Fixed Price Incentive Fee, and Fixed Price Economic Price Adjustment orders. Ordering Contracting Officers are required to include the appropriate FAR and agency clauses when using a respective contract type.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

152	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	How many contracts in each Category does NASA intend to award? Is there a maximum number of awards?	The government cannot predict how many companies will be SEWP VI awardees. The Government is not working to a maximum number of awards.
151	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	In reference to the selected NAICS code 541512 – Computer Systems Design Services, please advise why SEWP has decided not to use the broader and more inclusive NAICS Code 541519 – Information Technology Value Added Resellers. NAICS code 541512 does not include the SBA Size Standard Employee exception and will cause many current small businesses on SEWP V to be recategorized as Large Businesses. Furthermore, we believe the category of Computer Systems Design Services does not speak to the true depth and breadth offered on the NASA SEWP contract scope. Is the PMO open to reconsidering the decision to use NAICS Code 541512 and changing it to 541519?	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer of question #33.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

150	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>Page 88 states: Category C: A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template. Here it states: For Small Businesses proposing in Categories A & C- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an average annual value of \$500,000 (Five Hundred Thousand) for size to be rated relevant (Moderate). Please clarify that the Volume 1, Mandatory Experience requirement, to provide three (3) Relevant Experience Projects, each with a minimum total value size of \$30M, applies only to large businesses?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer of question #20.</p>
149	<p>A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance</p>	<p>Under the second heading for “Small Business–” RECOMMEND adding SDB (Small Disadvantaged Business) to the Category B and C lists of SBA small businesses. Including SDBs will broaden the small business competitive pool and give NASA access to additional small business resources.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #143. Please see the answer of question #143.</p>
148	<p>(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)</p>	<p>Paragraph (3): Small businesses may not have the environmental footprint or the resources to address “Commitment to Sustainability” criteria. RECOMMEND this section only applies to other than Small Businesses.</p>	<p>Thank you for the suggestion. Any Changes will be reflected on the Final RFP.</p>
147	<p>(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)</p>	<p>Paragraph (1) implies that offerors may add new “solution providers” after award. Please confirm this means a SEWP prime contractor may add teammates after award to deliver comprehensive Task Order solutions.</p>	<p>Yes, the offeror will be able to add new solution providers throughout the life of the contract.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

146	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	RECOMMEND replacing the first sentence in item 2 with “If the offeror is a reseller, provide a list identifying the providers and provide the full suite of products and services from each identified provider for the mandatory sub area.”	Thank you for your comment any updates will be reflected in the final RFP.
145	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	The Paragraph beginning with “The offer shall provide a description...” should be item number 13	Thank you for your comment any updates will be reflected in the final RFP.
144	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	RECOMMEND adding “CPARS” to the list of acceptable customer evaluations.	This question is similar to question #48. Please see the answer of question #48.
143	(b) Mandatory Experience	Category C: Including SDBs will broaden the small business competitive pool and give NASA access to additional small business resources. RECOMMEND adding Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB) to the grouping of HUBZone, SDVOSB, and EDWOSB.	Category C includes HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a, and SDB offerors.
142	(b) Mandatory Experience	Category B: The minimum of 4 REPS with total value of \$30M each would approximate a company with 4x\$30M revenue over (typically) 5 years. That implies \$24M in annual revenue. This will, in turn, exclude a significant group of otherwise capable Small Businesses. RECOMMENDATION: Change the requirement to 4 REPS with at least ONE COMPLETED YEAR of revenue exceeding \$6M.	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer of question #20.
141	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	re CMMI: The DRFP presents a requirement for all offerors to be CMMI (DEV or SVC) Level 2 Appraised. This appears to be a new compliance requirement that may be difficult to achieve before the RFP is released. RECOMMEND changing the requirement for CMMI Level 2 to be delegated to the Task Order level. Doing so would allow time for offerors to comply, and if they cannot (or elect not to) comply they would be disqualified from bidding any Task Order with a CMMI requirement.	Thank you for your comment. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

140	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Paragraph (2): The paragraph mentions Excel exhibits, but the RFP does not explicitly present a requirement for Spreadsheet content for Categories B and C. RECOMMEND a note of clarification: "Proposal submissions for categories B and C do not require Excel exhibits."	Thank you for your comment.
139	(a) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	Paragraph (4): RECOMMEND following this methodology: Proposal INSTRUCTIONS should prescribe the proposal format and content. EVALUATION CRITERIA should MIRROR those proposal INSTRUCTIONS, i.e. instructions say what to submit; evaluation criteria say how that submittal is evaluated.	Thank you for your comment.
138	(a) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	Last paragraph before #4: Saving a Spreadsheet as a PDF can result in a variety of formats with content distributed across horizontal and vertical pages. This can be difficult to read and navigate. RECOMMEND revising the 2nd sentence: "Spreadsheets shall be submitted in the original Excel spreadsheet format as well as in searchable Adobe Portable Document Format." Also, please confirm that Categories B and C do not have a requirement for Spreadsheet content.	Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file (compatible with ADOBE Reader version DC or 2017), with appropriate bookmarks. Spreadsheets shall also be converted to PDF, in the most readable manner practicable, and submitted as part of a single PDF file.
137	(a) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	In paragraph (3) RECOMMEND rewording the opening sentence: "Electronic files of Volumes I, II, and III shall be in separate Windows-compatible zip files, virus free, no larger than 150 GB per file."	Electronic files of Volumes I, II, and III, shall be in separate folders in 1 zip file virus free no larger than 120MB per file. Submitted files shall not include password protection. Unless specifically authorized by the solicitation instructions, alternate proposal submissions shall not be submitted.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

136	A.3.6 PROPOSAL PREPARATION—GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	The 3rd paragraph suggests subcontractors/teammates can be offered to satisfy REP requirements. RECOMMEND that NASA clearly state that REPs from subcontractor/teammates will satisfy at least two requirements for projects >\$30M, but requiring the Prime Vendor to provide the rest.	This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer of question #67.
135	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	I understand that SEWP is trying to introduce 541512 NAICS Code for Small Business and CMMI Level. My suggestion would be to keep the same structure as you have in SEWP V and add a new category for Small business with 541512 and CMMI Level. This will allow Services business to bid via this new category.	This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer of question #33.
134	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will the government please provide a virtual Industry Day option? We are a small business with staff who will only be able to join remotely. Thank you in advance for your consideration!	Industry Day was held on October 18, 2023. Presentation slides and Industry Day Audio can be viewed on the SEWP VI site.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

133	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION- COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	The requirements for small business offerors to possess with a minimum RFP of average of \$500,000 for single contract along with minimum of 3 categories to meet category A requirement eliminates many offerors from consideration. Especially for the newcomer contractor who is interested in participating on this NASA SEWP VI RFP opportunity. In order to be more small business friendly we highly encourage NASA to consider giving the chance to the small business contractors to show their past performance that meets minimum of least 1 or 2 category to be considered as a moderate rating with no minimum contract value so they can get the opportunity to show their ability to support SEWP VI projects.	Thank you for your comment any changes as a result of your comment will be added to the final RFP.
-----	---	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

132

IV. FAR 52.212-2
EVALUATION-
COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS
AND COMMERCIAL
SERVICES (NOV 2021)

NASA SEWP VI's procurement should allow Federal agencies to access the services provided by highly qualified small, mid-size/OTS, and large companies. The current draft SEWP VI solicitation has no place for mid-size and newly Other Than Small (OTS) businesses to offer their proven capabilities and resources. As a highly successful small business that will surpass the NAICS 541512 size standard in 2024, our company does not yet have the breadth of qualifications (quantity and scale of REPs) to meet the Category B mandatory experience threshold, and we can no longer compete in Category C1. We recommend that NASA allow Offerors to form teams that meet the REP qualifications for Category B. Alternatively, NASA can allow OTS Offerors to compete for a Category C2 award, based on appropriately tailored mandatory experience requirements. Many mid-size companies offer the quality, innovation, responsiveness, and cost-effectiveness of a small business, and are highly valued partners to government agencies.

Thank you for your comment any updates will be added to the final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>131</p>	<p>(b) Mandatory Experience</p>	<p>The requirement states "The proposed offeror shall provide an excel document reflecting at minimum 2,000 different CLINs with solutions for each sub-area along with the pricing." Furthermore, it references the following as the mandatory experience sub-areas:</p> <p>Category A -Mandatory Experience Sub-areas:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. IT Computer Systems/ Storage Devices/ Compute Facilities 2. Networking Technology/ Mobility & Communications Software and Cloud Technology 3. Supporting Technology/ Security Technology 4. A/V Equipment and Accessories 5. Product- based services <p>The Mandatory Experience Sub-areas stated within this section are termed Technical Areas within Section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK. Each Technical Area provides mandatory and additional sub areas within Section A.1.2. Can the Government clarify if the proposed offer shall provide 2,000 different CLINS per Technical Area (12,000 in total across 6 Technical Areas) OR if the proposed offer shall provide 2,000 different CLINS per mandatory Sub Area within each Technical Area?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, this will be clarified in the final RFP.</p>
------------	---------------------------------	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

130	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration.</p> <p>Will the Government allow product wholesale/distribution or OEM partners to be utilized in the development of a commercial price list?</p>	The Government does not place any restrictions on who an offeror works with on their proposal.
129	(b) Mandatory Experience	2000 Mandatory CLINS seems very restrictive and limiting to companies pursuing a place on SEWP VI. Recommend revising the wording to Recommended CLINS, by lowering minimums or adding a Category A breakout, the subset CLINs with a lower amount to allow for companies that have specialty IT product lines.	Thank you for your suggestion however, Category A is set-up for the ability to obtain a broad spectrum of IT solutions and is not meant for specialty contracts.
128	A.1.0 FAR 52.252-2 CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE (FEB 1998)	Will the Government consider adding the FAR Subpart 27.4 / 52.227.14 to cover Intellectual Property Rights?	Thank you for the suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
127	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Exhibit 2, page 11: Section 6 of the questionnaire will likely require multiple points of contact to complete. This section will prevent a single POC such as the COR or CO from being able to complete the form. This additional complexity risks the form NOT being submitted on time. There are other methods to validate the value calculation. This calculation should be part of the offeror submission and not levied upon client POCs. Request the Government remove this requirement from the questionnaire.	Thank you for the suggestion. Any changes will be reflected with the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

126	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	Exhibit 2, page 10: The client questionnaire form requires reviewers to circle or highlight the chosen rating. The form provided is not capable of being edited as such. This would prevent respondents from being able to electronically complete the form, risking it not being completed. Request the Government either provide an editable form, or allow offerors to modify the form so it can be directly completed electronically by clients.	Thank you for bringing this to the government's attention, the exhibit in the final RFP will be revised to fix this error.
125	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	The past performance submission requirements in paragraph 9 require submission of award fee determinations and annual evaluations. Paragraph (b) page 92 requires submission of a questionnaire for each reference. Many Government clients who participate in CPARs evaluation will not complete proposal questionnaires since the CPARs provides their performance evaluation. This limits the contracts that an offeror can select for past performance. Request the Government accepts contract CPARs reports as evidence of contract performance and only require questionnaires from contracts without CPARs.	This question is similar to question #48. Please see the answer of question #48.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

124	A.4.2- Phase One- Certifications and Mandatory Experience	Neither the submission instructions on page 87 or the evaluation criteria on page 98 explain how the REP submissions will be evaluated. There are no evaluation criteria and no indication on whether REPs will be evaluated singularly or collectively. Can the Government verify and request clarification on how the mandatory requirements REP submissions will be evaluated? The Government is requiring a minimum of 4 contract references per sub area which would normally imply evaluating the scope coverage in aggregate.	This question is similar to question #123. Please see the answer of question #123.
123	(b) Mandatory Experience	Neither the submission instructions on page 87 or the evaluation criteria on page 98 explain how the REP submissions will be evaluated. There are no evaluation criteria and no indication on whether REPs will be evaluated singularly or collectively. Can the Government verify and request clarification on how the mandatory requirements REP submissions will be evaluated? The Government is requiring a minimum of 4 contract references per sub area which would normally imply evaluating the scope coverage in aggregate.	The evaluation criteria is outlined under section A.4.1 Source Selection and Phased Evaluation. Sections A.4.2 and A.4.3 provide additional information on REP evaluation.
122	(b) Mandatory Experience	The RFP states "Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size...". It is not clear whether this requirement refers to actual spend on the contract or the projected total value of the contract. Request the Government confirm that the value is the total awarded value of the contract, inclusive of future periods of performance for contracts in execution.	The \$30M refers to the total projected value size of a single order or contract. The RFP will be revised for clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

121	(b) Mandatory Experience	The instructions do not specify whether a single contract can be used for multiple sub areas. Large, broad scope contracts will often include multiple subcategories as defined in the RFP. Request the Government confirm that a contract may be used as a REP in multiple sub areas.	This question is similar to question #49. Please see the answer of question #49.
120	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	A.3.7.2PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME: a.12 - CATEGORY A, B, C, can a service bidder provide the same past performance in all three categories?	The Offeror shall provide a description of its relevant past performance history in meeting the technical and management requirements identified in A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME: a.12 for each respective category. Any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.
119	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Technical Area 2a: NETWORKING TECHNOLOGY/ MOBILITY & COMMUNICATIONS - Additional Sub-Areas: Will the government please provide a list of network service equipment.	The government will not provide a list of network service equipment. It is up to the proposer to provide as broad a spectrum of networking solutions as they are able to.
118	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Technical Area 2a: NETWORKING TECHNOLOGY/ MOBILITY & COMMUNICATIONS: can the government describe the Network security equipment?	Specific requirements for Network Security Equipment will be submitted by individual agencies at the order level.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

117	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>As stated in Bullet 3- "If proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA)..." indicates this is available to a bidder. Later in both REP and Past Performance, it is stated that partnering/subcontractor qualifications would not be evaluated. Could you provide more specific clarity of the CTA terms and roles that would be considered to allow appropriate past performance, compliance verification etc. would be considered. Please differentiate whether you believe a CTA may be different for a large bidder vs. a small bidder based on the NAICS in Categories A, B and C.</p>	<p>Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. The Final RFQ will be revised accordingly.</p>
116	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	<p>Please confirm if bidding as a joint venture, an offeror can use the individual JV member's projects and certifications to meet the proposal requirements?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer of question #67.</p>
115	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Please confirm an offeror can use an ID/IQ, BPA, or BOA as a single Relevant Experience Project for the Mandatory Experience requirement. It will greatly increase the viability of small businesses and mid-tier large businesses to meet the current thresholds.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #69. Please see the answer of question #69.</p>
114	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	<p>We are curious why the Government didn't select NAICS code 541519 with the VAR footnote 18 as the primary NAICS code for Groups B and C. We understand why NASA couldn't use 541519 for Category A, but the scope for Groups B and C matches the description of this NAICS code very well.</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion, any changes will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>113</p>	<p>Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512</p>	<p>Based on our experience as a SEWP V prime contractor, we'd like to suggest that NAICS code 541512 doesn't accurately represent the task orders that will likely be issued under Category A, which resembles the scope of the existing SEWP contract most closely. While many SEWP task orders include labor-based services, there are also many more task orders where the majority of contract value is taken up by OEM products manufactured by large businesses. NAICS 541512 is a problematic NAICS code for the common type of SEWP task order. Small businesses would have problems fulfilling those orders with the Limitations on Subcontracting rule. Additionally, We understand that a Non-Manufacturer Waiver cannot be applied to this NAICS code. Thus, it appears the Government has selected a primary NAICS code for Category A that would exclude most of the orders currently being fulfilled by SEWP.</p> <p>Given the above information, we request the Government consider 334111 as the primary NAICS code for Category A and make 541512 as one of the in scope NAICS codes. This has the added benefit of allowing the vast majority of current SEWP V small businesses to stay small businesses (whereas they are large under 541512), and it allows for more flexible contracts concerning the Limitation on Subcontracting issues. It's also much more representative of the kind of work SEWP has facilitated for several decades.</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #33. Please see the answer of question #33.</p>
------------	---	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

112	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	We recommend that NASA consider two procurement techniques currently in use by other agencies which also have multiple categories, socio-economic pools, and NAICS codes. 1) For example, OASIS+ requires offerors to represent in their proposal their size status for all in-scope NAICS codes for a domain. 2) GSA is using that information to allow offerors to participate in any small business set aside on subsequent task orders for which they qualify based on the NAICS code. We believe these approaches are particularly important for Category A because there are a large variety of NAICS codes and size standards. Most current SEWP V small businesses will not qualify as small under 541512 but can qualify as small under many of the other 334XXX and 335XXX size standards in this category. We believe it will benefit all parties to ensure SEWP VI contractors can view and bid on set-aside contracts based on the task order NAICS code, and not whether or not they were small under just 541512.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration any updates will be included in the final RFP.
-----	---	--	---

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

111	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION-COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	The requirements for small business offerors to possess with a minimum RFP of average of \$500,000 and minimum of \$30M for single contract eliminates many offerors from consideration. Especially for the first time contractor who is interested in participating on this NASA SEWP VI RFP opportunity. In order to be more small business friendly we highly encourage NASA to consider removing the CMMI/ISO 9001 requirement and give the chance to the contractors to show their past performance regardless of the average amount of value OR (No minimum value) per contract as long as it aligns with the Scope of Work mentioned on the RFP.	This question is similar to question #30. Please see the answer of question #30.
110	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	As a Small Business obtaining and maintaining the ISO 9001:2015 & CMMI certifications are extremely costly and labor intensive. We would recommend considering removing this mandatory requirement or allowing Small Businesses to obtain an award and have a grace period of 12-24 months to obtain the certification in order to remain on the contract.	This question is similar to question #30. Please see the answer of question #30.
109	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	By what date does a respondent need to have ISO 9001 and CMMI level 2 certification or better to meet the 3.7.1(a) requirement? Is there any concession made for small business in this requirement?	If an offeror is a small business proof that the certification approval is in process is also acceptable to be provided at the time of proposal submission. Verification requirements include a copy of the Offeror's official ISO 9001:2015 Certification of Conformity/Conformance. The certification process must be completed within 12 months of contract award. The Final RFP is being updated for clarification.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

108	(b) Mandatory Experience	In section 3.7.1(b) for categories b & c, it appears to say we need anywhere from 3 to 4 Relevant Experience Projects (REPs) for each of 10 mandatory experience sub-areas. So that is 30-40 projects, and "each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value of a single order or contract". Is this the case for even small business set-asides? This is for phase one of the firm down-select process and many small businesses will be unable to meet this requirement and move on to Phase Two. Would you consider eliminating or drastically reducing the requirements in section 3.7.1(b) for small businesses?	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer of question #20.
107	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Would the Government consider expanding "recent" from 3 to 5 years?	Thank you for your comment, the government will take this into consideration and any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
106	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	Would the Government allow an acroynms table to be included as part of the excluded page content?	Thank you for the suggestion, any changes will be reflected on the Final RFP.
105	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Can the Government confirm that BOTH ISO 9001 and CMMI are required for Category B under Small Business?	Yes, both ISO 9001 and CMMI are required for Category B under Small Business.
104	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>The Government states the following: Category C: A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>Does the Government intend to lower that \$30M total value size of a single order or contract? Most small businesses will simply not bid if that threshold is maintained.</p>	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer of question #20.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

103	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Is it correct that only small businesses will be able to bid for Category "C". There are many high quality service / consulting companies that have no interest providing hardware and software.	Yes, only small businesses will be able to bid for Category C.
102	(b) Mandatory Experience	Will the Government consider allowing bidders to cite a collection of task orders for the mandatory experience requirements?	Thank you for your comment however, no the Government will not consider allowing bidders to cite a collection of task orders for the mandatory experience requirements.
101	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	Section A.3.1.7, bullets 3 and 4 mention using a CTA and/or JV 'to satisfy the requirements of this order' - will the Government please confirm that 1) CTA or JV partner past performance can be used to satisfy the mandatory Experience and Past Performance requirements? Is there a limit on the number of mandatory experience projects of past performance that the partner(s) can contribute?	This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer of question #67.
100	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Categories B and C, the Government is requiring REPs with a minimum in total value size of \$30M. However, the past performance minimum values are \$500,000, \$1M and \$2.5M annual value. These cited annual values are far lower than the \$30M total value required for mandatory experience projects. Will the Government please clarify these minimum dollar value requirements?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

99	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Is this full and open? In addition how many awardees? Single Award or Multi-Award?	SEWP VI will be both full and open as well as set-aside competition. We can not determine how many awardees yet. Awards will be Multi-Award contracts.
98	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	4.Ref: RFP page 93 of 133, in instructions for Technical Approach (Subfactor A), there is a paragraph 3 that says that if an offeror is an OEM, then the offeror is required to submit the POC information. If a vendor is listed in Enclosure 1, then does that mean that the vendor is the OEM?	Yes, if the vendor is listed in Enclosure 1, that means the vendor is an OEM.
97	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	3.For the past performance section, the instructions are asking the offerors to provide past performance questionnaires. We request NASA to allow CPARS if available, to be submitted instead of past performance questionnaires. This will considerably reduce the administrative burden for our customers in the Federal Government who are busy with their mission work.	This question is similar to question #48. Please see the answer of question #48.
96	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	2.On page 91, there is a reference to EDWOSB along with HUB zone and SDVOSB offerors, we request the Government to include WOSB also in this list. We see the NASA included EDWOSB but not WOSB. Adding WOSB in the list would be more inline with the SBA and OMB regulations of utilizing woman owned small businesses.	Thank you for the suggestion, any changes will be reflected on the Final RFP.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

95	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	1.Can a small business prime bid on only one category (and NOT ON ALL 3 categories)?	A small business prime may bid on one, two, or all three categories.
94	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Does an interested firm have to have active contracts in NASA and an active work history to be considered to bid on this project?	No, an interested firm does not have to have active contracts in NASA to be considered to bid on SEWP VI.
93	(b) Mandatory Experience	In a JV relationship, can one member of the JV possess and submit all the REPs requirements of \$30 million in total value?	This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer of question #67.
92	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	There is currently not a pricing requirement nor pricing evaluation. The recent Court of Federal Claims ruling on GSA Polaris has stated that the absence of pricing at the IDIQ contract level is only allowed for labor contracts, not firm fixed price or cost-plus structures. GSA did not evaluate price which the Court of Federal Claims claimed was an “erroneous interpretation” and “overly broad interpretation” of 41 U.S.C. § 3306(c)(3). GSA relied on a statute that referenced task orders as time-and-materials or based on hourly rates but did not adequately provide evidence to meet the statute since fixed-price and cost-reimbursement is also used.	This question is similar to question #45. Please see the answer of question #45.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

91	A.4.2- Phase One- Certifications and Mandatory Experience	Page 98, what will NASA’s approach be in evaluating the REPs on a Pass/Fail basis? Unless the criteria is specifically outlined, there is potential for ambiguity in the evaluation which may lead to several protests.	Thank you for your question, any updates will be reflected in the Final RFP.
90	(b) MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)	Page 95 (Program Management), The 100 requirements in a day for Category A seems adequate given the number of quotes that a VAR may respond to on a given day. The 10 requirements in a day for Category B large business also seem adequate. For Categories B and C small business, having the capacity or resources to respond to 10 requirements in a day seems unrealistic since most small IT services companies would rarely respond to 10 requirements in a day. Would NASA consider lowering the requirement for Category B and C small businesses to 5 requirements?	SEWP is utilized currently by 35,000 Government customers across the entirety of the Federal Government. It is anticipated that the usage will grow significantly under SEWP VI. 10 requirements a day is considered a minimum number of average request a day.
89	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Page 92-93, Section A.3.7.3(a)2., under Mission Suitability Volume, please clarify how a pure IT services provider who may not extensively use the SEWP Providers or OEMs is evaluated. This is more geared towards Category A companies, yet it is required for Category B companies.	The offeror must provide a summary description of their offerings and capabilities as they to the scope of the proposed Category as provided in A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016). If it not applicable to your category then it is not required.
88	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Pages 88-90, are the current contract expenditures cited on page 89 #4, supposed to equate to the “average annual value” figures for the past performance figures? If not, what is the purpose of that request?	The average annual value is a threshold for the value of up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

87	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME	Page 89, the past performance thresholds are not the same as the REP required thresholds. Can NASA confirm that these thresholds are correct? If they are correct, can NASA clarify the reasoning behind the disparate values between REPs and past performance?	Yes, the threshold for past performance differs from the threshold for relevant experience since they are different subfactors being assessed.
86	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>There is no mention of mentor protégé joint ventures in the Draft RFP.</p> <p>NASA should consider clarifying that MPJVs and SBJVs will inherit the systems and certifications from any member of the JV.</p> <p>When submitting REPs and past performance, NASA should consider clarifying how many are required or permitted from the protégé or mentor.</p> <p>NASA should clarify if an Offeror can pursue by itself and also join a SBJV or MPJV.</p> <p>If it is permissible for an Offeror to be a part of several other JV teams, are Offerors allowed to share REPs or past performance between each of the JV teams?</p> <p>If REP experience or past performance was previously performed as part of a JV, NASA will need to clarify how an Offeror can take credit for the work performed while part of the JV.</p>	This question is similar to question #67. Please see the answer of question #67.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

85	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Page 87 and 88, Mandatory Experience, Relevant Experience Projects (REPs)</p> <p>Please provide the recency period for REPs.</p> <p>Please provide clarification on the minimum number of sub areas Small Business (Including Socio-Economic Bidders) and Unrestricted offerors need to provide for a Category B or C bid?</p> <p>Please provide clarification on how many total REPs are required (Unrestricted and Small) for Categories B and C?</p> <p>NASA should consider reducing thresholds for number and size for REP requirements for Categories B and C. It will be difficult for many small businesses to meet the size threshold requested for each individual REP.</p> <p>We recommend NASA clarifying that REPs can be submitted across multiple sub areas for Categories B and C since a REP may be relevant for multiple sub areas.</p> <p>NASA will need to determine how revenue is calculated for REPs that are used in more than one sub-area.</p>	<p>This question is similar questions #2, #20, #49, #51, #65. Please see the answers to those questions.</p>
----	--------------------------	---	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

84	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Page 86, 5th bullet, the RFP only references 8(a) Joint Ventures. There are many other configurations of Joint Ventures including SBA approved Mentor Protégé Joint Ventures, Small Business Joint Ventures, and Socio-Economic Small Business Joint Ventures. Please confirm that the RFP is adhering to the updated SBA regulations from November 2020 as it relates to Joint Venturing on SEWP VI for Categories A, B, C. Reference: https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/10/16/2020-19428/consolidation-of-mentor-protg-programs-and-other-government-contracting-amendments</p>	<p>The section of the SEWP VI Solicitation this question refers to is only addressing requirements for 8(a) Joint ventures. Any changes pertaining to the requirements for 8(a) Joint Ventures will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
83	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>Section 1.34 Category A: IT Solutions- would the government consider adding 541519 to the list of available NAICs? There are numerous procurements that are 15% to 50% services ITVAR that are tied to technology scope in Category A. Recognizing this is directed by a CO and would be managed accordingly during delivery order/task order solicitation, please consider making it available. Additionally, it is unclear how, in all cases, an AbilityONE non-profit could in fact perform the product/services portion so request that this not similarly set like it is for Category B and C tables.</p>	<p>Thank you for this suggestion. The Government will take this into consideration. If it is decided to be added to the solicitation that will be reflected on the Final RFP.</p>
82	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Regarding the minimum of \$30M in total value size for a single order or contract for the minimum four (4) Projects, will the Government consider lowering the size threshold to encourage more participation by smaller businesses?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer of question #20.</p>
81	(b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS	<p>Is the page limit 30 pages for Technical Approach Volume in each proposal, or is it 90 pages as indicated?</p>	<p>This question is similar to question #74. Please see the answer of question #74.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

80	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Section A.3.7.2(a) states furnish up to three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within last three(3) years. Later in the requirement A.3.7.2(a).12, it references for large bidders at least 4 content areas to get moderate and small 3 content areas. It is not clear how a large would be able to submit 4 content areas but can only submit up to 3 past performances. Please clarify.	Thank you for your question. Any updates will be reflected in the Final RFP.
79	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Section A.1.34 Category A- please consider the addition of NAICS 423430 Computer and Computer Peripheral Equipment and Software Merchant Wholesalers. This NAICs would fit numerous procurements within the category solutions as outlined in Attachment A, A.3.1. This would make available more contractor options for COs during Delivery order/task order development.	Thank you for this suggestion, the Government will take it into consideration. The final RFP will reflect any changes pertaining to the NAICS.
78	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Section A.1.34 Category A NAICS 335312 references Storage Battery charges but per SBA NAICS effective March 2023, this is in fact Motor and Generator Manufacturing- is this in scope and if so, please update NAICS description. If not in scope, please remove NAICS 335312.	Thank you for this insight, the final RFP will be updated with NAICS 335312 removed from the category A list.
77	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of relevant experience project. The information shall match the past performance information with the relevant experience identified in paragraph (a)(13) of this section. Question: There are several references to paragraph (a)(13), but the instructions seem to end at paragraph (a)(12).	The Final RFP will be revised to reflect only reference to (a)(12) and not (a)(13).

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

76	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Category C: A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>Question: What is the minimum number of mandatory experience sub-areas for which we must have at least three (two HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB SB) \$30M projects?</p>	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
75	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Category B: A minimum of four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template. Question: Will there be a lower minimum for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB small business, as there is in Category C?</p>	The Government will take this into consideration. Any changes will be reflected on the final RFP.
74	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>Draft RFP states: "Should a particular offeror wish to propose on more than one category, that offeror shall submit a complete and separate proposal for each category..." However, table containing page limitations states that Technical Approach volume can have up to 90 pages (1 per each category). Please confirm that any business bidding more than one category has to submit a separate Technical Approach volume for that category and therefore, the total limitation for Technical Approach Volume cannot exceed 30 pages?</p>	the total number of pages is dependent on the number of categories with a submitted proposal. The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

73	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	REP requirements for small businesses are \$30M in value (minimum) which restricts a lot of small businesses from participation in this procurement. Past Performance requirements are only \$500K in annual value (which typically equates to \$2.5M over an average of 5 year period of performance). Please consider reducing REP minimum annual value to encourage and enable small business competition.	This question is similar to question #20. Please see the answer of question #20.
72	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Exhibit 1 - REP Template mentions ability to provide supporting information/evidence in attached documents. Please confirm that the attached documents are outside of the 3 page limitation of the template?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
71	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Exhibit 1 - REP Template mentions ability to provide supporting information/evidence in attached documents. What types of evidence is acceptable proof of scope relevancy?	No additional information is requested in support of the Exhibit 1 REP template. The Final RFP is being revised for further clarity.
70	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Are there recency requirements for REPs? Is it acceptable to use 1 contract award as 1 REP and then the same contract re-competed 5 years later as a second REP?	Part 1: This question is similar to question #2, please see the answer of question #2. Part 2: This question is similar to question #65, please see the answer of question #65.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

69	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Draft RFP states: "A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor." Please confirm that a single IDIQ contract (with multiple combined awarded Task Orders) can be equivalent to 1 REP?	The final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
68	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will there be any price evaluations as part of proposal submission or will prices be evaluated at the Task Order level?	This question is similar to question #45. Please see the answer of question #45.
67	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Please provide clarifications for Joint Ventures. For example, for CMMI and ISO certifications - can either JV partner provide those? For REPs and Past Performance - can they be provided from either JV partner?	The final RFP is being revised for further clarity to state evidence shall be provided that the certification is in the name of the CTA or JV, or in the name of each company in the CTA or JV.
66	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Considering recent IT contract consolidations throughout NASA and fewer Prime small business opportunities, we request NASA to reduce the REP sizes to be same as those outlined for past performance for Category A and C for Small Businesses. It is unreasonable to ask for 3 REPs of \$30 M value from small businesses. We respectfully request reducing this requirement.	Thank you for your comment.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

65	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	If we have two separate contracts with the same Government customer, first one 5-year duration and a follow-on recompete contract of 5-year duration, each with a contract value of \$30M, please confirm that they are considered to be two separate REP's for mandatory experience requirement.	The scope of work provided is the same and therefore will be viewed as the same contract and therefore cannot be considered as 2 separate REPs. The final RFP will be updated to reflect this response.
64	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Would the Government consider requiring a third-party ISO/IEC 20243-1:2018 certification for Category A, B, and C to ensure better product reliability, increased information transparency, and counterfeit protections? Perhaps requiring Large Businesses to have third-party certifications and Small Businesses to at least be self-certified. Considering more than half of SEWP V contractors already have a self-certification, this should not be a difficult requirement to overcome and provides NASA with a means to have better control over any future novations, off-ramps, etc. Furthermore, the Government will decrease the subjectivity in evaluating Offeror responses to A.3.7.3(b)(1) Commitment to Product and Services Diversity and A.3.7.3(b)(2) Commitment to Supply Chain Management – or replace this requirement in its entirety with a SCRUM certification requirement in Phase 1.	Thank you for your comment, the Government will take it into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

63	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	<p>Can the government please clarify if legal organizational entities are permitted to bid given that they may utilize shared resources such as certifications within a corporate structure? Would the government allow submission of Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters (MRCLs)? For example, a “meaningful relationship” for shared services and past performance within a corporate structure could apply when at least one of the following conditions exists:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An entity is a wholly owned subsidiary of a parent organization. • An entity is the parent of a wholly owned subsidiary. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An entity operates under a single internal operational unit. • An entity operates under a consolidated accounting system. • An entity operates under a consolidated purchasing system. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An entity operates under a consolidated human resources or personnel system. • Operating structure between the entities includes internal organizational reporting lines and management chains for “lines of business” that operate across the formal corporate subsidiaries. 	<p>Thank you for your comment, the Government will take this into consideration. Any updates will be included in the final RFP.</p>
62	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	<p>Page 88, (b) Mandatory Experience, Category C: This requirement says “Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract...”. This is the same size requirement as for the unrestricted REPs. Shouldn’t this size requirement be smaller?</p>	<p>Thank you for this comment, the Government will take it into consideration.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

61	A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)	Page 28 Technical Area 5a, Mandatory Sub Areas: Security monitoring and control systems is listed here exactly as it is in Technical Area 4a. Is one of these listings by mistake?	Thank you for the comment. This was a typo that will be fixed in the final solicitation to only be reflected in Technical Area 4a.
60	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	<p>1) Page 87, (b) Mandatory Experience, Category B: Are the four (4) REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas required to be 4 unique contracts, or can one contract that covers several areas be used repeatedly?</p> <p>2) Page 88, (b) Mandatory Experience, Category C: Are the two (2) SDVOSB REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas required to be 2 unique contracts, or can one contract that covers several areas be used repeatedly?</p> <p>3) Pages 87 & 88, (b) Mandatory Experience, Categories B & C: REPs have no set time limit stated. Is the performance period for these projects unlimited or within the last 10 years, or five years? Please clarify.</p> <p>4) Page 91, paragraph 2: This paragraph states "Offerors identified as a Large Business in Category B shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least four (4) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (Moderate)." Must each PP citation cover at least 4 areas, or can the 4 areas be covered in aggregate across the three past performance citations?</p> <p>5) Page 91, paragraph 3: This last paragraph states "The information shall match the past performance information with the relevant experience identified in paragraph (a)(13) of this section." However, there is no (a)(13) in this section. Please fix/clarify the</p>	All referenced sections will be re-written with clearer definitions and descriptions which will overwrite the issues and questions noted for most of these questions except 2): For Question 2) see the response to the SEWP Question number 5

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

59	(a) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	<p>Related to Revised Comment #9, respondent points out incompatibility with Small Business requirements. Additionally, \$30M is the Size Standard for the SEWPVI draft's primary NAICS, 541512 - Computer Systems Design Services, yet Past Performance volume requires listing contracts well beyond that annual limit. SEWP has communicated a desire to provide more opportunities for Small Businesses, but the Past Performance demonstration size requirement in this draft directly contradicts the financial limitations that define Small Businesses. Please consider the relevant SBA definitions of Small Businesses performing within the stated NAICS categories when compiling past performance requirements.</p>	Please see the response to comment #9.
58	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	For offerors only interested in Category A, can the questionnaire can be broken down by page break so that we can send only the relevant sections to the references? Could be confusing for them to fill out.	Thank you for the suggestion, the Government will take this concern into consideration.
57	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	In the Exhibit 2 PDF, the form has an error. If you put an X in Did Not Perform for the first item, the X is automatically filled in all over the place where it shouldn't be.	Thank you for your comment. This will be corrected for the final RFP.
56	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	This section mentions that an award will be made to each and all qualifying offers. Does that mean there will be no limit to the number of contract holders?	As stated in the Draft RFP on page 97, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and make an award to each and all qualifying offerors IAW FAR 15.304(c)(1)(ii)(A)(3).

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

55	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	OEM and distribution authorizations don't necessarily allow resellers to provide the full suite of products and services from a Provider. For many OEMs, additional certifications and channel requirements must be met to earn authorization for certain products and services. Please remove the "the full suite of" phrase, as it may be severely limiting.	Thank you for your suggestion, the Government will take this concern into consideration.
54	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Many OEMs do not require letters of authorization in order to sell products that meet the requirements of the Mandatory Technical Sub-Areas. In many cases, OEMs delegate authorizations to their distributors, who, in turn, authorize resellers. Will the Government accept authorizations from distributors?	Thank you for your suggestion, the Government will take this concern into consideration.
53	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Would the Government please consider requiring a third-party ISO/IEC 20243-1:2018 certification (not self-certified)for Category A and possibly Categories B and C to better ensure product reliability and increased information transparency and counterfeit protections? By doing so, the Government will decrease the subjectivity in evaluating Offeror responses to A.3.7.3(b)(1) Commitment to Product and Services Diversity and A.3.7.3(b)(2) Commitment to Supply Chain Management.	Thank you for the suggestion.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>52</p>	<p>(b) Mandatory Experience</p>	<p>Are Category B Large Business, Small Business and HUBZone, SDVOSB, and EDWOSB Mandatory Experience requirements the same? Similarly, Category C Small Business and HUBZone, SDVOSB, and EDWOSB Mandatory Experience requirements are defined, but Large Business requirements are not. Perhaps "Category B" should be "Large Business" and "Category C" should be "Small Business" - by doing so, LBs would need 4, SBs would need 3, and the set-asides would require 2 REPs.</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion. Category C is a total small business set aside. For Category B the Government will take your suggestion into consideration. Any updates as a result of this question will be incorporated in the final RFP.</p>
<p>51</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>The DRFP lists requirements for small business offerors to provide compliance verification for ISO 9001, CMMI-DEV or -SVC Level 2 Appraisal, and a multiple REPs with a minimum \$30M in total value size "for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas." We believe this will have a significantly negative impact on small business looking to support NASA, many of whom fall under the umbrella of Executive Order (EO) 13985 - Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government. Amplifying this situation is the fact that many of these small businesses have already been seriously impacted by the unanticipated side effects of NASA's well intentioned MAP contract consolidation activities. We believe that SB impacts resulting from the SEWP VI ISO, CMMI, and REP requirements are inadvertent given the Governments explicit inclusion of Attachments F and G - Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (DEIA) Plan and request that the Government revisit them.</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion, the Government will take this concern into consideration. The Final RFP will be revised to clarify that for small businesses- Each Project must have had a minimum of \$5M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

50	A.5.6 FAR 52.219-1 SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAM REPRESENTATIONS. (MAR 2023)	<p>Yes, it is beneficial to include SBA 8(a) companies in the Small Business Program Representations for SEWP 6.</p> <p>The SBA 8(a) program facilitates business opportunities for 8(a) participants who need to or have trouble competing with larger entities, similar to those found servicing NASA SEWP.</p> <p>We contend that the addition of 8(a) participants is a necessity for SEWP 6. The addition of SBA 8(a) in the Small Business Program for SEWP will allow 8(a) companies to participate as a Primary Contract Holder. As the current RFP is worded, no benefits exist for SBA 8(a) companies.</p> <p>The consequence of not including SBA 8(a) companies on the SEWP 6 contract is that it blocks 8(a) companies from competitively competing for SEWP 6 opportunities. With the anticipated expense of the SEWP 6 contract and the need for Federal Entities to designate spend to SBA 8(a) companies, this is a prudent and necessary addition to the SEWP 6 RFP.</p>	Thank you for your comment. Small businesses in the 8(a) program are not excluded from participating in SEWP VI.
49	(b) Mandatory Experience	Please confirm that the same contract/task order can be used across multiple mandatory experience sub-areas when completing the required Exhibit 1 REP Template(s) for a given Category.	See Response to Question 5
48	(b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES)	In lieu of Past Performance Questionnaires, request government to accept CPARS where they exist for Past Performance References.	Thank you for your comment.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

47	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME	In reference to Exhibit 1 REP Template – Please provide clarification for what is required for the “supporting information/evidence” requested to be attached to each REP Table.	No supporting information is requested only a completed REP template.
46	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For a Small Business CTA Offeror made up of an SB JV and SB CTA members, request SEWP PMO allow either the SB JV itself, either member of the SB JV, and other SB CTA members to be able to submit REPs as required for mandatory sub-areas.	A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance.
45	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	Is "price" an evaluation factor?	As stated in the Draft RFP on page 97, in accordance with FAR 15.304 (c)(1)(ii)(A), price will not be evaluated.
44	A.4.1 SOURCE SELECTION AND PHASED EVALUATION	For Phase 2 - Does a Neutral confidence mean that the offeror may have little or no past performance?	As stated on page 101 in the Draft RFP, a neutral level of past performance confidence is defined as an Offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available [see FAR 15.305(a) (2) (ii) and (iv)].
43	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For Category A, is the \$500,000 referring to annual values of all sales (not referring to an individual sale)?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.
42	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION-COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	Can we confirm if Exhibit 1 – REP template is exclusively required for Categories B & C? The Evaluation section does not make this distinction.	As specified in the Draft RFP on page 87, Exhibit 1 REP Template is only applicable to Categories B & C.
41	IV. FAR 52.212-2 EVALUATION-COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES (NOV 2021)	In our technical volume, do we need to address how we meet each standard in FAR 9.104?	This is not a required submission for the technical volume.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

40	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>Should we include provider contact information directly on the Letters of Authorization, or is it preferred that we create a separate table with details such as provider, contact name, phone, email, relationship, and ability to supply (as specified on page 93)?</p>	<p>A valid phone, email, and physical address must be provided within the proposal, separate from the Letter of Authorization.</p>
39	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>Can we use contract-level past performances (at the IDIQ or GWAC level) to calculate our average annual value as a past performance reference?</p>	<p>Thank you for question, the past performance section will be revised for clarity and reflected in the Final RFP.</p>
38	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>Will the Past Performance Matrix table displayed at the top of page 92 be provided in Excel form?</p>	<p>Thank you for the suggestion, it will be taken into consideration.</p>
37	<p>III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM</p>	<p>Does the Past Performance History (item #12 in section A.3.7.2.a) for offerors require a separate narrative that discusses how we have performed in all six sub-areas, or just how the three past performance contracts we're submitting highlight our proficiency in each of the six categories?</p>	<p>As stated in Section A.3.7.2.a, Offerors shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for the respective number of content representative areas for the content to be rated relevant (Moderate) for the Category the proposal is submitted.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

36	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Would it be possible to require the same font for all submissions (i.e., Arial for both Excel files and Adobe PDFs?)	An Offeror can use either Arial or Times New Roman Font.
35	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	The past performance questionnaire is slightly unclear; does “did not perform” indicate that the contract did not require that task or that the task was not performed to the customer's satisfaction? Clarification on the questionnaire to ensure the customer understands the nature of the question would be helpful.	Thank you for this feedback, the Government will take it into consideration and make sure the Final RFP past performance questionnaire is clearer.
34	III. FAR 52.212-1 INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES.) (MAR 2023) ADDENDUM	Would ISO 27001 certification be considered in place of CMMI certification for categories B & C? The cost of CMMI certification is out of reach for many small businesses, so adding the ISO 27001 certification would allow greater competition.	Thank you for your comment, the government will take it into consideration. Any updates will be reflected in the Final RFP.
33	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	We plan to apply under Category A but typically use NAICS code 541519. Are any other NAICS codes listed on p.57 (like 517121 – Telecommunications Reseller) available to allow a larger pool of small businesses to compete? The current size standard for 541512 rules out many small businesses.	A contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

32	(b) Mandatory Experience	There seems to be a discrepancy between the posted DRFP information here and the document released on SAM.gov. The language referencing restrictions on "Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration" that is present in the released document is not present here.	Thanks for the feedback. The final version will reflect the correct information.
----	--------------------------	--	--

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

31	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>1. As per the solicitation for Category A - The contractors are required to have average annual sales of \$500,000.00 to be considered as a "moderate rating" How do we provide the proof when only up to 3 past performance is allowed? For instance, the contractor has 20 contracts with average of \$100,000.00 value and they are only able to provide 3 Past performance which has total of \$300,000.00 (\$100,000 X 3 PP). Looking forward to your response on this.</p> <p>2. Is there any specific date for the Letter of Agreement (LOA) required?</p> <p>2. As per the solicitation for all the categories the ISO 9001 Certified is required. Usually the ISO 9001:2015 certification is for the manufacturers and designers and would suggest to waive this for the distributors and resellers as this will be a barrier for small businesses. Also, in Defense Logistics Agency branch they do not require Non-Manufacturers to be ISO 9001 certified. Hope you can take this into consideration.</p> <p>3. Do you accept CMMC Level 2 instead of CMMI Level 2? and is it required for Category A? Do you have any list of acceptable 3rd party certification company?</p>	Thank you for your feedback. The Past performance language is being updated for further clarity, but the average annual value is not for all sales combined, but the average annual value of each provided reference.
30	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Will the Government consider removing the ISO 9001 and CMMI certification requirements to allow fairer competition for small businesses?	Thank you for your suggestion, the Government will take this concern into consideration.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

29	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	NAICS Code 541512 is a services related designation. Category A in the RFP is primarily for the procurement of IT hardware and software products, not services. Recommend changing Category A to NAICS 541519 (Footnote 18) to better align with the scope of Category A.	Thank you for your suggestion.
28	A.1.3 GSFC 52.235-90 REPORTS OF WORK (IDIQ/BPA) (MAR 2022)	Contractor is required to submit monthly progress reports of all work accomplished on all active task orders. Please confirm this requirement is applicable only to Category B and Category C.	The requirement to submit monthly progress reports applies to all contractors with specifics for each category denoted in the Contractor Holder User Manual. Any changes will be reflected in the final RFP.
27	A.2.1 GSFC 52.211-101 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS. (NOV 2022)	<p>Exhibit 2 - PPQ:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PPQ page 3 - A/V Equipment and Accessories description does not match the description provided in the RFQ, Technical Area 5a, page 28. 2. PPQ page 6 - Category B Technical Area 11b: Program Management/Ancillary Services and Supplies, is not included in the PPQ for assessment. Is this intentional? 3. PPQ page 9 - Category C Technical Area 11c: Program Management/Ancillary Services and Supplies, is not included in the PPQ for assessment. Is this intentional? 	Thank you for your comment, the section will be reviewed any updates reflected in the Final RFP.
26	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	test	disregarded

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

25	Category C- IT Professional Services (Information Communication Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV) SERVICES) – NAICS 541512	These categories sounds like "Services" and 541512 makes sense. We dont need a set-aside for 541519 SB150 for this category	Thank you for your comment, the SEWP PMO will take it into consideration.
24	Category B- Enterprise-wide IT Solutions (Products and Service Solutions) – NAICS 541512	Again appreciate a set-aside for NAICS code 541519 SB150 for Resellers	Thank you for your comment, the SEWP PMO will take it into consideration.
23	Category A- IT Solutions (Products-Information Computer Technology (ICT) and Audio Visual (AV)) – NAICS 541512	We would like to propose a set-aside for NAICS code 541519 SB150 as most of the existing SEWP reseller are Small Business under that NAICS code. With this scenario, the Reseller has to compete with Large Business and will impact them drastically	Thank you for your comment, the SEWP PMO will take it into consideration.
22	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	Given an NMR waiver can not be applied to NAICS 541512, how does NASA expect small businesses to comply with the limitation on subcontracting requirements?	Small businesses would be expected to comply with 52.219-14 Limitations on Subcontracting for set-aside contracts and orders expected to exceed the SAT.
21	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Will the government consider extending the question response deadline by 14 calendar days to allow small businesses, who are extremely busy with end of government fiscal year contract activity, more time to adequately review the SEWP VI DRFP and provide questions and comments?	The submission period to provide comments on the Draft RFP is now extended from 11:59 pm EST on October 2, 2023, to 11:59 pm EST on October 23, 2023.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

<p>20</p>	<p>(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR</p>	<p>Page 88 states: Category C: A minimum of three (3) REPs, and for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB offerors a minimum of 2 REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas. Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p> <p>Here it states: For Small Businesses proposing in Categories A & C- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with at least an average annual value of \$500,000 (Five Hundred Thousand) for size to be rated relevant (Moderate).</p> <p>Can you clarify if the \$30m in total value size only applies to large businesses?</p>	<p>The Final RFP will be revised to clarify that for small businesses in Category C- Each Project must have had a minimum of \$2M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.</p>
<p>19</p>	<p>I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)</p>	<p>General Comment/Request. Current DRFP is not searchable or markable. Is there any consideration being given to providing a searchable PDF version of the DRFP?</p>	<p>Thank you for the suggestion the SEWP PMO will take it into consideration.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

18	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>a. Request SEWP PMO consider setting the total contract/project value at \$5,000,000 for Category B & C REPs for each of the mandatory experience sub-areas as associated with the Category B Group B2 Small Business Set Aside and Category C Group C1 Small Business Set Aside Offerors.</p> <p>b. For a Small Business CTA Offeror made up of an SB JV and SB CTA members, request SEWP PMO allow either the SB JV itself and/or either member of the SB JV to be able to submit REPs as required for mandatory sub-areas in addition to the other SB CTA members.</p> <p>c. In reference to Exhibit 1 – Please provide clarification for what is required for the “supporting information/evidence” requested to be attached to each REP Table.</p>	Thank you for your feedback the SEWP PMO will take it into consideration.
17	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Must offerors hold their ISO 9001 and CMMI certifications at the time of submission to be considered for award? Or can the certifications be in progress at the time of submission, with the anticipated certification date before the start of contract date?	The Final RFP will be revised for further clarity.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

16	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>Under (b) Mandatory Experience, Category A: "A provider [OEM] and their corresponding CLINs may only be used for one technical mandatory Sub-area and cannot be duplicated within a given sub-area." How does this affect OEMs that have products that align to all of the mandatory sub-areas, as well as most additional sub-areas? For example, an OEM might manufacture laptops, network-attached storage, servers, and thin clients. I believe the current verbiage prevents the ability to list that OEMs' items under all applicable sub-areas.</p>	<p>Offerings from one OEM can be provided in all appropriate technical areas. However, the OEM can only be cited as the mandatory offering for one of those technical areas. The final RFP will be revised to reflect this update.</p>
15	(a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)	<p>Section 5 and 6 state that a proposal from a small business must include technology covering 25%, and a proposal from a large business must include technology covering 50%, of the additional non-mandatory technical sub-areas. Are these percentages per technical sub area, or as an aggregate across all sub areas?</p>	<p>The percentages referenced in Sections 5 and 6 that state a proposal from a small business must include technology covering 25%, and a proposal from a large business must include technology covering 50%, are reflective of the aggregate across the sub-areas in a given technical area.</p>
14	A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE	<p>How would a VAR be able to respond to individual task orders as a small business if they qualify as a small business under the NAICS code used on the task order (these NAICS codes are outlined on pages 57-59 of the Draft RFP), but not under NAICS code 541512?</p>	<p>Thanks for your question and the SEWP PMO will take it into consideration.</p>
13	(b) Mandatory Experience	<p>For purposes of calculating "price" for the minimum 2,000 CLINs, where would these items be shipped to and would this be paid by credit card? For example, our lowest price would be if we delivered via our trucks somewhere locally in Southern California, and the customer was paying via check or ACH.</p>	<p>The Government is only requesting the price of the product not inclusive of any shipping or discount costs. If there are additional costs associated with shipping location or payment method that information is to be included in the excel document.</p>

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

12	A.1.43 GOVERNMENT PURCHASE CARD	If we specify a credit card surcharge and that gets listed on the government website, will that negatively affect our company from getting offers? We prefer to get paid by check or ACH, but if it must be via credit card, we are open to including the fee in our costs.	Adherence to contract clause A.1.43 Government Purchase Card must be followed if a company intends to charge a different price for use of a government credit card.
11	A.1.43 GOVERNMENT PURCHASE CARD	Will offerors know ahead of time if a purchase will be paid by government credit card or not?	How an order is paid for is dependent on the Government customer placing the order, which may or may not include details that a government credit card will be used.
10	(a) ISO 9001 and CMMI Certification	Would an ISO 9001 certification of the manufacturer whose products we represent meet this requirement?	The offeror submitting the proposal for SEWP VI will need to have an ISO 9001 certification. The ISO 9001 certification of the manufacturer whose products the company represents does not meet the proposal submission requirement.
9	(a) PROPOSAL FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION	The requirements for small business offerors to possess both ISO and CMMI along with a minimum REP of \$30M for multiple projects eliminates many offerors from consideration. This is in stark contrast to NASA's long history of being small business friendly and growing its base of contractors. Many current successful NASA contractors do not possess these requirements. Considering recent IT contract consolidations throughout NASA and fewer Prime small business opportunities, we highly encourage NASA to consider removing the CMMI requirement and align the REP sizes with those outlined for past performance.	The Final RFP will be revised to clarify that for small businesses- Each Project must have had a minimum of \$5M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

8	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	Currently it looks as if the technical areas do not include data or analytics products. Will a technical area be added to cover these items in the SOW or are they not included in SEWP V?	Data and analytics products are fully in scope for SEWP VI (and V). They are categorized under the Technical Area 3a: SOFTWARE AND CLOUD TECHNOLOGY. Please reference the Attachment A: SOW Scope Description.
7	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	This section says that "Subcontractor past performance information will not be evaluated." For small businesses submitting offers, does 13 CFR 125.2 (g) apply to this RFP?	Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. The Final RFP will be revised accordingly.
6	(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR	For small business joint ventures submitting offers, does 13 CFR 125.2 (e)(4)(ii) apply to this RFP?	No, 13 CFR 125.2 (e)(4)(ii) does not apply to this RFP. Reserves are not being used for SEWP VI. The Unrestricted groups within Category A and B will not have a reserve of multiple awards for small businesses, since there is already a partial- set aside for small businesses for both of those categories.
5	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Categories B and C, may a single REP from an offeror demonstrate experience in more than 1 sub-area?	A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor per REP area. Therefore, a single REP can only be used to demonstrate experience in 1 sub-area and cannot be used in more than one sub-area.
4	(b) Mandatory Experience	For small business joint ventures submitting offers, does 13 CFR 125.2 (e)(4)(ii) apply to this RFP?	No, 13 CFR 125.2 (e)(4)(ii) does not apply to this RFP. Reserves are not being used for SEWP VI. The Unrestricted groups within Category A and B will not have a reserve of multiple awards for small businesses, since there is already a partial- set aside for small businesses for both of those categories.

SEWP VI Draft RFP Questions and Answers

3	(b) Mandatory Experience	In the published draft, this section says that "Relevant experience from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will not be evaluated or taken into consideration." For small businesses submitting offers, does 13 CFR 125.2 (g) apply to this RFP?	Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. The Final RFQ will be revised accordingly.
2	(b) Mandatory Experience	For Categories B and C, is there a recency requirement for Relevant Experience Projects?	The Prime Offerors shall furnish relevant experience projects that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent. This revision will be reflected in the Final RFP.
1	I. FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS - COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND COMMERCIAL SERVICES. (DEC 2022) - ADDENDUM 1 (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders)	<p>We respectfully request a 30-day extension for the NASA SEWP VI DRFP-80TECH23R0001 comments due date based on the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. September is the end of the fiscal year and therefore peak season for our contacts in Government agencies, as well as for OEMs, which may negatively impact submitting on-time quality and substantial questions. 2. Offerors need time to do a detail review of the DRFP to provide quality and substance questions. This additional time for comments on the DRFP time will help produce an RFP that has enough detail and clarity so offerors can provide solutions that will enhance the government evaluation process by reducing back and forth Q&As, reducing the release of numerous amendments, and result in an expedited award. 	The submission period to provide comments on the Draft RFP is now extended from 11:59 pm EST on October 2, 2023, to 11:59 pm EST on October 23, 2023.